arXiv:1305.0365v2 [math.AG] 30 May 2013

Quotient stacks and equivariant étale cohomology algebras: Quillen's theory revisited

Luc Illusie Weizhe Zheng

To the memory of Daniel Quillen

Abstract

Let k be an algebraically closed field. Let Λ be a noetherian commutative ring annihilated by an integer invertible in k and let ℓ be a prime number different from the characteristic of k. We prove that if X is a separated algebraic space of finite type over k endowed with an action of a k-algebraic group G, the equivariant étale cohomology algebra $H^*([X/G],\Lambda)$, where $[X/G]$ is the quotient stack of X by G, is finitely generated over Λ. Moreover, for coefficients $K \in D_c^+([X/G],\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ endowed with a commutative multiplicative structure, we establish a structure theorem for $H^*([X/G],K)$, involving fixed points of elementary abelian ℓ-subgroups of G, which is similar to Quillen's theorem [31, 6.2] in the case $K=\mathbb{F}_\ell$. One key ingredient in our proof of the structure theorem is an analysis of specialization of points of the quotient stack. We also discuss variants and generalizations for certain Artin stacks.

Introduction

In [31], Quillen developed a theory for mod ℓ equivariant cohomology algebras $H_G^*(X,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, where ℓ is a prime number, G is a compact Lie group, and X is a topological space endowed with an action of G. Recall that, for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, an *elementary abelian ℓ-group of rank r* is defined to be a group isomorphic to the direct product of r cyclic groups of order ℓ [31, §4]. Quillen showed that $H_G^*(X,\Lambda)$ is a finitely generated Λ-algebra for any noetherian commutative ring Λ [31, 2.2] and established structure theorems ([31, 6.2], [32, 8.5]) relating the ring structure of $H_G^*(X,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ to the elementary abelian ℓ-subgroups A of G and the components of the fixed points set X^A. We refer the reader to [21, §1] for a summary of Quillen's theory.

In this article, we establish an algebraic analogue. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq \ell$ and let Λ be noetherian commutative ring annihilated by an integer invertible in k. Let G be an algebraic group over k and let X be a separated algebraic space of finite type over k endowed with an action of G. We consider the étale cohomology ring $H^*([X/G],\Lambda)$ of the quotient stack $[X/G]$. One of our main results is that this ring is a finitely generated Λ-algebra (4.6) and the ring homomorphism

$$H^*([X/G],\mathbb{F}_\ell) \to \varprojlim_{\mathcal{A}} H^*(BA,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$$

given by restriction maps is a uniform F-isomorphism (6.10), i.e. has kernel and cokernel killed by a power of $F\colon a \mapsto a^\ell$ (see 6.9 for a review of this notion introduced by Quillen [31, §3]). Here $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of pairs (A,C), where A is an elementary abelian ℓ-subgroup of G and C is a connected component of X^A. The morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$ are given by elements $g \in G$ such that $Cg = C'$ and $g^{-1}Ag \subset A'$. We also establish a generalization (6.16) for $H^*([X/G],K)$, where $K \in D_c^+([X/G],\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is a constructible complex of sheaves on $[X/G]$ endowed with a commutative ring structure.

A key ingredient in Quillen's original proofs is the continuity property [31, 5.6]. In the algebraic setting, this property is replaced by an analysis of the specialization of points of the quotient stack $[X/G]$. In order to make sense of this, we introduce the notions of geometric points and of ℓ-elementary points of Artin stacks. Our structure theorems for equivariant cohomology algebras are consequences of the following general structure theorem (8.3): if $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ or $\mathcal{X}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack of finite presentation and finite inertia over k, and if $K \in D_c^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is endowed with a commutative ring structure, then the ring homomorphism

$$H^*(\mathcal{X}, K) \to \varprojlim_{x\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{X}} H^*(\mathcal{S}, x^*K)$$

given by restriction maps is a uniform F-isomorphism. Here the limit is taken over the category of ℓ-elementary points of $\mathcal{X}$.

In [22] we established an algebraic analogue [22, 7.2] of a localization theorem of Quillen [31, 4.2], which he had deduced from his structure theorems for equivariant cohomology algebras. This was one of the motivations for us to investigate algebraic analogues of these theorems. We refer the reader to [19] for a report on the present article and on some results of [22].

In Part I we review background material on quotient and classifying stacks (Section 1), and collect results on the cohomology of Artin stacks (Section 2) that are used at different places in this article. The ring structures of the cohomology algebras we are considering reflect ring structures on objects of derived categories. We discuss this in Section 3.

The reader familiar with the general nonsense recalled in Part I could skip it and move directly to Part II, which contains the main results of the paper. In Section 4, we prove the above-mentioned finiteness theorem (4.6) for equivariant cohomology algebras. One key step of the proof amounts to replacing an abelian variety by its ℓ-divisible group, which was communicated to us by Deligne. In Section 5, we present a crucial result on the finiteness of orbit types, which is an analogue of [31, 6.3] and was communicated to us by Serre.

In Section 6, we state the above-mentioned structure theorems (6.10, 6.16) for equivariant cohomology algebras. In Section 7, we introduce and discuss the notions of geometric points and of ℓ-elementary points of Artin stacks. Using them we state in Section 8 the main result of this paper, the structure theorem (8.3) for cohomology algebras of certain Artin stacks, and show that it implies the structure theorems of the equivariant case. In Section 9, we establish some Künneth formulas needed in the proof of 8.3, which is given in Section 10. Finally, in Section 11 we prove an analogue of Quillen's stratification theorem [32, 10.2, 12.1] for the reduced spectrum of mod ℓ étale equivariant cohomology algebras.

The results of this paper have applications to the structure of varieties of supports. They will be the object of a future article.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Pierre Deligne for the proof of the finiteness theorem (4.6) in the general case and to Jean-Pierre Serre for communicating to us the results of Section 5. We thank Michel Brion for discussions on the cohomology of classifying spaces and Michel Raynaud for discussions on separation issues. The second author thanks Ching-Li Chai, Johan de Jong, Yifeng Liu, Martin Olsson, David Rydh, and Yichao Tian for useful conversations.

Part of this paper was written during a visit of both authors to the Korea Institute for Advanced Study in Seoul in January 2013 and a visit of the first author to the Morningside Center of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences in Beijing in February and March 2013. Warm thanks are addressed to these institutes for their hospitality and support.

The second author was partially supported by China's Recruitment Program of Global Experts, as well as Hua Loo-Keng Key Laboratory of Mathematics and National Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Conventions

We fix a universe $\mathcal{U}$, which we will occasionally enlarge. We say "small" instead of "$\mathcal{U}$-small" when there is no ambiguity. We say that a category is *essentially small* (resp. *essentially finite*) if it is equivalent to a small (resp. finite) category. Schemes are assumed to be small. Presheaves take value in the category of $\mathcal{U}$-sets. For any category $\mathcal{C}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the category of presheaves on $\mathcal{C}$, which is a $\mathcal{U}$-topos if $\mathcal{C}$ is essentially small.

By a *stack* over a $\mathcal{U}$-site C we mean a stack in groupoids over C [38, 02ZI] whose fiber categories are small.[1] By a stack over an algebraic space S, we mean a stack over the big fppf site of S. By a stack, we mean a stack over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$. We say a morphism $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ of stacks is *representable* if for every scheme U and every morphism $y\colon U \to \mathcal{Y}$, the 2-fiber product $U \times_{y,\mathcal{Y},f} \mathcal{X}$ is representable by an algebraic space (this property is called "representable by an algebraic space" in [38, 02ZW]) By an *Artin stack* (resp. *Deligne-Mumford stack*) over S, we mean an "algebraic stack" (resp. Deligne-Mumford stack) in the sense of [38, 026O] (resp. [38, 03YO]), namely a stack $\mathcal{X}$ over S such that the diagonal $\Delta_{\mathcal{X}}\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} \times_S \mathcal{X}$ is representable and such that there exists an algebraic space X over S and a smooth (resp. étale) surjective morphism $X \to \mathcal{X}$ over S. Unlike [26], we do not assume algebraic spaces and Artin stacks to be quasi-separated. Unless otherwise stated, groups act on the right.

If $f\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a fibered category, we denote by $\mathcal{C}(U)$ (or sometimes $\mathcal{C}_U$) the fiber category of f over an object U of $\mathcal{D}$.

Contents

I Preliminaries **3**

1 Complements on quotient stacks **3**

2 A descent lemma and a projection formula for the étale cohomology of Artin stacks **11**

3 Multiplicative structures in derived categories **16**

II Main results **22**

4 Finiteness theorems for equivariant cohomology rings **22**

5 Finiteness of orbit types **30**

6 Structure theorems for equivariant cohomology rings **32**

7 Points of Artin stacks **43**

8 A generalization of the structure theorems to Artin stacks **52**

9 Künneth formulas **58**

10 Proof of the structure theorem **62**

11 Stratification of the spectrum **70**

Part I

Preliminaries

1 Complements on quotient stacks

Classically, if G is a compact Lie group, a classifying space BG for G is the base of a contractible (right) G-torsor PG. Such a classifying space exists and is essentially unique (up to homotopy equivalence). If X is a G-space (i.e. a topological space endowed with a continuous action of G), one can twist X by PG and get a space $PG \wedge^G X$, defined as the quotient of $PG \times X$ by the diagonal action of G, $((p,x),g) \mapsto (pg, xg)$. This space $PG \wedge^G X$ is a fiber bundle over BG of fiber X, and $PG \times X$ is a G-torsor over $PG \wedge^G X$. If Λ is a ring, the equivariant cohomology of X with value in Λ is defined by

---

[1] The fiber categories of prestacks over C are also assumed to be small.

$$H^*_G(X, \Lambda) := H^*(PG \wedge^G X, \Lambda) = H^*(BG, R\pi_* \Lambda)$$

where $\pi \colon PG \wedge^G X \to BG$ is the projection. The functorial properties of this cohomology, introduced by Borel, are discussed by Quillen in [31, Section 1].

A well-known similar formalism exists in algebraic geometry, with classifying spaces replaced by classifying stacks. We review this formalism in this section.

Construction 1.1. Let C be a category in which finite products are representable. We define the category

$$\mathrm{Eq}(C)$$

of equivariant objects of C as follows. The objects of $\mathrm{Eq}(C)$ are pairs (X,G) consisting of a group object G of C and an object X of C endowed with an action of G, namely a morphism $X \times G \to X$ satisfying the usual axioms for composition and identity. A morphism $(X,G) \to (Y,H)$ in $\mathrm{Eq}(C)$ is a pair (f,u) consisting of a homomorphism $u \colon G \to H$ and a u-equivariant morphism $f \colon X \to Y$. Here the u-equivariance of f is the commutativity of the following diagram in C:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \times G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "u \times f"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\
Y \times H \arrow[r] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

While $\mathrm{Eq}(C)$ is a category, groupoids in C form a (2,1)-category[2]

$$\mathrm{Grpd}(C).$$

If $X_\bullet$ is a groupoid of C, we denote by $s_X \colon X_1 \to X_0$ (resp. $t_X \colon X_1 \to X_0$) the source (resp. target) morphism, and $m_X \colon X_1 \times_{t_X, X_0, s_X} X_1 \to X_1$ the composition morphism. A 1-morphism $f_\bullet \colon X_\bullet \to Y_\bullet$ is a functor from $X_\bullet$ to $Y_\bullet$. If $f_\bullet \colon X_\bullet \to Y_\bullet$, $g_\bullet \colon X_\bullet \to Y_\bullet$ are 1-morphisms, a 2-morphism $f_\bullet \Rightarrow g_\bullet$ is a morphism of functors from $f_\bullet$ to $g_\bullet$, i.e. a morphism $r \colon X_0 \to Y_1$ of C such that $s_Y r = f_0$, $t_Y r = g_0$, and for any $(u \colon a \to b) \in X_1$, $g_1(u) r(a) = r(b) f_1(u)$, i.e. $m_Y(g_1, r s_X) = m_Y(r t_X, f_1)$.

An object (X,G) of $\mathrm{Eq}(C)$ defines a groupoid in C

$$(X,G)_\bullet$$

with $(X,G)_1 = X \times G$, $(X,G)_0 = X$, the source (resp. target) morphism given by $(x,g) \mapsto x$ (resp. $(x,g) \mapsto xg$), and the composition of arrows by $(xg, h)(x,g) = (x, gh)$. The inverse morphism is $(x,g) \mapsto (xg, g^{-1})$ (cf. [26, 3.4.3], where the conventions for source and target are different, and [38, 0444], where the group acts on the left).

A morphism $(f,u) \colon (X,G) \to (Y,H)$ in $\mathrm{Eq}(C)$ gives a morphism of groupoids $(f,u)_\bullet \colon (X,G)_\bullet \to (Y,H)_\bullet$, $(f,u)_0 = f$, $(f,u)_1 = f \times u \colon (x,g) \mapsto (f(x), u(g))$. However, not every morphism of groupoids from $(X,G)_\bullet$ to $(Y,H)_\bullet$ is of this form (as we will see in the next proposition).

This defines a functor

$$\mathrm{Eq}(C) \to \mathrm{Grpd}(C). \tag{1.1.1}$$

The maximal 2-subcategory $\mathrm{Grpd}^{\mathrm{Eq}}(C)$ of $\mathrm{Grpd}(C)$ spanned by the objects in the image of the above functor can be described as follows.

Proposition 1.2. *Let (X,G), (Y,H), and (Z,I) be objects of* $\mathrm{Eq}(C)$*.*

(a) *For any morphism of groupoids $\varphi = (\varphi_0, \varphi_1) \colon (X,G)_\bullet \to (Y,H)_\bullet$, there exist a unique pair of morphisms $f \colon X \to Y$, $u \colon X \times G \to H$ such that $\varphi_1(x,g) = (f(x), u(x,g))$, and the pair (f,u) satisfies the following relations:*

---

[2] A (2,1)-category is a 2-category whose 2-morphisms are invertible.

*(i) f is u-*equivariant*, i.e. $f(xg) = f(x)u(x,g)$.*

(ii) $u(x,g)u(xg,g') = u(x,gg')$.

Conversely, any pair (f,u) satisfying (i), (ii) defines a morphism of groupoids $\varphi_\bullet$. Moreover, if $(a,u)\colon (X,G)_\bullet \to (Y,H)_\bullet$ and $(b,v)\colon (Y,H)_\bullet \to (Z,I)_\bullet$ are morphisms of groupoids, the composition is given by (ba,w), where $w\colon X \times G \to I$ is given by $w(x,g) = v(a(x),u(x,g))$.

(b) Let $\varphi_i = (f_i,u_i)\colon (X,G)_\bullet \to (Y,H)_\bullet$ $(i = 1,2)$ be 1-morphisms of groupoids. Then a 2-morphism from φ_1 to φ_2 is a morphism $r\colon X \to H$ satisfying the relations

(i) $f_1(x)r(x) = f_2(x)$,

(ii) $u_1(x,g)r(xg) = r(x)u_2(x,g)$.

Composition of 2-morphisms is given by multiplication in H.

We will sometimes call a morphism $u\colon X \times G \to G$ satisfying (a) (ii) a *crossed homomorphism*.

Proof. In (a), the existence and uniqueness of (f,u) are clear, and (i) (resp. (ii)) expresses the compatibility of φ with the source and target morphisms (resp. the composition of arrows). The proof of (b) is straightforward. ☐

Definition 1.3. We say that a pseudofunctor $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ between 2-categories is *faithful* (resp. *fully faithful*) if for every pair of objects X and Y in $\mathcal{C}$, the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(FX,FY)$ induced by F is fully faithful (resp. an equivalence of categories). We say that F is essentially surjective if for every object Y of $\mathcal{D}$, there exists an object X of $\mathcal{C}$ and an equivalence $FX \simeq Y$ in $\mathcal{D}$.

Construction 1.4. Let E be a $\mathcal{U}$-topos (we'll be mostly interested in the case E is the topos of fppf sheaves on some algebraic space). A groupoid $X_\bullet$ in E defines a category $[X_\bullet]'$ fibered in groupoids over E whose fiber at U is $X_\bullet(U)$. This is an E-prestack, and, as in [26, 3.4.3], we denote the associated E-stack [38, 02ZP] by $[X_\bullet]$. If π denotes the canonical composite morphism

$$\pi\colon X_0 \to [X_\bullet]' \to [X_\bullet],$$

the groupoid can be recovered from π: there is a natural isomorphism

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} X_0 \times_{[X_\bullet]} X_0 \tag{1.4.1}$$

identifying the projections p_1, p_2 with s, t. More generally, there is a natural isomorphism of simplicial objects

$$\mathrm{Ner}(X_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{cosk}_0(\pi) \tag{1.4.2}$$

between the nerve of the groupoid $X_\bullet$ and the 0-th coskeleton of π.

We denote by $\mathrm{Stack}(E)$ (resp. $\mathrm{PreStack}(E)$) the (2,1)-category of E-stacks (E-prestacks). The pseudofunctor $\mathrm{Grpd}(E) \to \mathrm{PreStack}(E)$ sending $X_\bullet$ to $[X_\bullet]'$ is fully faithful and the pseudofunctor from $\mathrm{PreStack}(E) \to \mathrm{Stack}(E)$ sending an E-prestack to its associated E-stack is faithful. Therefore, the composite pseudofunctor

$$\mathrm{Grpd}(E) \to \mathrm{Stack}(E) \tag{1.4.3}$$

sending $X_\bullet$ to its associated E-stack $[X_\bullet]$ is faithful. In other words, if $X_\bullet$, $Y_\bullet$ are groupoids in E, and $\varphi_i\colon X_\bullet \to Y_\bullet$ $(i = 1,2)$ is a morphism of groupoids, then the natural map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\varphi_1,\varphi_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}([\varphi_1],[\varphi_2])$$

is bijective. However, in general, not every morphism $f\colon [X_\bullet] \to [Y_\bullet]$ is of the form $[\varphi]$ for a morphism of groupoids $\varphi\colon X_\bullet \to Y_\bullet$ (see 1.7). On the other hand, (1.4.3) is essentially surjective.

Notation 1.5. In the case of the groupoid $(X, G)_\bullet$ associated with a G-object X of E, the stack $[(X, G)_\bullet]$ is denoted by

$$[X/G] \tag{1.5.1}$$

and called the *quotient stack* of X by G. For $X = e$ the final object of E (with the trivial action of G), it is called the *classifying stack* of G and denoted by

$$BG := [e/G]. \tag{1.5.2}$$

Recall ([26, 2.4.2], [38, 04WM]) that the projection $X \to [X/G]$ makes X into a universal G-torsor over $[X/G]$, i.e., for U in E, the groupoid $[X/G](U)$ is canonically equivalent to the category of pairs (P, a), where P is a right G_U-torsor and a is a G-equivariant morphism from P to X; morphisms from (P, a) to (Q, b) are G-equivariant morphisms $c\colon P \to Q$ such that $a = bc$.

The action of G on X is recovered from π: the isomorphism (1.4.1) takes the form

$$X \times G \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_{[X/G]} X, \tag{1.5.3}$$

identifying the projections p_1, p_2 with $(x, g) \mapsto x$, $(x, g) \mapsto xg$.

In particular, if $X = e$, for U in E, $BG(U)$ is the groupoid of G-torsors on U, which justifies the terminology "classifying stack". On the other hand, the projection $[X/G] \to BG$ induces $X \to e$ by the restriction $B\{1\} \to BG$, so that one can think of $[X/G] \to BG$ as a "fibration" of fiber X. In other words, $[X/G]$ plays the role of the object $PG \wedge^G X$ recalled at the beginning of Section 1.

In order to describe morphisms from $[X/G]$ to $[Y/H]$ associated to morphisms of groupoids from $(X, G)_\bullet$ to $(Y, H)_\bullet$, we need to introduce the following notation. Let (X, G) be an object of $\mathrm{Eq}(E)$, and let $u\colon X \times G \to H$ be a crossed homomorphism (1.2). We denote by

$$X \wedge^{G,u} H \tag{1.5.4}$$

the quotient of $X \times H$ by G acting by $(x, h)g = (xg, u(x, g)^{-1}h)$. This is an H-object of E, the action of H on it being deduced from its action by right translations on $X \times H$. For any H-object Y of E, the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_u(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_H(X \wedge^{G,u} H, Y) \tag{1.5.5}$$

sending a u-equivariant morphism f (1.2 (a) (i)) to the morphism $f^u\colon (x, h) \mapsto f(x)h$ is bijective.

When $u\colon X \times G \to H$ is defined by $u(x, g) = u_0(g)$ for a group homomorphism $u_0\colon G \to H$, $X \wedge^{G,u} H$ coincides with the usual contracted product [15, III 1.3.1], i.e. the quotient of $X \times H$ by the diagonal action of G, $(x, h)g := (xg, u_0(g)^{-1}h)$.

The following proposition, whose verification is straightforward, describes the restriction of (1.4.3) to $\mathrm{Grpd}^{\mathrm{Eq}}(E)$.

Proposition 1.6. *Let* (X, G) *and* (Y, H) *be objects of* $\mathrm{Eq}(E)$.

(a) Let $(f, u)\colon (X, G)_\bullet \to (Y, H)_\bullet$ *be a morphism of groupoids (1.2), and let*

$$[f/u]\colon [X/G] \to [Y/H]$$

be the associated morphism of stacks. For $(P, a) \in [X/G](U)$, $[f/u](P, a)$ *is the pair consisting of the* H*-torsor* $P \wedge^{G,v} H$ *(where* v *is the composition of* $a \times \mathrm{id}_G\colon P \times G \to X \times G$ *and* u*) and the* H*-equivariant morphism* $a^v\colon P \wedge^{G,v} H \to Y$ *defined by* f *via* (1.5.5). *We will denote by* $a^{(f,u)}$ *the morphism* a^v.

(b) Let φ_1, φ_2, r *be as in 1.2 (b). Then the 2-morphism* $[r]\colon [f_1/u_1] \to [f_2/u_2]$ *induced by* r *is given by the* Y*-morphism* $P \wedge^{G,v_1} H \to P \wedge^{G,v_2} H$ *sending* (p, h) *to* $(p, r(a(p))^{-1}h)$.

For a crossed homomorphism $u\colon X \times G \to H$, The unit section 1 of H defines a u-equivariant morphism

$$1^u\colon X \to X \wedge^{G,u} H. \tag{1.6.1}$$

The morphism of E-stacks

$$[X/G] \to [(X \wedge^{G,u} H)/H] \tag{1.6.2}$$

induced by (1.6.1) sends $T \to X$ to $T \wedge^{G,u} H \to X \wedge^{G,u} H$.

Remark 1.7. The restriction of (1.4.3) to $\mathrm{Grpd}^{\mathrm{Eq}}(E)$ is not fully faithful in general. In other words, for objects (X,G), (Y,H) of $\mathrm{Eq}(E)$, a morphism of stacks $[X/G]\to[Y/H]$ does not necessarily come from a morphism of groupoids $(X,G)_\bullet\to(Y,H)_\bullet$. In fact, in the case $G=\{1\}$ and Y is a nontrivial H-torsor over X, any inverse of the equivalence $[Y/H]\to X$ does not come from a morphism a groupoids. See 1.17 for a useful criterion. See also [40, 5.1] for a calculus of fractions for the composite functor $\mathrm{Eq}(E)\to\mathrm{Stack}(E)$ of (1.1.1) and (1.4.3).

Definition 1.8. We say that a groupoid $X_\bullet$ in E is an *equivalence relation* if $(s_X,t_X)\colon X_1\to X_0\times X_0$ is a monomorphism. In this case, the associated E-stack $[X_\bullet]$ is represented by the quotient sheaf in E. We say that the action of G on X is *free* if the associated groupoid $(X,G)_\bullet$ is an equivalence relation. In this case, $[X/G]$ is represented by the sheaf X/G.

We say that a morphism $X\to Y$ in a 2-category $\mathcal{C}$ is *faithful* (resp. *fully faithful*) if for every object U of $\mathcal{C}$, the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(U,X)\to\mathrm{Hom}(U,Y)$ is faithful (resp. fully faithful). We say that a morphism of E-prestacks $\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{Y}$ is *faithful* (resp. a *monomorphism*, resp. an *equivalence*) if it is faithful (resp. fully faithful, resp. an equivalence) in the 2-category of E-prestacks. This is equivalent as saying $\mathcal{X}(U)\to\mathcal{Y}(U)$ is a faithful functor (resp. fully faithful functor, resp. an equivalence of categories) for every U in E.

If $\mathcal{X}'$ is an E-prestack and $\mathcal{X}$ is its associated E-stack, then the canonical morphism $\mathcal{X}'\to\mathcal{X}$ is a monomorphism. Let $(f,u)\colon(X,G)\to(Y,H)$ be a morphism of $\mathrm{Eq}(E)$. If u is a monomorphism, then $[f/u]\colon[X/G]\to[Y/H]$ is faithful.

Remark 1.9. The category $\mathrm{Eq}(E)$ admits finite inverse limits, whose formation commutes with the projection functors $p_1\colon(X,G)\mapsto X$ and $p_2\colon(X,G)\mapsto G$ from $\mathrm{Eq}(E)$ to E and to the category of groups of E respectively. In particular, a commutative square in $\mathrm{Eq}(E)$,

(1.9.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X',G') \arrow[r, "(f',\gamma')"] \arrow[d, "(p,u)"'] & (Y',H') \arrow[d, "(q,v)"] \\
(X,G) \arrow[r, "(f,\gamma)"] & (Y,H)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian if and only if the squares deduced by p_1 and p_2 are. A commutative square (1.9.1) gives a 2-commutative square of E-stacks

(1.9.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[X'/G']} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & {[Y'/H']} \arrow[d] \\
{[X/G]} \arrow[r] & {[Y/H].}
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is not true in general that if (1.9.1) is cartesian, (1.9.2) is 2-cartesian, as (1.5.3) already shows. On the one hand, the functor $\mathrm{Eq}(E)\to\mathrm{Grpd}(E)$ sending (X,G) to $(X,G)_\bullet$ carries finite inverse limits to finite inverse strict limits[3]. The category $\mathrm{Grpd}(E)$ admits finite inverse strict limits, whose formation commutes with the projection functors $X_\bullet\mapsto X_0$ and $X_\bullet\mapsto X_1$ from $\mathrm{Grpd}(E)$ to E. On the other hand, the pseudofunctor $\mathrm{Grpd}(E)\to\mathrm{Stack}(E)$ does not send strictly cartesian squares to 2-cartesian squares in general, as we have just observed (since the cartesian square (1.9.1) gives a strictly cartesian square of groupoids).

We will need the following result, which is a partial generalization of [40, 5.4].

Proposition 1.10.

(a) Consider a strictly cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X'_\bullet \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y'_\bullet \arrow[d] \\
X_\bullet \arrow[r] & Y_\bullet
\end{tikzcd}$$

---

[3] By a *strict limit* in a 2-category, we mean a limit in the underlying category. By a *strictly cartesian square* in a 2-category, we mean a cartesian square in the underlying category.

in Grpd(E). *Then the induced morphism of E-stacks $[X'_\bullet] \to [X_\bullet] \times_{[Y_\bullet]} [Y'_\bullet]$ is a monomorphism (1.8).*

(b) *Consider a cartesian square* (1.9.1) *in* Eq(E). *If the morphism*

(1.10.1)
$$H' \times G \to H$$

in E given by $(h, g) \mapsto hg$ *is an epimorphism, then* (1.9.2) *is 2-cartesian.*

Proof. (a) We say that a 2-commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y' \arrow[d] \\
X \arrow[r] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

in a 2-category $\mathcal{C}$ is weakly 2-cartesian if the induced morphism $X' \to X \times_Y Y'$ is fully faithful. Here $X \times_Y Y'$ denotes the 2-fiber product. A strictly cartesian square in $\mathcal{C}$ is weakly 2-cartesian. The assertion then follows from the fact that the functor Grpd$(E) \to$ PreStack(E) preserves finite strict inverse limits and the pseudofunctor PreStack$(E) \to$ Stack(E) preserves weakly 2-cartesian squares.

(b) Let

$$\alpha\colon [X'/G'] \to \mathcal{X} := [X/G] \times_{[Y/H]} [Y'/H']$$

be the induced morphism of E-stacks. In view of (a), we have to show that for any object V of E, the functor $\alpha_V\colon [X'/G']_V \to \mathcal{X}_V$ is essentially surjective. By definition, for any object V of E, $\mathcal{X}_V$ is the category of triples $((T, t), (T', t'), s)$, where $(T, t\colon T \to X)$ is an object of $[X/G]_V$, $(T', t'\colon T' \to Y')$ is an object of $[Y'/H']_V$, and $s\colon [f/u]_V(T, t) \to [q/v]_V(T', t')$ is an isomorphism. In other words (1.6 (b)), $s\colon T \wedge^{G,\gamma} H \to T' \wedge^{H',v} H$ is an isomorphism of H-torsors over V, compatible with the morphisms to Y (i.e. with $qt' = ft$). The morphism α sends an object (P, w) of $[X'/G']_V$ to $([p/u]_V P, [f'/\gamma']_V(P, w), \sigma)$, where $\sigma\colon [fp/\gamma u]_V(P, w) \to [qf'/v\gamma']_V(P, w)$ is the obvious isomorphism. By (a), α is a monomorphism. It remains to show that for any object V of E and any object $a = ((T, t), (T', t'), s)$ of $\mathcal{X}_V$, there exists a cover $(V_i \to V)_{i\in I}$ and, for every $i \in I$, an object (P_i, w_i) of $[X'/G']_{V_i}$ such that $\alpha(P_i, w_i) \simeq a_{V_i}$. Take a cover $(V_i \to V)_{i\in I}$ such that for every i, T_{V_i} and T'_{V_i} are both trivial and choose trivializations of them. Then s_{V_i} is represented by the left multiplication by some $h_i \in H(V_i)$. By the assumption on (1.10.1), we may assume $h_i = h'_i g_i$, $h'_i \in H'(V_i)$, $g_i \in G(V_i)$. In this case, the square

(1.10.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_{V_i} \arrow[r, "s_{V_i}"] \arrow[d, "\lambda_{g_i}"'] & H_{V_i} \arrow[d, "\lambda^{-1}_{h'_i}"] \\
H_{V_i} \arrow[r, "1"] & H_{V_i}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes where λ_{g_i} is the left multiplication by g_i and $\lambda_{h'_i}$ is the left multiplication by h'_i. Thus (1.10.2) gives an isomorphism $a_{V_i} \simeq b_i$, where $b_i = ((G_{V_i}, t\lambda^{-1}_{g_i}), (H'_{V_i}, t'\lambda_{h'_i}), 1)$. Let $\rho_i\colon H_{V_i} \to Y$ be the morphism $(t\lambda^{-1}_{g_i})^{(f,\gamma)} = (t'\lambda_{h'_i})^{(q,v)}$ with the notation of 1.6 (a). Taking the product of $(G_{V_i}, t\lambda^{-1}_{g_i})$ and $(H'_{V_i}, t'\lambda_{h'_i})$ over ρ_i gives us an element (P_i, w_i) of $[X/G]_{V_i}$, where $P_i = G_{V_i} \times_{H'_{V_i}} H_{V_i}$ and $w_i = t\lambda^{-1}_{g_i} \times_{\rho_i} t'\lambda_{h'_i}$, whose image under α is b_i. □

Corollary 1.11. *Suppose $u\colon G \to H$ is an epimorphism of groups of E, with kernel K. Then the natural morphism*

$$BK \xrightarrow{\sim} e \times_{BH} BG$$

is an isomorphism.

In other words, we can view $Bu\colon BG \to BH$ as a fibration of fiber BK.

Corollary 1.12. *Let (X, G) be an object in* Eq(E), *H be a normal subgroup of G acting freely (1.8) on X. Then the morphism $f\colon [X/G] \to [(X/H)/(G/H)]$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Applying 1.10 to the cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X,H) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (X/H,\{1\}) \arrow[d] \\
(X,G) \arrow[r] & (X/H,G/H)
\end{tikzcd}$$

in $\mathrm{Eq}(E)$, we obtain a 2-cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[X/H]} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & {[X/H]} \arrow[d] \\
{[X/G]} \arrow[r, "f"] & {[(X/H)/(G/H)]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

of E-stacks, where the top horizontal arrow is the identity and the right vertical arrow is a faithful epimorphism. Thus f is an isomorphism. $\square$

The following *induction formula* will be useful later in the calculation of equivariant cohomology groups (cf. [31, (1.7)]).

Corollary 1.13. *Let (X,G) be an object of $\mathrm{Eq}(E)$ and $u\colon X\times G\to H$ be a crossed homomorphism. Assume that the action of G on $X\times H$, as defined in 1.5, is free (1.8). Then $f\colon [X/G]\to [X\wedge^{G,u} H/H]$ (1.6.2) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The morphism f can be decomposed as

$$[X/G]\xrightarrow{\alpha}[X\times H/G\times H]\xrightarrow{\beta}[X\wedge^{G,u} H/H],$$

where β is an isomorphism by 1.12, and α is induced by the morphism $X\to X\times H$ given by the unit section of H and the crossed homomorphism $X\times G\to G\times H$ sending (x,g) to $(g,u(x,g))$. Since α is a 2-section of the morphism $[X\times H/G\times H]\to[X/G]$, which is an isomorphism by 1.12, α is also an isomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 1.14. *Let $u\colon G\hookrightarrow H$ be a monomorphism of group objects in E. Then*

(a) The morphism of stacks $BG\to[(G\backslash H)/H]$ is an equivalence.

(b) The natural morphism $G\backslash H\to e\times_{BH} BG$ is an isomorphism.

In other words, (a) says that, for any homogeneous space X of group H, if G is the stabilizer of a section x of X, then the morphism $BG\to[X/H]$ given by $x\colon e\to X$ is an isomorphism, while (b) can be thought as saying that $BG\to BH$ is a fibration of fiber H/G.

Proof. Assertion (a) follows from 1.13. Assertion (b) follows from 1.10 applied to the cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(G\backslash H,\{1\}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (e,\{1\}) \arrow[d] \\
(G\backslash H,H) \arrow[r] & (e,H)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and from (a). $\square$

Construction 1.15. We will apply the above formalism to a relative situation, which we now describe. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an E-stack. We denote by $\mathrm{Stack}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ the (2,1)-category of E-stacks over $\mathcal{X}$. An object of $\mathrm{Stack}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ is a pair $(\mathcal{Y},y)$, where $\mathcal{Y}$ is an E-stack and $y\colon\mathcal{Y}\to\mathcal{X}$ is a morphism of E-stacks.

A morphism in $\mathrm{Stack}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ from $(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ to $(\mathcal{Z}, z)$ is a pair (f, α), where $f\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Z}$ is a morphism of E-stacks and $\alpha\colon y \to zf$ is a 2-morphism:

(1.15.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{Y} \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[dr, "y"'] & \mathcal{Z} \arrow[d, "z"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\Nearrow" near end] \\
& \mathcal{X}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The morphism f is necessarily faithful (1.8). A 2-morphism $(f, \alpha) \to (g, \beta)$ in $\mathrm{Stack}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ is a 2-morphism $\eta\colon f \to g$ in the (2,1)-category $\mathrm{Stack}(E)$ such that $\beta = (z * \eta) \circ \alpha$.

A morphism $y\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ of E-stacks is faithful (1.8) if and only if for any object U of E and any morphism $x\colon U \to \mathcal{X}$, the 2-fiber product $U \times_{x,\mathcal{X},y} \mathcal{Y}$ is isomorphic to a sheaf. Consider the full 2-subcategory $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathrm{Stack}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{Y}, y)$ where y is faithful. For any morphism $(f, \alpha)\colon (\mathcal{Y}, y) \to (\mathcal{Z}, z)$ in $\mathcal{S}$, f is necessarily faithful. A 2-morphism $\eta\colon (f, \alpha) \to (g, \beta)$ in $\mathcal{S}$, if it exists, is uniquely determined by (f, α) and (g, β). In other words, if we denote by $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ the category obtained from $\mathcal{S}$ by identifying isomorphic morphisms, then the 2-functor $\mathcal{S} \to \mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ is a 2-equivalence.

For any morphism $\phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ of E-stacks, base change by ϕ induces a functor $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{Y}} \to \mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$. If S is an object of E, $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/S}$ is equivalent to $E_{/S}$. More generally, if $U_\bullet$ is a groupoid in E, $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/[U_\bullet]}$ is equivalent to the category of local systems above $[U_\bullet]$, i.e. objects of E over U_0 endowed with a descent datum relative to $U_\bullet$. In particular, if (X, G) is an object of $\mathrm{Eq}(E)$, $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/[X/G]}$ is equivalent to the category of G-objects of E, equivariant over X. For example, $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/BG}$ is equivalent to the topos B_G of Grothendieck.

Proposition 1.16.

(a) The category $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ *is a* $\mathcal{U}$*-topos.*

(b) Let $\mathcal{X}$ *be a stack. For any stack* $\mathcal{Y}$ *over* $\mathcal{X}$*, associating to any stack* $\mathcal{Z}$ *faithful over* $\mathcal{X}$ *the groupoid* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Y})$ *defines a stack* $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}$ *over* $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$*. The morphism*

(1.16.1)
$$\mathrm{Stack}_{/\mathcal{X}} \to \mathrm{Stack}(\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}), \quad \mathcal{Y} \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{Y}}$$

is a 2-equivalence.

Proof. (a) We apply Giraud's criterion [43, IV 1.2]. If $\mathcal{T}$ is a small generating family of E, then $\coprod_{U \in \mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{X}(U))$ is a small generating family of $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$. Let us now show that every sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ for the canonical topology is representable. Consider, for every object U of E, the category of pairs (x, s) consisting of $x \in \mathcal{X}(U)$ and $s \in \Gamma(x, \mathcal{F})$, where the last occurrence of x is to be understood as the object $x\colon U \to \mathcal{X}$ in $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$. A morphism $(x, s) \mapsto (y, t)$ is a morphism $\alpha\colon x \to y$ in $\mathcal{X}(U)$ such that $\alpha^* t = s$. This defines an E-stack $\mathcal{X}'$. The faithful morphism $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}$ of E-stacks defined by the first projection $(x, s) \mapsto x$ represents $\mathcal{F}$. The other conditions in Giraud's criterion are trivially satisfied. Thus $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ is a $\mathcal{U}$-topos.

(b) We will construct a quasi-inverse to (1.16.1). let $\mathcal{C}$ be a stack over $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$. For every object U of E, consider the category of pairs (x, s) consisting of $x \in \mathcal{X}(U)$ and $s \in \mathcal{C}(x)$. A morphism $(x, s) \to (y, t)$ is a pair (α, β) consisting of a morphism $\alpha\colon x \to y$ in $\mathcal{X}(U)$ and a morphism $\beta\colon \alpha^* t \to s$ in $\mathcal{C}(x)$. This defines an E-stack $\mathcal{Y}$. The first projection $(x, s) \mapsto x$ defines a morphism $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ of E-stacks. The construction $\mathcal{C} \mapsto (\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X})$ defines a pseudofunctor

(1.16.2)
$$\mathrm{Stack}(\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}) \to \mathrm{Stack}_{/\mathcal{X}},$$

which is a 2-quasi-inverse to (1.16.1) ☐

Composition of (1.4.3) and (1.16.2) is a faithful and essentially surjective (1.3) pseudofunctor

(1.16.3)
$$\mathrm{Grpd}(\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}) \to \mathrm{Stack}_{/\mathcal{X}}.$$

We denote the image of a groupoid $X_\bullet$ in $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ under (1.16.3) by $[X_\bullet/\mathcal{X}]$, and the image of a morphism $f_\bullet$ of groupoids under (1.16.3) by $[f_\bullet/\mathcal{X}]$. For (X,G) in $\mathrm{Eq}(\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}})$, we denote the image of $(X,G)_\bullet$ under (1.16.3) by $[X/G/\mathcal{X}]$. For $(f,u)\colon (X,G)_\bullet \to (Y,H)_\bullet$, we denote the image under (1.16.3) by $[f/u/\mathcal{X}]$.

We now apply the above formalism to the big fppf topoi of algebraic spaces. Recall that a stack is a stack over the big fppf site of $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$. The following result will be useful in §§7, 8.

Proposition 1.17. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a stack, $X_\bullet$, $Y_\bullet$ be objects in* $\mathrm{Grpd}(\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}})$. *Assume that X_0 is a strictly local scheme and the morphisms $Y_1 \rightrightarrows Y_0$ are representable and smooth. Then the functor induced by* (1.16.3)*:*

$$F\colon \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Grpd}(\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}})}(X_\bullet, Y_\bullet) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Stack}_{/\mathcal{X}}}([X_\bullet/\mathcal{X}], [Y_\bullet/\mathcal{X}])$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. It remains to show that F is essentially surjective. Let $\phi\colon [X_\bullet/\mathcal{X}] \to [Y_\bullet/\mathcal{X}]$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{Stack}_{/\mathcal{X}}$. Take a 2-cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_0' \arrow[rr] \arrow[d] & & Y_0 \arrow[d] \\
X_0 \arrow[r] & {[X_\bullet/\mathcal{X}]} \arrow[r, "\phi"] & {[Y_\bullet/\mathcal{X}]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since X_0' is representable and smooth over X_0, it admits a section by [17, 17.16.3 (ii)], which induces the 2-commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_0 \arrow[r, "f_0"] \arrow[d] & Y_0 \arrow[d] \\
{[X_\bullet/\mathcal{X}]} \arrow[r, "\phi"] & {[Y_\bullet/\mathcal{X}]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $f_1 = f_0 \times_\phi f_0\colon X_1 \to Y_1$. Then $f_\bullet\colon X_\bullet \to Y_\bullet$ is a morphism of groupoids in $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ and $\phi \simeq [f_\bullet/\mathcal{X}]$. ☐

Remark 1.18. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a stack. We denote by $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{faith}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ consisting of representable morphisms $X \to \mathcal{X}$. A morphism in this category from $X \to \mathcal{X}$ to $Y \to \mathcal{X}$ is a morphism $X \to Y$ of stacks equipped with a 2-morphism (1.15.1). The morphism $X \to Y$ is necessarily representable. Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is an Artin stack. For any object $X \to \mathcal{X}$ of $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$, X is necessarily an Artin stack. For any object $(d,t)\colon X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0$ in $\mathrm{Grpd}(\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}})$, if d and t are flat and locally of finite presentation, then $[X_\bullet/\mathcal{X}]$ is an Artin stack. In particular, for any object (X,G) in $\mathrm{Eq}(\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}})$ with G flat of and locally of finite presentation over $\mathcal{X}$, $[X/G/\mathcal{X}]$ is an Artin stack.

2 A descent lemma and a projection formula for the étale cohomology of Artin stacks

Notation 2.1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack. We denote by $\mathrm{AlgSp}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ (1.18) consisting of morphisms $U \to \mathcal{X}$ with U an algebraic space. Let $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{sm,rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$) be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $\mathrm{AlgSp}_{/\mathcal{X}}$) consisting of smooth morphisms $U \to \mathcal{X}$. The covering families of the smooth pretopology on $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{sm,rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$) are those $(U_i \to U)_{i\in I}$ such that $\coprod_{i\in I} U_i \to U$ is smooth and surjective. The covering families for the étale pretopology on $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ are those $(U_i \to U)_{i\in I}$ such that $\coprod_{i\in I} U_i \to U$ is étale and surjective. Since every smooth cover in $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ has an étale refinement by [17, 17.16.3 (ii)], the smooth pretopology and the étale pretopology generate the same topology on $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$. Following [26, 12.1], we define the *smooth-étale* *site of* $\mathcal{X}$ to be the category $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ endowed with this topology. Following [2, 5.3], we define the *smooth site of* $\mathcal{X}$ to be the category $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{sm,rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ endowed with the topology generated by the smooth pretopology.

Lemma 2.2. *The topology on the smooth-étale site* $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ *is induced from the smooth site* $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{sm,rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ *by the inclusion functor* $\iota\colon \mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{X}} \to \mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{sm,rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$. *Moreover,* ι *induces an equivalence of topoi.*

In the sequel we identify the two topoi to a topos denoted by $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}}$, called the *smooth topos of* $\mathcal{X}$.

Proof. The second assertion follows from the first one and [43, III 4.1]. Since every smooth covering in $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ is a covering in $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{sm,rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$, it is also a covering for the induced topology by [43, III 3.3]. Conversely, let X be an object of $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ and let R be a covering sieve of U for the induced topology. By [43, III 3.2], $\iota_!R$ is a covering sieve of X in $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{sm,rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$. It follows that $\iota_!R$ contains the sieve generated by a smooth cover $(X_i \to X)_{i\in I}$. Up to refining this cover, we may assume that every X_i is an algebraic space. Then R is a covering sieve for the smooth-étale topology. ☐

Notation 2.3. The category of sheaves in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}}$ is equivalent to the category of systems $(\mathcal{F}_u, \theta_\phi)$, where $u\colon U \to \mathcal{X}$ runs through objects of $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$, $\phi\colon u \to v$ runs through morphisms of $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$, $\mathcal{F}_u$ is an étale sheaf on U, and $\theta_\phi\colon \phi^*\mathcal{F}_v \to \mathcal{F}_u$, satisfying a cocycle condition [26, 12.2] and such that θ_ϕ is an isomorphism for ϕ étale. Recall [26, 12.3] that a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be *cartesian* if θ_ϕ is an isomorphism for all ϕ, or, equivalently, for all ϕ smooth [29, 3.8]. We denote by $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X})$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}})$ consisting of cartesian sheaves.

Let Λ be a commutative ring. Recall [26, 18.1.4] that, if Λ is noetherian, a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of Λ-modules on $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be *constructible* if $\mathcal{F}$ is cartesian and if $\mathcal{F}_u$ is constructible for some smooth presentation $u\colon U \to \mathcal{X}$, or equivalently, for every smooth presentation $u\colon U \to \mathcal{X}$. We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Mod}_c(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$) the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}},\Lambda)$ consisting of cartesian (resp. constructible) sheaves.

We denote by $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$ (resp. $D_c(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$) the full subcategory of $D(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}},\Lambda)$ consisting of complexes with cartesian (resp. constructible) cohomology sheaves. We have $D_c(\mathcal{X},\Lambda) \subset D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$. The derived tensor product [23, 18.6.4]

$$\otimes^L_\Lambda\colon D(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}},\Lambda) \times D(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}},\Lambda) \to D(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}},\Lambda)$$

preserves $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$ and $D_c^-(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$. Note that $\otimes^L$ is here defined on unbounded derived categories.

If $\mathcal{X}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack, we denote by $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{et}}$ or simply $\mathcal{X}$ its étale topos. The inclusion of the étale site in the smooth site induces a morphism of topoi $(\epsilon_*, \epsilon^*)\colon \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}} \to \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{et}}$. Note that ϵ_* is exact and ϵ^* induces an equivalence from $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{et}}$ to $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}})$. For any ring Λ, ϵ^* induces $D(\mathcal{X},\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$.

Notation 2.4. Let $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of Artin stacks and let Λ be a commutative ring. It is shown in [27, 0.1] that the functor $f^*\colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y},\Lambda) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$ extends to a functor

$$f^*\colon D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y},\Lambda) \to D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda),$$

which is t-exact for the canonical t-structures. Moreover, it is shown in *loc. cit.* that this functor admits a right adjoint

$$Rf_*\colon D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda) \to D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y},\Lambda)$$

with

$$f_* = \mathcal{H}^0 Rf_*\colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y},\Lambda)$$

being right adjoint to $f^*\colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y},\Lambda) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$. Note that Rf_* is defined on the whole category D_{cart}, not just on D^+_{cart}. For $M, N \in D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y},\Lambda)$, we have a natural isomorphism

$$f^*(M \otimes^L_\Lambda N) \xrightarrow{\sim} f^*M \otimes^L_\Lambda f^*N.$$

If f is a surjective morphism, then f^* is conservative.

A 2-morphism $\alpha\colon f \Rightarrow g$ of morphisms of Artin stacks $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ induces natural equivalences $\alpha^*\colon g^* \Rightarrow f^*$ and $R\alpha_*\colon Rf_* \Rightarrow Rg_*$. The following squares commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{1}_{D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y},\Lambda)} \arrow[r, Rightarrow] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] & Rf_*f^* \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "R\alpha_*"] & & g^*Rf_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "R\alpha_*"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"'] & g^*Rg_* \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \\
Rg_*g^* \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\alpha^*"] & Rg_*f^* & & f^*Rf_* \arrow[r, Rightarrow] & \mathbf{1}_{D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Recall that a morphism of Artin stacks $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is *universally submersive* [38, 06U6] if for every morphism of Artin stacks $\mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{Y}$, the base change $\mathcal{Y}' \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is submersive, i.e. submersive on the underlying topological spaces.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of Artin stacks and let Λ be a commutative ring. Assume that f is universally submersive (resp. either faithfully flat and locally of finite presentation or proper surjective). Then f is of descent (resp. effective descent) for cartesian sheaves of Λ-modules.*

In other words, f^* induces an equivalence from $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y})$ to the category of descent data, whose objects are cartesian sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}}$ endowed an isomorphism $p_1^*\mathcal{F} \to p_2^*\mathcal{F}$ satisfying the cocycle condition, where $p_1, p_2\colon \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ are the two projections.

Proof. Let us first prove the case when f is a morphism of algebraic spaces. In this case, the category of cartesian sheaves is equivalent to the category of étale sheaves. Choose an étale presentation $g\colon X' \to \mathcal{X}$. Up to replacing f by fg we may assume f schematic by [14, 6.25 (ii)]. Since surjective étale morphisms of algebraic spaces are of effective descent, we may assume f is a morphism of schemes by [14, 6.25 (i)]. In this case, the assertion is [43, VIII 9.1] (resp. [43, VIII 9.4]).

In the general case, choose a smooth presentation $g\colon X \to \mathcal{X}$. Up to replacing f by fg, we may assume f representable. By the definition of cartesian sheaves, the sieve generated by $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$ in $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{rep}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$ is of effective descent. Moreover, the base change $Y \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \to Y$ of f by any $Y \to \mathcal{Y}$ in $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$ is of universal descent (resp. universal effective descent) by the last paragraph. We conclude by [14, 6.27 (i)]. ☐

Corollary 2.6. *Let S be an algebraic space, G a flat group algebraic S-space locally of finite presentation, and X an algebraic space over S, endowed with an action of G. Denote by $\alpha\colon G \times_S X \to X$ the action and by $p\colon G \times_S X \to X$ the projection, and let $f\colon X \to [X/G]$ be the canonical morphism. Then f^* identifies $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{cart}}([X/G], \Lambda)$ with the category of pairs $(\mathcal{F}, a)$, where $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Mod}(X, \Lambda)$ and $a\colon \alpha^*\mathcal{F} \to p^*\mathcal{F}$ is a map satisfying the usual cocycle condition.*

Note that the cocycle condition implies that $i^*a\colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ is the identity, where $i\colon X \to G \times_S X$ is the morphism induced by the unit section of G.

Proof. Indeed f satisfies the assumptions of 2.5. ☐

Corollary 2.7. *Let S and G be as in 2.6. Assume that G has* connected *geometric fibers. Then the functor*

$$e^*\colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(BG, \Lambda) \to \mathrm{Mod}(S, \Lambda),$$

induced by the morphism $e\colon S \to BG$ defined by the unit section of G is an equivalence.

Proof. Applying the above remark to $X = S$, we find that cartesian Λ-modules on BG correspond by e^* to Λ-modules $\mathcal{F}$ on S endowed with an action of G, i.e. a homomorphism of group spaces $\rho\colon G \to \mathcal{A}ut(\mathcal{F})$ over S. The image of such a homomorphism ρ is the unit section. Indeed, this can be checked over geometric points $s \to S$, so we may assume that S is such a point. But as G is connected and $\mathcal{A}ut(\mathcal{F})$ is discrete, this image is $\{1\}$. One could also observe that in this case $a\colon p^*\mathcal{F} \to p^*\mathcal{F}$ is necessarily the identity as G is connected and i^*a is the identity by the remark following 2.6. ☐

Remark 2.8. The morphism e in 2.7 corresponds to the trivial G-torsor on S. If $f\colon S \to BG$ is a morphism corresponding to an arbitrary G-torsor T on S, as the sheaf of automorphisms of T is G, $\operatorname{cosk}_0(f)$ is naturally identified to $\operatorname{cosk}_0(e)$, and the argument in 2.7 shows that the functor

$$f^*\colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(BG,\Lambda) \to \mathrm{Mod}(S,\Lambda) \tag{2.8.1}$$

is an equivalence. Such a morphism f is a section of the projection $\pi\colon BG \to S$. The equivalence (2.8.1) implies that f^* and f_* are quasi-inverse to each other. Therefore, the natural transformations

$$\pi_* \to \pi_* f_* f^* \simeq f^*, \quad \pi^* \to f_* f^* \pi^* \simeq f_*$$

induced by the unit transformation $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Mod}(S,\Lambda)} \to f_* f^*$ are natural equivalences. In particular, we have natural equivalences $f_*\pi_* \simeq \mathrm{id}$ and $\pi^* f^* \simeq \mathrm{id}$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack, $M \in D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$, $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be an interval. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) For every $N \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$, $\mathcal{H}^q(M \otimes^L_\Lambda N) = 0$ for all $q \in \mathbb{Z} - I$.

(b) For every $N \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Lambda)$, $\mathcal{H}^q(M \otimes^L_\Lambda N) = 0$ for all $q \in \mathbb{Z} - I$.

(c) For every geometric point $i\colon x \to X$, i^*M as an element of $D(x,\Lambda)$ is of tor-amplitude contained in I.

If the conditions of the lemma are satisfied, we say M is of *cartesian tor-amplitude* contained in I. If $M \in D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$ has cartesian tor-amplitude contained in $[a,+\infty)$ and $N \in D^{\geq b}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$, then $M \otimes^L_\Lambda N$ is in $D^{\geq a+b}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$.

Proof. Obviously (a) implies (b) and (b) implies (c). Since the family of functors $i^*\colon D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda) \to D(x,\Lambda)$ is conservative, where i runs through all geometric points of $\mathcal{X}$, (c) implies (a). ☐

Proposition 2.10 (Projection formula)**.** *Let $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of Artin stacks and let Λ be a noetherian commutative ring, $L \in D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$, $K \in D_c(\mathcal{Y},\Lambda)$ such that $\mathcal{H}^qK$ is locally constant for all q. Assume one of the following:*

(a) Λ is a finite product of regular local rings and $K \in D^+$, $L \in D^+$.

(b) Λ is a finite product of local rings and K has finite cartesian tor-amplitude.

(c) $Rf_*\colon D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda) \to D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y},\Lambda)$ has finite cohomological amplitude and either $K \in D^-$ or L has finite cartesian tor-amplitude.

Then the map

$$K \otimes^L_\Lambda Rf_*L \to Rf_*(f^*K \otimes^L_\Lambda L)$$

induced by the composite map

$$f^*(K \otimes^L_\Lambda Rf_*L) \xrightarrow{\sim} f^*K \otimes^L_\Lambda f^*Rf_*L \to f^*K \otimes L$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. In case (a), we may assume $K \in D^b_c(\mathcal{Y},\Lambda)$ and we are then in case (b). In case (b), we may assume that $\mathcal{H}^qK$ is a constant sheaf for all q and it then suffices to take a finite resolution of K by finite projective Λ-modules. In the first case of (c), we may assume $K \in D^b_c(\mathcal{Y},\Lambda)$. We may further assume that K is a constant sheaf. It then suffices to take a resolution of K by finite free Λ-modules. In the second case of (c), we reduce to the first case of (c) using the following corollary of the first case of (c). ☐

Corollary 2.11. *Let $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of Artin stacks and let Λ be a noetherian commutative ring. Assume that the functor $Rf_*\colon D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda) \to D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y},\Lambda)$ has finite cohomological amplitude. Then, for every $L \in D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$ of cartesian tor-amplitude contained in $[a,+\infty)$, Rf_*L has cartesian tor-amplitude contained in $[a,+\infty)$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from 2.9 and 2.10 (c). ☐

The following statement on generic constructibility and generic base change generalizes [29, 9.10].

Proposition 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be an noetherian Artin stack and let $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of finitely-presented Artin stacks over $\mathcal{Z}$. Let Λ be a noetherian commutative ring annihilated by an integer invertible on $\mathcal{Z}$, $L \in D_c^+(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda)$. Then for every integer i there exists a dense open substack $\mathcal{Z}^\circ$ of $\mathcal{Z}$ such that*

(i) The restriction of R^if_*L to $\mathcal{Z}^\circ \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ is constructible.

(ii) R^if_*L is compatible with arbitrary base change of Artin stacks $\mathcal{Z}' \to \mathcal{Z}^\circ \subset \mathcal{Z}$.

Proof. Recall first that for any 2-commutative diagram of Artin stacks of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{X}'' \arrow[r, "h'"] \arrow[d, "f''"'] & \mathcal{X}' \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "f'"] & \mathcal{C} \arrow[d, "f"] \\
\mathcal{Y}'' \arrow[r, "h"] & \mathcal{Y}' \arrow[r, "g"] & \mathcal{Y}
\end{tikzcd}$$

the following diagram commutes:

(2.12.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
(gh)^*Rf_*L \arrow[rr, "b_{gh}"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & & Rf''_*(g'h')^*L \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
h^*g^*Rf_*L \arrow[r, "h^*b_g"] & h^*Rf'_*g'^*L \arrow[r, "b_h"] & Rf''_*h'^*g'^*L
\end{tikzcd}$$

where b_{gh}, b_g, b_h are base change maps.

If $\mathcal{Z}$ is a scheme, then, as in [29, 9.10], cohomological descent and the case of schemes [10, Th. finitude 1.9] imply that there exists a dense open subscheme $\mathcal{Z}^\circ$ of $\mathcal{Z}$ such that (i) holds and that R^if_*L is compatible with arbitrary base change of schemes $Z' \to \mathcal{Z}^\circ \subset \mathcal{Z}$. This implies (ii). In fact, for any base change of Artin stacks $g\colon \mathcal{Z}' \to \mathcal{Z}^\circ \subset \mathcal{Z}$, take a smooth presentation $p\colon Z' \to \mathcal{Z}'$ where Z' is a scheme. Then b_p is an isomorphism and b_{gp} is an isomorphism by assumption. It follows that p^*b_g and hence b_g are isomorphisms.

In the general case, let $p\colon Z \to \mathcal{Z}$ be a smooth presentation where Z is a quasi-compact scheme. By the preceding case, there exists a dense open subscheme $Z^\circ \subset Z$ such that after forming the 2-commutative diagram with 2-cartesian squares

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{X}_Z \arrow[r, "p_{\mathcal{X}}"] \arrow[d, "f_Z"'] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[d, "f"] \\
\mathcal{Y}_Z \arrow[r, "p_{\mathcal{Y}}"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{Y} \arrow[d] \\
Z \arrow[r, "p"] & \mathcal{Z}
\end{tikzcd}$$

the restriction of $R^if_{Z*}p^*_{\mathcal{X}}L$ to $Z^\circ \times_Z \mathcal{Y}_Z$ is constructible and that $R^if_{Z*}p^*_{\mathcal{X}}L$ commutes with arbitrary base change of Artin stacks $\mathcal{W} \to Z^\circ \subset Z$. We claim that $\mathcal{Z}^\circ = p(Z^\circ)$ satisfies (i) and (ii). To see this, let $p^\circ\colon Z^\circ \to \mathcal{Z}^\circ$ be the restriction of p. By definition p° is surjective. Then (i) follows from the fact that

$$p^{\circ *}_{\mathcal{Y}}(R^if_*L|\mathcal{Z}^\circ \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Y}) \simeq R^if_{Z*}p^*_{\mathcal{X}}L|Z^\circ \times_Z \mathcal{Y}_Z$$

is constructible. For any base change of Artin stacks $\mathcal{Z}' \to \mathcal{Z}^\circ$, form the following 2-cartesian square:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z' \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "p'"'] & Z^\circ \arrow[d, "p^\circ"] \\
\mathcal{Z}' \arrow[r, "g"] & \mathcal{Z}^\circ
\end{tikzcd}$$

By (2.12.1), $b_{p'}(p'^* b_g) = b_h(h^* b_{p^\circ})$. Since p° and p' are smooth, b_{p° and $b_{p'}$ are isomorphisms. By the construction of p°, b_h is an isomorphism. It follows that $p'^* b_g$ and hence b_g are isomorphisms. □

3 Multiplicative structures in derived categories

Definition 3.1. For us, a *$\otimes$-category* is a symmetric monoidal category [28, VII §7], that is, a category $\mathcal{T}$ endowed with a bifunctor $\otimes\colon \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$, a unit object $\mathbf{1}$ and functorial isomorphisms

$$\begin{gathered} a_{LMN}\colon L \otimes (M \otimes N) \to (L \otimes M) \otimes N, \\ c_{MN}\colon M \otimes N \to N \otimes M, \\ u_M\colon M \otimes \mathbf{1} \to M, \quad v_M\colon \mathbf{1} \otimes M \to M, \end{gathered}$$

satisfying the axioms of *loc. cit.*. We define a *pseudo-ring* in $\mathcal{T}$ to be an object K of $\mathcal{T}$ endowed with a morphism $m\colon K \otimes K \to K$ such that the following associativity diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd} K \otimes (K \otimes K) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_K \otimes m"] \arrow[d, "a_{KKK}"'] & K \otimes K \arrow[dr, "m"] & \\ (K \otimes K) \otimes K \arrow[r, "m \otimes \mathrm{id}_K"] & K \otimes K \arrow[r, "m"] & K. \end{tikzcd}$$

A pseudo-ring (K, m) is called *commutative* if the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd} K \otimes K \arrow[d, "c_{KK}"'] \arrow[dr, "m"] & \\ K \otimes K \arrow[r, "m"] & K. \end{tikzcd}$$

A *homomorphism of pseudo-rings* $(K, m) \to (K', m')$ is a morphism $f\colon K \to K'$ of $\mathcal{T}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd} K \otimes K \arrow[r, "m"] \arrow[d, "f \otimes f"'] & K \arrow[d, "f"] \\ K' \otimes K' \arrow[r, "m'"] & K'. \end{tikzcd}$$

We define a *left (K, m)-pseudomodule* to be an object M of $\mathcal{T}$ endowed with a morphism $n\colon K \otimes M \to M$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd} K \otimes (K \otimes M) \arrow[r, "K \otimes n"] \arrow[d, "a_{KKM}"'] & K \otimes M \arrow[dr, "n"] & \\ (K \otimes K) \otimes M \arrow[r, "m \otimes \mathrm{id}_M"] & K \otimes M \arrow[r, "n"] & M. \end{tikzcd}$$

A *homomorphism of left (K, m)-pseudomodules* $(M, n) \to (M', n')$ is a morphism $h\colon M \to M'$ of $\mathcal{T}$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd} K \otimes M \arrow[r, "n"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_K \otimes h"'] & M \arrow[d, "h"] \\ K \otimes M' \arrow[r, "n'"] & M'. \end{tikzcd}$$

Definition 3.2. Let $f\colon (K, m) \to (K', m')$ be a pseudo-ring homomorphism. We define a *splitting of f* to be a morphism $n\colon K' \otimes K \to K$, making K into a (K', m')-pseudomodule and such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \otimes K \arrow[r, "f\otimes \mathrm{id}_K"] \arrow[dr, "m"'] & K' \otimes K \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_{K'}\otimes f"] \arrow[d, "n"'] & K' \otimes K' \arrow[d, "m'"] \\
 & K \arrow[r, "f"] & K'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Definition 3.3. We define a *ring* in $\mathcal{T}$ to be a pseudo-ring (K, m) in $\mathcal{T}$ endowed with a morphism $e\colon \mathbf{1} \to K$ such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \otimes \mathbf{1} \arrow[r, "K\otimes e"] \arrow[dr, "u_K"'] & K \otimes K \arrow[d, "m"] \\
 & K
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{1} \otimes K \arrow[r, "e\otimes K"] \arrow[dr, "v_K"'] & K \otimes K \arrow[d, "m"] \\
 & K.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(Thus a commutative ring in our sense is a "monoid" in the terminology of [28, VII §3].) The unit $\mathbf{1}$ endowed with $u_{\mathbf{1}}\colon \mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{1}}\colon \mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{1}$ is a commutative ring in $\mathcal{T}$. A *ring homomorphism* $(K, m, e) \to (K', m', e')$ is a pseudo-ring homomorphism $f\colon (K, m) \to (K', m')$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{1} \arrow[r, "e"] \arrow[dr, "e'"'] & K \arrow[d, "f"] \\
 & K'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

A *left* (K, m, e)*-module* is a left (K, m)-pseudomodule (M, n) such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{1} \otimes M \arrow[r, "e\otimes M"] \arrow[dr, "v_M"'] & K \otimes M \arrow[d, "n"] \\
 & M.
\end{tikzcd}$$

A *homomorphism of left* (K, m, e)*-modules* $(M, n) \to (M', n')$ is a homomorphism between the underlying left (K, m)-pseudomodules.

Construction 3.4. Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, \otimes, a, c, u, v)$ and $\mathcal{T}' = (\mathcal{T}', \otimes, a', c', u', v')$ be $\otimes$-categories, $\omega\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ be a functor. A *left-lax* $\otimes$*-structure* on ω is a natural transformation of functors $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}'$ consisting of morphisms of $\mathcal{T}'$

$$o_{MN}\colon \omega(M \otimes N) \to \omega(M) \otimes \omega(N),$$

such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\omega(L \otimes (M \otimes N)) \arrow[r, "o_{L,M\otimes N}"] \arrow[d, "\omega(a_{LMN})"'] & \omega(L) \otimes \omega(M \otimes N) \arrow[r, "\omega(L)\otimes o_{MN}"] & \omega(L) \otimes (\omega(M) \otimes \omega(N)) \arrow[d, "a'_{\omega(L)\omega(M)\omega(N)}"] \\
\omega((L \otimes M) \otimes N) \arrow[r, "o_{L\otimes M,N}"] & \omega(L \otimes M) \otimes \omega(N) \arrow[r, "o_{LM}\otimes\omega(N)"] & (\omega(L) \otimes \omega(M)) \otimes \omega(N)
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\omega(M \otimes N) \arrow[r, "o_{MN}"] \arrow[d, "\omega(c_{MN})"'] & \omega(M) \otimes \omega(N) \arrow[d, "c'_{\omega(M)\omega(N)}"] \\
\omega(N \otimes M) \arrow[r, "o_{NM}"] & \omega(N) \otimes \omega(M).
\end{tikzcd}$$

A *right-lax* $\otimes$*-structure* on ω is a left-lax $\otimes$-structure on $\omega^{\mathrm{op}}\colon \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{T}'^{\mathrm{op}}$. It is given by functorial morphisms

$$t_{MN}\colon \omega(M) \otimes \omega(N) \to \omega(M \otimes N),$$

such that the above diagrams with arrows o inverted and replaced by t commute. A $\otimes$*-structure* on ω is a left-lax $\otimes$-structure o such that o_{MN} is an isomorphism for all M and N. In this case

$t_{MN} = o_{MN}^{-1}$ defines a right-lax $\otimes$-structure. If t is a right $\otimes$-structure on ω and (K,m) is a pseudo-ring in $\mathcal{T}$, we endow $\omega(K)$ with the pseudo-ring structure

$$\omega(K)\otimes\omega(K)\xrightarrow{t_{KK}}\omega(K\otimes K)\xrightarrow{\omega(m)}\omega(K).$$

If, moreover, (M,n) is a left (K,m)-pseudomodule, we endow $\omega(M)$ with the left $\omega(K)$-pseudomodule structure

$$\omega(K)\otimes\omega(M)\xrightarrow{t_{KM}}\omega(K\otimes M)\xrightarrow{\omega(n)}\omega(M).$$

If (K,m) is commutative, then $\omega(K)$ is commutative. This construction sends homomorphisms of pseudo-rings to homomorphisms of pseudo-rings and homomorphisms of left pseudomodules to homomorphisms of left pseudomodules.

If (ω,t), (ω',t') are functors endowed with right-lax $\otimes$-structures, we say that a natural transformation $\alpha\colon\omega\Rightarrow\omega'$ preserves the right-lax $\otimes$-structures if the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\omega(M)\otimes\omega(N) \arrow[r, "t_{MN}"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_M\otimes\alpha_N"'] & \omega(M\otimes N) \arrow[d, "\alpha_{M\otimes N}"] \\
\omega'(M)\otimes\omega'(N) \arrow[r, "t'_{MN}"] & \omega'(M\otimes N)
\end{tikzcd}$$

In this case, for any pseudo-ring K in $\mathcal{T}$, $\alpha_K\colon\omega(K)\to\omega'(K)$ is a homomorphism of pseudo-rings.

Construction 3.5. Now suppose that $\omega\colon\mathcal{T}\to\mathcal{T}'$ admits a right adjoint $\tau\colon\mathcal{T}'\to\mathcal{T}$. For any left-lax $\otimes$-structure o on ω, endow τ with the right-lax $\otimes$-structure t such that $t_{MN}\colon\tau(M)\otimes\tau(N)\to\tau(M\otimes N)$ is adjoint to the composition

$$\omega(\tau(M)\otimes\tau(N))\xrightarrow{o_{\tau(M)\tau(N)}}\omega(\tau(M))\otimes\omega(\tau(N))\xrightarrow{\alpha_M\otimes\alpha_N}M\otimes N,$$

where $\alpha_M\colon\omega(\tau(M))\to M$, $\alpha_N\colon\omega(\tau(N))\to N$ are adjunction morphisms. It is straightforward to check that this construction defines a bijection from the set of left-lax $\otimes$-structures on ω to the set of right-lax $\otimes$-structures on τ.

In the above construction, if o is a $\otimes$-structure on ω, then the adjunction morphisms $\alpha\colon\omega\tau\Rightarrow\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{T}'}$ and $\beta\colon\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{T}}\Rightarrow\tau\omega$ preserve the resulting right $\otimes$-structures.

Construction 3.6. This formalism has a unital variant. A *left-lax unital $\otimes$-structure* on a functor $\omega\colon\mathcal{T}\to\mathcal{T}'$ is a left-lax $\otimes$-structure endowed with a morphism $p\colon\omega(\mathbf{1})\to\mathbf{1}'$ in $\mathcal{T}'$ such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\omega(M\otimes\mathbf{1}) \arrow[r, "o_{M\mathbf{1}}"] \arrow[d, "\omega(u_M)"'] & \omega(M)\otimes\omega(\mathbf{1}) \arrow[d, "\omega(M)\otimes p"] \\
\omega(M) & \omega(M)\otimes\mathbf{1}' \arrow[l, "u'_{\omega(M)}"]
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\omega(\mathbf{1}\otimes M) \arrow[r, "o_{\mathbf{1}M}"] \arrow[d, "\omega(v_M)"'] & \omega(\mathbf{1})\otimes\omega(M) \arrow[d, "p\otimes\omega(M)"] \\
\omega(M) & \mathbf{1}'\otimes\omega(M) \arrow[l, "v'_{\omega(M)}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

A *right-lax unital $\otimes$-structure* is a left-lax unital $\otimes$-structure on $\omega^{\mathrm{op}}\colon\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{op}}\to\mathcal{T}'^{\mathrm{op}}$. It consists of a right $\otimes$-structure endowed with a morphism $s\colon\mathbf{1}'\to\omega(\mathbf{1})$ in $\mathcal{T}'$ such that the above diagrams, with arrows o inverted and replaced by t, arrows p inverted and replaced by s, commute. A *unital $\otimes$-structure* is a left-lax unital $\otimes$-structure (o,p) such that o is a $\otimes$-structure and p is invertible. Constructions 3.4 and 3.5 can be carried over to the unital case.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a $\otimes$-category, $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. Then the category $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{C}}$ of functors $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}\to\mathcal{T}$ has a natural $\otimes$-structure. The constant functor $\mathcal{T}\to\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{C}}$ defined by $M\mapsto(M)_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a unital $\otimes$-functor.

Construction 3.7. Let $X=(X,\mathcal{O}_X)$ be a commutatively ringed topos. Two $\otimes$-categories will be of interest to us:

(a) The category $D(X)=D(X,\mathcal{O}_X)$, equipped with $\otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_X}\colon D(X)\times D(X)\to D(X)$ [23, 18.6.4].

(b) The category $\mathrm{GrMod}(X)=\mathrm{GrMod}(X,\mathcal{O}_X)$ of graded $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules $H=\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}H^n$, with $\otimes$ given by $(H\otimes K)^n=\bigoplus_{i+j=n}H^i\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}K^j$, the isomorphism $c\colon H\otimes K\to K\otimes H$ being given by the usual sign rule.

The cohomology functor

$$\mathcal{H}^*\colon D(X) \to \mathrm{GrMod}(X)$$

has a natural right-lax unital $\otimes$-structure given by the canonical maps $\mathcal{H}^*L \otimes \mathcal{H}^*M \to \mathcal{H}^*(L \otimes^L M)$ (which is a unital $\otimes$-structure when $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a constant field, which is the case we are mostly interested in).

Let $f\colon X = (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to Y = (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ be a morphism of commutatively ringed topoi. We endow $f^*\colon \mathrm{GrMod}(Y) \to \mathrm{GrMod}(X)$ with the unital $\otimes$-structure defined by the functorial isomorphisms

$$f^*(M \otimes N) \to f^*M \otimes f^*N, \quad f^*\mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_X.$$

We endow $Lf^*\colon D(Y) \to D(X)$ [23, 18.6.9] with the unital $\otimes$-structure defined by the functorial isomorphisms

$$Lf^*(M \otimes^L N) \to Lf^*M \otimes^L f^*N, \quad Lf^*\mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_X.$$

We endow the right adjoint functors $f_*\colon \mathrm{GrMod}(X) \to \mathrm{GrMod}(Y)$ and $Rf_*\colon D(X) \to D(Y)$ with the induced right-lax unital $\otimes$-structures.

Construction 3.8. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack, Λ be a commutative ring. We consider the $\otimes$-categories $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda)$ and $\mathrm{GrMod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda)$, the category of graded cartesian sheaves of Λ-modules.

Let $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of Artin stacks. As in 3.7, we endow the functors $f^*\colon \mathrm{GrMod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y}, \Lambda) \to \mathrm{GrMod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda)$ and $f^*\colon D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y}, \Lambda) \to D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda)$ with the natural unital $\otimes$-structures. We endow the right adjoint functors $f_*\colon \mathrm{GrMod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y}, \Lambda) \to \mathrm{GrMod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda)$ and $Rf_*\colon D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda) \to D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y}, \Lambda)$ with the induced right-lax unital $\otimes$-structures.

Assume that Λ is annihilated by an integer n invertible on $\mathcal{Y}$. Then we have $Rf^!\colon D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y}, \Lambda) \to D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda)$. As in [10, Cycle (1.2.2.3)], for M and N in $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y}, \Lambda)$, we have a morphism

$$f^*M \otimes^L Rf^!N \to Rf^!(M \otimes^L N)$$

given by the morphism $Rf^!N \to Rf^!R\mathcal{H}om(M, M \otimes^L N) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(f^*M, Rf^!(M \otimes^L N))$. For a pseudo-ring (L, m) in $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y}, \Lambda)$, we endow $Rf^!L$ with the left f^*L-pseudomodule structure given by the composition

$$f^*L \otimes^L Rf^!L \to Rf^!(L \otimes^L L) \xrightarrow{Rf^!m} Rf^!L$$

Assume moreover that $f = i$ is a closed immersion. Then the right-lax $\otimes$-structure on $i_* = Ri_*$ is an isomorphism and its inverse is a $\otimes$-structure consisting of a functorial isomorphism

$$i_*(M \otimes^L N) \to i_*M \otimes^L i_*N.$$

We endow the right adjoint functor $Ri^!$ of i_* with the induced right-lax $\otimes$-structure. Note that the right *unital* $\otimes$-structure on i_* is not invertible in general. For a pseudo-ring (L, m) in $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{Y}, \Lambda)$, the above left i^*L-pseudomodule structure on $Ri^!L$ is a splitting of the homomorphism of pseudo-rings $Ri^!L \to i^*L$ (3.2).

In the rest of this section, we discuss multiplicative structures on spectral objects. We will only consider spectral objects of type $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the category associated to the ordered set $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$.

Definition 3.9. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be category endowed with a bifunctor $\otimes\colon \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$. Let J be a category endowed with a bifunctor $*\colon J \times J \to J$. Let X, X', X'' be functors $J \to \mathcal{T}$. A *pairing* from X,X' to X'' is a natural transformation of functors $J \times J \to \mathcal{T}$ consisting of morphisms of $\mathcal{T}$

$$X(j) \otimes X'(j') \to X(j * j').$$

Assume moreover that $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes)$ and $(J, *)$ are endowed with structures of $\otimes$-categories. A pairing from X, X to X is called *associative* if for $j, j', j'' \in J$, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X(j) \otimes (X(j') \otimes X(j'')) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "a"'] & X(j) \otimes X(j' * j'') \arrow[r] & X(j * (j' * j'')) \arrow[d, "a"] \\
(X(j) \otimes X(j')) \otimes X(j'') \arrow[r] & X(j * j') \otimes X(j'') \arrow[r] & X((j * j') * j''),
\end{tikzcd}$$

and is called *commutative* if for $j, j' \in J$, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd} X(j) \otimes X(j') \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "c"'] & X(j * j') \arrow[d, "c"] \\ X(j') \otimes X(j) \arrow[r] & X(j' * j). \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.9.1}$$

Assume moreover that $\mathcal{T}$ is additive and $\otimes$ is an additive bifunctor. Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the $\otimes$-category given by the discrete category $\{\pm 1\}$ and the ordinary product. Let $\sigma\colon J \to \mathfrak{S}$ be a $\otimes$-functor. A pairing from X, X to X is called *σ-commutative* if for $j, j' \in J$, the diagram (3.9.1) is $\max\{\sigma(j), \sigma(j')\}$-commutative.

Construction 3.10. Let $\mathrm{Ar}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}})$ be the category of morphisms of $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$. We represent objects of $\mathrm{Ar}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}})$ by pairs (p, q), $p, q \in \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$, $p \le q$. Let Ar^- be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Ar}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}})$ spanned by $\mathrm{Ar}(\mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\})$ and let $\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Ar}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}})$ spanned by pairs $(-\infty, q)$ for $q \in \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$. We endow Ar^- and $\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$ with structures of $\otimes$-categories, compatible on $\mathrm{Ar}^- \cap \mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$, as follows. For (p, q), (p', q') in Ar^-, we define

$$(p, q) * (p', q') = (q + q' - 1 - \min\{q - p, q' - p'\}, q + q' - 1).$$

Here we adopt the convention that $(-\infty) - (-\infty) = 0$. For $q, q' \in \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$, we define

$$(-\infty, q) * (-\infty, q') = (-\infty, q + q' - 1),$$

which is compatible with the previous definition for $q, q' \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$.

Definition 3.11. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category endowed with a triangulated bifunctor $\otimes\colon \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$ [23, 10.3.6]. Let (X, δ), (X', δ), (X'', δ'') be spectral objects with values in $\mathcal{D}$ [39, II 4.1.2]. A *pairing* from (X, δ), (X', δ') to (X'', δ'') consists of a pairing from $X \mid \mathrm{Ar}^-$, $X' \mid \mathrm{Ar}^-$ to $X'' \mid \mathrm{Ar}^-$ and a pairing from $X \mid \mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$, $X' \mid \mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$ to $X'' \mid \mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$, namely a natural transformation of functors $\mathrm{Ar}^- \times \mathrm{Ar}^- \to \mathcal{D}$ and a natural transformation of functors $\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty} \times \mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty} \to \mathcal{D}$ consisting of morphisms of $\mathcal{D}$

$$X(p, q) \otimes X'(p', q') \to X''((p, q) * (p', q')),$$

compatible on $\mathrm{Ar}^- \cap \mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$, such that for $p, q, r, p', q', r' \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ satisfying $r - q = r' - q' \ge 0$ and $q - p = q' - p' \ge 0$, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X(q, r) \otimes X'(q', r') \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id} \otimes \delta')}"'] & X''(q'', r'') \arrow[d, "\delta''"] \\ X(p, q)[1] \otimes X'(q', r') \oplus X(q, r) \otimes X'(p', q')[1] \arrow[r] & X''(p'', q'')[1] \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Here $r'' = r + r'$, $q'' = q + r' = q' + r$, $p'' = p + r' = p' + r$.

Assume moreover that $(\mathcal{D}, \otimes)$ is endowed with a structure of $\otimes$-category[4]. A pairing from (X, δ), (X, δ) to (X, δ) is called *associative* (resp. *commutative*) if the underlying pairings from $X \mid \mathrm{Ar}^-$, $X' \mid \mathrm{Ar}^-$ to $X'' \mid \mathrm{Ar}^-$ and from $X \mid \mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$, $X \mid \mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$ to $X \mid \mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$ are.

Example 3.12. Let $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a commutatively ringed topos, $K, K', K'' \in D(\mathcal{O}_X)$. We consider the second spectral object (K, δ) associated to K [39, III 4.3.1, 4.3.4], with $K(p, q) = \tau^{[p, q-1]}K$. Similarly, we have spectral objects (K', δ'), (K'', δ''). A map $K \otimes^L K' \to K''$ in $D(\mathcal{O}_X)$ defines a pairing from (K, δ), (K', δ') to (K'', δ'') given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{[p,q-1]}K \otimes^L \tau^{[p',q'-1]}K' \to \tau^{\ge p''}(\tau^{[p,q-1]}K \otimes^L \tau^{[p',q'-1]}K') \simeq \tau^{\ge p''}(\tau^{\le q-1}K \otimes^L \tau^{\le q'-1}K') \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha} \tau^{[p'',q''-1]}(K \otimes^L K') \to \tau^{[p'',q''-1]}K'', \end{aligned}$$

---

[4] Here we do not assume that the constraints of the $\otimes$-category are natural transformations of triangulated functors [23, 10.1.9 (ii)] in each variable.

where $(p'', q'') = (p,q) * (p',q')$, α is given by the map $\tau^{\leq q-1}K \otimes^L \tau^{\leq q'-1}K' \to \tau^{\leq q''-1}(K \otimes^L K'')$ induced by adjunction from the map $\tau^{\leq q-1}K \otimes^L \tau^{\leq q'-1}K' \to K \otimes^L K'$. Moreover, if K is a pseudo-ring (resp. commutative pseudo-ring), then the induced pairing from (K,δ), (K,δ) to (K,δ) is associative (resp. commutative).

The above also holds with $D(\mathcal{O}_X)$ replaced by $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$, where $\mathcal{X}$ is an Artin stack and Λ is a commutative ring.

Definition 3.13. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category endowed with an additive bifunctor $\otimes\colon \mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}$. Let (H^n,δ), (H'^n,δ'), (H''^n,δ'') be spectral objects with values in $\mathcal{A}$ [39, II 4.1.4]. A *pairing* from (H^n,δ), (H'^n,δ') to (H''^n,δ'') consists of a pairing from $H^*\mid \mathrm{Ar}^-$, $H'^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}^-$ to $H''^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}^-$ and a pairing from $H^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$, $H'^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$ to $H''^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$, namely a natural transformation of functors $\mathrm{Ar}^-\times\mathrm{Ar}^-\to\mathcal{A}$ and a natural transformation of functors $\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}\times\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}\to\mathcal{A}$ consisting of morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$

$$H^n(p,q)\otimes H'^{n'}(p',q')\to H''^{n+n'}((p,q)*(p',q')),$$

compatible on $\mathrm{Ar}^-\cap\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$, such that for $p,q,r,p',q',r'\in\mathbb{Z}\cup\{-\infty\}$ satisfying $r-q=r'-q'\geq 0$ and $q-p=q'-p'\geq 0$, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^n(q,r)\otimes H'^{n'}(q',r') \arrow[rr] \arrow[d, "(\delta\otimes\mathrm{id},(-1)^n\mathrm{id}\otimes\delta')"'] & & H''^{n+n'}(q'',r'') \arrow[d, "\delta''"] \\
H^{n+1}(p,q)\otimes H'^{n'}(q',r')\oplus H^n(q,r)\otimes H'^{n'+1}(p',q') \arrow[rr] & & H''^{n+n'+1}(p'',q'')
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Here $r''=r+r'$, $q''=q+r'=q'+r$, $p''=p+r'=p'+r$. Note that if (H^n,δ), (H'^n,δ'), and (H''^n,δ'') are stationary [39, II 4.4.2], then the pairing from $H^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$, $H'^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$ to $H''^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$ is uniquely determined by the pairing from $H^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}^-$, $H'^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}^-$ to $H''^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}^-$. In fact, in this case, for every n, there exists an integer $u(n)$ such that for every $q\geq u(n)$, the morphism $H^n(-\infty,q)\to H^n(-\infty,+\infty)$ is an isomorphism.

Consider the induced spectral sequences $(E_2^{pq}\Rightarrow H^n)$, $(E_2'^{pq}\Rightarrow H'^n)$, $(E_2''^{pq}\Rightarrow H''^n)$ given by [39, II (4.3.3.2)]. A pairing from (H^n,δ), (H'^n,δ') to (H''^n,δ'') induces compatible pairings of differential bigraded objects of $\mathcal{A}$

$$E_r^{pq}\otimes E_r'^{p'q'}\to E_r''^{p+p',q+q'}$$

for $2\leq r\leq\infty$ (satisfying $d(xy)=d(x)y+(-1)^{p+q}xd(y)$) and a pairing of filtered[5] graded objects of $\mathcal{A}$

$$F^pH^n\otimes F^{p'}H'^{n'}\to F^{p+p'}H''^{n+n'},$$

compatible with the pairing on E_∞.

Assume moreover that $(\mathcal{A},\otimes)$ is endowed with a structure of $\otimes$-category. A pairing from (H^n,δ), (H^n,δ) to (H^n,δ) is called *associative* (resp. *commutative*) if the underlying pairings from $H^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}^-$, $H^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}^-$ to $H^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}^-$ and from $H^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$, $H^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$ to $H^*\mid\mathrm{Ar}_{-\infty}$ are associative (resp. σ-commutative, where $\sigma\colon\mathbb{Z}\times\mathrm{Ar}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}})\to\mathfrak{S}$ is given by $(n,(p,q))\mapsto(-1)^n$). An associative (resp. commutative) pairing from (H^n,δ), (H^n,δ) to (H^n,δ) induces associative (resp. commutative) pairings on E_r^{pq} and F^pH^n. Here the commutativity for E_r^{pq} and F^pH^n are relative to the functors $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}\to\mathfrak{S}$ given by $(p,q)\mapsto(-1)^{p+q}$ and $(p,n)\mapsto(-1)^n$, respectively.

Remark 3.14. Let $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{D}'$ be triangulated categories endowed with triangulated bifunctors $\otimes\colon\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{D}$, $\otimes\colon\mathcal{D}'\times\mathcal{D}'\to\mathcal{D}'$. Let $\tau\colon\mathcal{D}'\to\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated functor endowed with a natural transformation of functors $\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{D}'$ consisting of morphisms $\tau(M)\otimes\tau(N)\to\tau(M\otimes N)$ of $\mathcal{D}'$ that is a natural transformation of triangulated functors in each variable. Let (X,δ), (X',δ'), (X'',δ'') be spectral objects with values in $\mathcal{D}$. Then a pairing from (X,δ), (X',δ') to (X'',δ'') induces a pairing from $\tau(X,\delta)$, $\tau(X',\delta')$ to $\tau(X'',\delta'')$. If $(\mathcal{D},\otimes)$, $(\mathcal{D}',\otimes)$ are endowed with structures of $\otimes$-categories and τ is a right-lax $\otimes$-functor, then an associative (resp. commutative) pairing from (X,δ), (X,δ) to (X,δ) induces an associative (resp. commutative) pairing from $\tau(X,\delta)$, $\tau(X,\delta)$ to $\tau(X,\delta)$.

---

[5] For the filtration, we use the convention $F^pH^n=\mathrm{Im}(H^n(-\infty,n-p+1)\to H^n(-\infty,\infty))$. In particular, in Example 3.15 below, $F^pH^n(X,K)=\mathrm{Im}(H^n(X,\tau^{\leq n-p}K)\to H^n(X,K))$.

Similarly, let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category endowed with an additive bifunctor $\otimes\colon \mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}$ and let $H\colon \mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{A}$ be a cohomological functor endowed with a natural transformation of functors $\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{A}$ consisting of morphisms $H(M)\otimes H(N)\to H(M\otimes N)$ of $\mathcal{A}$. We adopt the convention that for (p,q) and (q,r) in Ar^-, the map $\delta^n\colon H^n(X(q,r))\to H^{n+1}(X(p,q))$ is $(-1)^n$ times the map obtained by applying H to $\delta[n]\colon X(q,r)[n]\to X(p,q)[n+1]$. Then a pairing from (X,δ), (X',δ') to (X'',δ'') induces a pairing from $H^*(X,\delta)$, $H^*(X',\delta')$ to $H^*(X'',\delta'')$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}H(X(p,q)[n])\otimes H(X'(p',q')[n'])&\to H(X(p,q)[n]\otimes X'(p',q')[n'])\\ &\simeq H((X(p,q)\otimes X'(p',q'))[n+n'])\to H(X''((p,q)*(p',q'))[n+n']).\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the composite of the isomorphisms

$$M[m]\otimes N[n]\simeq (M\otimes N[n])[m]\simeq (M\otimes N)[m+n]$$

given by the structure of bifunctor of additive categories with translation [23, 10.1.1 (v)] on $\otimes\colon \mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{D}$. If $(\mathcal{D},\otimes)$, $(\mathcal{A},\otimes)$ are endowed with structures of $\otimes$-categories and H is a right-lax $\otimes$-functor, and if the associativity (resp. commutativity) constraint of $(\mathcal{D},\otimes)$ is a natural transformation of triangulated functors in each variable, then an associative (resp. commutative) pairing from (X,δ), (X,δ) to (X,δ) induces an associative (resp. commutative) pairing from $H^*(X,\delta)$, $H^*(X,\delta)$ to $H^*(X,\delta)$. Indeed, the assumption on the commutativity constraint implies the $(-1)^{mn}$-commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M[m]\otimes N[n] \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & (M\otimes N[n])[m] \arrow[r, "\sim"] & (M\otimes N)[m+n] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
N[n]\otimes M[m] \arrow[r, "\sim"] & (N\otimes M[m])[n] \arrow[r, "\sim"] & (N\otimes M)[m+n].
\end{tikzcd}$$

Example 3.15. Let X be a commutatively ringed topos and let K be an object of $D(\mathcal{O}_X)$. The second spectral sequence of hypercohomology

$$E_2^{pq}=H^p(X,\mathcal{H}^qK)\Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X,K)$$

is induced from the spectral object $H^*(K,\delta)$, where (K,δ) is the second spectral object associated to K. If K is a pseudo-ring in $D(\mathcal{O}_X)$, then Remark 3.14 applied to Example 3.12 endows the spectral sequence with an associative multiplicative structure, which is graded commutative when K is commutative.

Part II

Main results

4 Finiteness theorems for equivariant cohomology rings

We will first discuss Chern classes of vector bundles on Artin stacks. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack, $n\geq 2$ be an integer, $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on $\mathcal{X}$. The isomorphism class of $\mathcal{L}$ defines an element in $H^1(\mathcal{X},\mathbb{G}_m)$. We denote by

(4.0.1) $$c_1(\mathcal{L})\in H^2(\mathcal{X},\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(1))$$

the image of this element by the homomorphism $H^1(\mathcal{X},\mathbb{G}_m)\to H^2(\mathcal{X},\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(1))$ induced by the short exact sequence

$$1\to\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(1)\to\mathbb{G}_m\xrightarrow{n}\mathbb{G}_m\to 1,$$

where the map marked by n is raising to the n-th power. For any integer i, we write $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(i)=\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(1)^{\otimes i}$. We say a quasi-coherent sheaf [38, 06WG] $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ is a *vector bundle* if there exists a smooth atlas $p\colon X\to\mathcal{X}$ such that $p^*\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. The following theorem generalizes the construction of Chern classes of vector bundles on schemes ([34, 1.3] and [44, VII 3.4.3.5]). If $\mathcal{X}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack, it yields the Chern classes over the étale topos of $\mathcal{X}$ locally ringed by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$, defined by Grothendieck in [16, 1.4]. In particular, it also generalizes [16, 2.3].

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a unique way to define, for every Artin stack $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ and every vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, elements $c_i(\mathcal{E}) \in H^{2i}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(i))$ for all $i \geq 0$ such that the formal power series $c_t(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{i\geq 0} c_i(\mathcal{E})t^i$ satisfies the following conditions:*

(a) (Functoriality) If $f\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a morphism of stacks over $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$, then $f^*(c_t(\mathcal{E})) = c_t(f^*\mathcal{E})$;

(b) (Additivity) If $0 \to \mathcal{E}' \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}'' \to 0$ is an exact sequence of vector bundles, then $c_t(\mathcal{E}) = c_t(\mathcal{E}')c_t(\mathcal{E}'')$;

(c) (Normalization) If $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle on $\mathcal{X}$, then $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ coincides with the class defined in (4.0.1) *and $c_t(\mathcal{L}) = 1_{\mathcal{X}} + c_1(\mathcal{L})t$. Here $1_{\mathcal{X}}$ denotes the image of* 1 *by the adjunction homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \to H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$.*

Moreover, we have:

(d) $c_0(\mathcal{E}) = 1_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $c_i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for $i > \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E})$.

The $c_i(\mathcal{E})$ are called the (étale) *Chern classes* of $\mathcal{E}$. It follows from (b) and (d) that $c_t(\mathcal{E})$ only depends on the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{E}$.

To prove 4.1, we need the following result, which generalizes [44, VII 2.2] and [34, 1.2].

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack, $\mathcal{E}$ a vector bundle of constant rank r on $\mathcal{X}$. Let n be an integer invertible on $\mathcal{X}$, Λ a commutative ring over $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $\pi\colon \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{X}$ the projective bundle of $\mathcal{E}$. Let $\xi = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)) \in H^2(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda(1))$ as in* (4.0.1)*. Then the powers $\xi^i \in H^{2i}(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda(i))$ of ξ define an isomorphism of unital rings (3.1) in $D(\mathcal{X}, \Lambda)$*

$$(1, \xi, \dots, \xi^{r-1})\colon \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} \Lambda(-i)[-2i] \xrightarrow{\sim} R\pi_*\Lambda.$$

Proof. By proper base change [27, 0.1.1, 0.1.5], we reduce to the case of schemes, which is proven in [44, VII 2.2]. □

The uniqueness of Chern classes is a consequence of the following lemma, which generalizes [34, 1.4, 1.5].

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack, and let n be an integer invertible on $\mathcal{X}$, Λ a commutative $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-algebra.*

(a) (splitting principle) *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}$ of rank r, $\pi\colon \mathcal{F}lag(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{X}$ be the fibration of complete flags of $\mathcal{E}$. Then $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ admits a canonical filtration by vector bundles such that the graded pieces are line bundles, and the morphism $\Lambda \to R\pi_*\Lambda$ is a split monomorphism.*

(b) Let $E\colon 0 \to \mathcal{E}' \to \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{E}'' \to 0$ be a short exact sequence of vector bundles, $\pi\colon \mathcal{S}ect(E) \to \mathcal{X}$ be the fibration of sections of p. Then $\mathcal{S}ect(E)$ is a torsor under $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}'', \mathcal{E}')$ and π^*E is canonically split. Moreover, the morphism $\Lambda \to R\pi_*\Lambda$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. (a) follows from 4.2, as π is a composite of r successive projective bundles. For (b), up to replacing $\mathcal{X}$ by an atlas, we may assume that π is the projection from an affine space. In this case the assertion follows from [43, XV 2.2]. □

To define $c_i(\mathcal{E})$, we may assume $\mathcal{E}$ is of constant rank r. As usual, we define $c_i(\mathcal{E}) \in H^{2i}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(i))$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ as the unique elements satisfying

$$\xi^r + \sum_{1\leq i\leq r} c_i(\mathcal{E})\xi^{r-i} = 0,$$

where $\xi = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-1)) \in H^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}(1))$. We put $c_0(\mathcal{E}) = 1$ and $c_i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for $i > r$. The properties (a) to (d) follow from the case of schemes.

Theorem 4.4. *Let S be an algebraic space, n an integer invertible on S, Λ a commutative $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-algebra. Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer, $G = GL_{N,S}$, and $T = \prod_{i=1}^{N} T_i \subset G$ be the subgroup of diagonal matrices, where $T_i = \mathbb{G}_{m,S}$. Let $\pi\colon BG \to S$, $\tau\colon BT \to S$, $f'\colon G/T \to S$ be the projections, $k\colon S \to BG$ be the canonical section, $f\colon BT \to BG$ be the morphism induced by the inclusion $T \to G$ and $h\colon G/T \to BT$ be the morphism induced by the projection $G \to S$, as shown in the following 2-commutative diagram*

(4.4.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
G/T \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "h"'] & S \arrow[d, "k"] \\
BT \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[rd, "\tau"'] & BG \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
 & S.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(a) Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the standard vector bundle of rank N on BG, corresponding to the natural representation of G in $\mathcal{O}_S^N$. The i-th Chern class $c_i(\mathcal{E})$ of $\mathcal{E}$ induces a morphism

$$\alpha_i\colon K_i = \Lambda_S(-i)[-2i] \to R\pi_*\Lambda.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_i$ be the inverse image on BT of the standard line bundle on BT_i. Its first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{L}_i)$ induces a morphism

$$\beta_i\colon L_i = \Lambda_S(-1)[-2] \to R\tau_*\Lambda.$$

Then the homomorphisms

(4.4.2)
$$K = \mathrm{S}_\Lambda(\oplus_{1\leq i\leq N} K_i) \to R\pi_*\Lambda,$$

(4.4.3)
$$L = \mathrm{S}_\Lambda(\oplus_{1\leq i\leq N} L_i) \to R\tau_*\Lambda$$

defined respectively by α_i and β_i are isomorphisms of ring objects in $D(S,\Lambda)$ (3.8), and fit into a commutative diagram

(4.4.4)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
K = \mathrm{S}_\Lambda(\oplus_{1\leq i\leq N} K_i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \mathrm{S}_\Lambda(\oplus_{1\leq i\leq N} L_i) = L \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
R\pi_*\Lambda \arrow[r, "a_f"] & R\tau_*\Lambda,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where a_f is induced by adjunction by f, and the upper horizontal arrow sends x_i to the i-th symmetric polynomial in $t_1, \dots, t_N$, where $x_i \in H^{2i}(S, K(i))$ is the image of $1 \in H^{2i}(S, K_i(i))$ and $t_i \in H^2(S, L(1))$ is the image of $1 \in H^2(S, L_i(1))$.

(b) Let c_i be the image of 1 under $H^0(S, \mathcal{H}^{2i}\alpha_i(i))$, which is the image of $c_i(\mathcal{E})$ by the edge homomorphism

$$H^{2i}(BG, \Lambda(i)) \to H^0(S, R^{2i}\pi_*\Lambda(i)).$$

Let s_i be the image of 1 under $H^0(S, \mathcal{H}^2\beta_i(1))$, which is the image of $\mathcal{L}_i$ by the edge homomorphism

$$H^2(BT, \Lambda(1)) \to H^0(S, R^2\tau_*\Lambda(1)).$$

Then $R^q\pi_*\Lambda = R^q\tau_*\Lambda = R^q f'_*\Lambda = 0$ for q odd, the homomorphisms

(4.4.5)
$$c\colon \Lambda_S[x_1, \dots, x_N] \to R^{2*}\pi_*\Lambda(*)$$

sending x_i to c_i, and

(4.4.6)
$$s\colon \Lambda_S[t_1, \dots, t_N] \to R^{2*}\tau_*\Lambda(*)$$

sending t_i to s_i are isomorphisms of Λ-algebras, and they fit into the following commutative diagram of sheaves of Λ-algebras

(4.4.7)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda_S[x_1,\dots,x_N] \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, "c"', "\simeq"] & \Lambda_S[t_1,\dots,t_N] \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "s"', "\simeq"] & \Lambda_S[t_1,\dots,t_N]/(\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_N) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
R^{2*}\pi_*\Lambda(*) \arrow[r, "b_f"] & R^{2*}\tau_*\Lambda(*) \arrow[r, "b_h"] & R^{2*}f'_*\Lambda(*).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here σ sends x_i to the i-th symmetric polynomial σ_i in $t_1,\dots,t_N$, ρ is the projection, b_f is induced by adjunction by f and b_h is induced by adjunction by h. In particular, b_h is an epimorphism and b_f is a monomorphism that identifies $R^*\pi_*\Lambda$ with $(R^*\tau_*\Lambda)^{\mathfrak{S}_N}$, where $\mathfrak{S}_N$ is the symmetric group on N letters. Moreover, as graded module over $R^{2*}\pi_*\Lambda(*)$, $R^{2*}\tau_*\Lambda(*)$ is free of rank $N!$.

Moreover, the diagrams (4.4.4) *and* (4.4.7) *commute with arbitrary base change $S'\to S$ of algebraic spaces.*

Proof. (a) follows from the first part of (b) and the commutativity of the left square of (4.4.7). So let us prove (b). As in [1, 2.3.1], we approximate BG by a finite Grassmanian $G(N,N')=M^*/G$,[6] where $N'\geq N$, M is the algebraic S-space of $N'\times N$ matrices $(a_{ij})_{\substack{1\leq i\leq N'\\ 1\leq j\leq N}}$, M^* is the open subspace of M consisting of matrices of rank N. Let $B\subset G$ be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices. The square on the right of the diagram with 2-cartesian squares

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M^* \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "y"'] & M^*/T \arrow[r, "p"] \arrow[d, "\psi"'] \arrow[rrdd, "w"] & M^*/B \arrow[r] \arrow[rdd, "v"] & M^*/G \arrow[d, "\phi"] \arrow[dd, bend left, "u"] \\
S \arrow[r, "g"] & BT \arrow[rr, dashed, "f"] \arrow[rrd, "\tau"'] & & BG \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
& & & S
\end{tikzcd}$$

induces a commutative square

(4.4.8)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
R\pi_*\Lambda \arrow[rr] \arrow[d] & & R\tau_*\Lambda \arrow[d] \\
Ru_*\Lambda \arrow[r] & Rv_*\Lambda \arrow[r, "a_p"] & Rw_*\Lambda.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here a_p induced by the adjunction $\Lambda\to Rp_*\Lambda$. The latter is an isomorphism by [43, XV 2.2], because p is a (B/T)-torsor and B/T is isomorphic to the unipotent radical of B, which is an affine space over S. The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M-M^* \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[rd, "z"'] & M \arrow[d, "x"] & M^* \arrow[l] \arrow[ld, "y"] \\
& S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

induces an exact triangle

$$Rz_*Ri^!\Lambda\to Rx_*\Lambda\to Ry_*\Lambda\to .$$

Since M is an affine space over S, the adjunction $\Lambda\to Rx_*\Lambda$ is an isomorphism [43, XV 2.2]. Since x is smooth and the fibers of z are of codimension $N'-N+1$, we have $Ri^!\Lambda\in D^{\geq 2(N'-N+1)}$ by semi-purity [10, Cycle 2.2.8]. It follows that the adjunction $\Lambda\to\tau^{\leq 2(N'-N)}Ry_*\Lambda$ is an isomorphism. By smooth base change by g (resp. fg) [27, 0.1.5], this implies that the adjunction

---

[6] This approximation argument was explained by Deligne to the first author in the context of de Rham cohomology in 1967.

$\Lambda \to \tau^{\leq 2(N'-N)} R\psi_*\Lambda$ (resp. $\Lambda \to \tau^{\leq 2(N'-N)} R\phi_*\Lambda$) is an isomorphism, so that the right (resp. left) vertical arrow of $\tau^{\leq 2(N'-N)}(4.4.8)$ is an isomorphism.

The assertions of (b) then follow from an explicit computation of $Ru_*\Lambda$ and $Rv_*\Lambda$. Note that M^*/B is a partial flag variety of the free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module $\mathcal{O}_S^{N'}$ of type $(1, \dots, 1, N'-N)$. By [44, VII 5.2], for every N', the Chern classes $c_{i,N'}$ $(1 \leq i \leq N)$ of the canonical bundle $\mathcal{E}_{N'}$ of rank N on the Grassmanian M^*/G define a decomposition

$$Ru_*\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \oplus_q R^{2q}u_*\Lambda(-q)[-2q].$$

Similarly, by *loc. cit.*, the Chern classes $s_{i,N'}$ $(1 \leq i \leq N)$ of the canonical line bundles $\mathcal{L}_{i,N'}$ of the partial flag variety M^*/B define a decomposition

$$Rv_*\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \oplus R^{2q}v_*\Lambda(-q)[-2q].$$

As $\mathcal{E}_{N'}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_{i,N'}$) is induced from $\mathcal{E}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_i$), by the functoriality of Chern classes (4.1) these decompositions are compatible with the homomorphisms c (4.4.5) and s (4.4.6). Moreover, by [44, VII 5.6 (a)] applied to u and v, we have a commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A = \Lambda_S[x_1, \dots, x_N, y_1, \dots, y_{N'-N}]/(\sum_{i+j=m} x_i y_j)_{m \geq 1} \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\sigma"'] & R^{2*}u_*\Lambda(*) \arrow[d] \\
B = \Lambda_S[t_1, \dots, t_N, y_1, \dots, y_{N'-N}]/(\sum_{i+j=m} \sigma_i y_j)_{m \geq 1} \arrow[r, "\sim"] & R^{2*}v_*\Lambda(*)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal arrows send x_i to the image of $c_{i,N'}$ and t_i to the image of $s_{i,N'}$. In the definition of the ideals, we put $x_0 = y_0 = 1$, $x_i = 0$ for $i > N$ and $y_i = 0$ for $i > N'-N$. We can rewrite A as $\Lambda[x_1, \dots, x_N]/(P_m(x_1, \dots, x_m))_{m > N'-N}$ and rewrite B as $\Lambda[t_1, \dots, t_N]/(Q_m(t_1, \dots, t_m))_{m > N'-N}$, where P_m is an isobaric polynomial of weight m in $x_1, \dots, x_m$, x_i being of weight i, and Q_m is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m in $t_1, \dots, t_m$. As the right (resp. left) vertical arrows of (4.4.7) induce isomorphisms on $\mathcal{H}^i$ for $i \leq 2(N'-N)$, it follows that the restriction of the square on the left of (4.4.7) to degrees $\leq 2(N'-N)$ is commutative and the vertical arrows of the restricted square are isomorphisms. To get the square on the right of (4.4.7), it suffices to apply the preceding computation of $Rw_*\Lambda$ (via $Rv_*\Lambda$) to the case $N' = N$, because, in this case, $f' = w$. The last assertion of (b) then follows from [44, VII 5.4.1].

The final assertion of 4.4 follows from the functoriality of Chern classes. ☐

Corollary 4.5. *With assumptions and notation as in 4.4:*

(a) For every locally constant Λ*-module* $\mathcal{F}$ *on* S*, the projection formula maps*

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes^L R\pi_*\pi^*\Lambda \to R\pi_*\pi^*\mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{F} \otimes^L R\tau_*\tau^*\Lambda \to R\tau_*\tau^*\mathcal{F}$$

are isomorphisms.

(b) The spectral sequence of $\tau_* = \pi_* f_*$,

$$E_2^{ij} = R^i\pi_* R^j f_*\Lambda \Rightarrow R^{i+j}\tau_*\Lambda, \tag{4.5.1}$$

degenerates at E_2.

Proof. (a) We may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is a constant Λ-module of value F. Then the assertion follows from 4.4 applied to Λ and to the ring of dual numbers $\Lambda \oplus F$ (with $m_1 m_2 = 0$ for $m_1, m_2 \in F$).

(b) By 1.10 applied to the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(G,T) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (G,G) \arrow[d] \\
(S,T) \arrow[r] & (S,G)
\end{tikzcd}$$

the square in (4.4.1) is 2-cartesian. By 2.8 and smooth base change by k,

$$R^j f_*\Lambda \simeq \pi^* k^* R^j f_*\Lambda \simeq \pi^* R^j f'_*\Lambda.$$

By 4.4 (b), $R^j f'_*\Lambda$ is locally constant. Thus (a) implies

$$E_2^{ij} = R^i\pi_* R^j f_*\Lambda \simeq R^i\pi_*\pi^* R^j f'_*\Lambda \simeq R^i\pi_*\Lambda \otimes_\Lambda R^j f'_*\Lambda.$$

By 2.8, $E_2^{0j} = \pi_* R^j f_*\Lambda \simeq k^* R^j f_*\Lambda \simeq R^j f'_*\Lambda$. The edge homomorphism can be identified with the map $R^j\tau_*\Lambda \to R^j f'_*\Lambda$ induced by adjunction by h, which is an epimorphism by 4.4 (b). Thus $d_r^{0j} = 0$ for all $r \geq 2$ and all j. Since Rf'_* commutes with arbitrary base change [44, VII 6.5], the same holds for Rf_*. Thus, to show that (4.5.1) degenerates at E_2, we may assume that S is the spectrum of a separably closed field. In this case, $E_2^{ij} \simeq H^i(BG,\Lambda)\otimes_\Lambda H^j(G/T,\Lambda)$ and it follows from the $H^*(BG,\Lambda)$-module structure of (4.5.1) that it degenerates at E_2. □

Let k be a separably closed field, n be an integer invertible in k, Λ be a noetherian commutative ring over $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. The next sequence of results are analogues of Quillen's finiteness theorems [31, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3].

Theorem 4.6. *Let G be an algebraic group over k, X be an algebraic space of finite presentation over k equipped with an action of G, K be an object of $D_c^b([X/G],\Lambda)$ (see 2.3). Then $H^*(BG,\Lambda)$ is a finitely generated Λ-algebra and $H^*([X/G],K)$ is a finite $H^*(BG,\Lambda)$-module. In particular, if K is a ring in the sense of 3.1, then the graded center $ZH^*([X/G],K)$ of $H^*([X/G],K)$ is a finitely generated Λ-algebra.*

Initially the authors established 4.6 for G either a linear algebraic group or an abelian variety. The finiteness of $H^*(BG,\Lambda)$ in the general case was proved by Deligne in [8].

Corollary 4.7. *Let (G,X) be as in 4.6, $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to [X/G]$ be a morphism of Artin stacks representable and of finite presentation, $K \in D_c^b(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$. Consider $H^*(\mathcal{X},K)$ as an $H^*(BG,\Lambda)$-module by restriction of scalars via $H^*(BG,\Lambda) \to H^*(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$. Then $H^*(\mathcal{X},K)$ is a finite $H^*(BG,\Lambda)$-module.*

Proof. It suffices to apply 4.6 to $Rf_*K \in D_c^b(\mathcal{X},\Lambda)$. □

Corollary 4.8. *Let $(f,u)\colon (X,G) \to (Y,H)$ be an equivariant morphism, with (X,G) and (Y,H) as in 4.6. Assume that u is a monomorphism. Then the map $[f/u]^*\colon H^*([Y/H],\Lambda) \to H^*([X/G],\Lambda)$ is finite.*

Indeed, since $[f/u]\colon [X/G] \to [Y/H]$ is representable (1.8), this is a special case of 4.7.

Proof of 4.6. We may assume G reduced (hence smooth). Then G is an extension $1 \to G^0 \to G \to F \to 1$, where F is the finite group $\pi_0(G)$ and G^0 is the neutral component of G. By Chevalley's theorem (cf. [6, 1.1.1] or [7, 1.1]), G^0 is an extension $1 \to L \to G^0 \to A \to 1$, where A is an abelian variety and $L = G_{\mathrm{aff}}$ is the largest connected affine normal subgroup of G^0. Then L is also normal in G, and if $E = G/L$, then E is an extension $1 \to A \to E \to F \to 1$. We will sum up this dévissage by saying that G is an iterated extension $G = L\cdot A\cdot F$.

By [15, VIII 7.3.4, 7.3.6], for every algebraic group H over k, the extensions of H by F are classified by $H^2(F,H)$. Thus, the extension E of F by A defines a class in $H^2(F,A)$, which comes from a class α in $H^2(F,A[m])$, where m is the order of F and $A[m]$ denotes the kernel of $m\colon A \to A$. Indeed the second arrow in the exact sequence

$$H^2(F,A[m]) \to H^2(F,A) \xrightarrow{\times m} H^2(F,A)$$

is equal to zero. This allows us to define an inductive system of subgroups $E_i = A[mn^i]\cdot F$ of E, given by the image of α in $H^2(F,A[mn^i])$. This induces an inductive system of subgroups $G_i = L\cdot A[mn^i]\cdot F$ of G, fitting into short exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & L \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & G_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\square"] & E_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & L \arrow[r] & G \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Form the diagram with cartesian squares

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[X/G_i]} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_i"'] & BG_i \arrow[d] & G/G_i \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
{[X/G]} \arrow[r] & BG & \operatorname{Spec} k. \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that $G/G_i = A/A[mn^i]$ and the vertical arrows in the above diagram are proper representable. By the classical projection formula [43, XVII 5.2.2.1], $Rf_{i*}f_i^*K \simeq K \otimes_\Lambda^L Rf_{i*}\Lambda$. Moreover, $f_{i*}\Lambda \simeq \Lambda$. Thus we have a distinguished triangle

$$K \to Rf_{i*}f_i^*K \to K \otimes_\Lambda^L \tau^{\geq 1} Rf_{i*}\Lambda \to . \tag{4.8.1}$$

The first term forms a constant system and the third term $N_i = K \otimes_\Lambda^L \tau^{\geq 1} Rf_{i*}\Lambda$ forms an AR-null system of level $2d$ in the sense that $N_{i+2d} \to N_i$ is zero for all i, where $d = \dim A$. Indeed the stalks of $R^q f_{i*}\Lambda$ are $H^q(A/A[mn^i], \Lambda)$, which is zero for $q > 2d$. For $q = 0$, the transition maps of $(H^0(A/A[mn^i], \Lambda))$ are id_Λ and for $q > 0$, the transition maps of $(H^q(A/A[mn^i], \Lambda))$ are zero. Thus, in the induced long exact sequence of (4.8.1)

$$H^{*-1}([X/G], N_i) \to H^*([X/G], K) \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} H^*([X/G_i], f_i^*K) \to H^*([X/G], N_i),$$

the system $(H^*([X/G], N_i))$ is AR-null of level $2d$. Therefore, α_i is injective for $i \geq 2d$ and $\operatorname{Im}\alpha_i = \operatorname{Im}(H^*([X/G]_{i+2d}, f_{i+2d}^*K) \to H^*([X/G_i], f_i^*K))$ for all i. Taking $i = 2d$, we get $H^*([X/G], K) = \operatorname{Im}(H^*([X/G_{4d}], f_{4d}^*K) \to H^*([X/G_{2d}], f_{2d}^*K))$. In particular, $H^*(BG, \Lambda)$ is a quotient Λ-algebra of $H^*(BG_{4d}, \Lambda)$, and $H^*([X/G], K)$ is a quotient $H^*(BG, \Lambda)$-module of $H^*([X/G_{4d}], f_{4d}^*K)$. Therefore, it suffices to show the theorem with G replaced by G_{4d}. In particular, we may assume that G is a linear algebraic group.

Let $G \to \mathrm{GL}_r$ be an embedding into a general linear group. By 1.13, the morphism of Artin stacks over $B\mathrm{GL}_r$,

$$[X/G] \to [(X \wedge^G \mathrm{GL}_r)/\mathrm{GL}_r],$$

is an equivalence. Replacing G by GL_r and X by $X \wedge^G \mathrm{GL}_r$, we may assume that $G = \mathrm{GL}_r$. Let $f\colon [X/G] \to BG$. Then $Rf_*K \in D_c^b(BG, \Lambda)$. Thus we may assume $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$. The full subcategory of objects K satisfying the theorem is a triangulated category. Thus we may further assume $K \in \mathrm{Mod}_c(BG, \Lambda)$. In this case, since G is connected, K is necessarily constant (2.7) so that $K \simeq \pi^*M$ for some finite Λ-module M, where $\pi\colon BG \to \operatorname{Spec} k$. In this case, by 4.4 (a), $H^*(BG, \Lambda) \simeq \Lambda[c_1, \dots, c_r]$ is a noetherian ring and $H^*(BG, K) \simeq M \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda[c_1, \dots, c_r]$ is a finite $H^*(BG, \Lambda)$-module. $\square$

Remark 4.9. We have shown in the proof of 4.6 that $H^*([X/G], K)$ is a quotient $H^*(BG)$-module of $H^*([X/H], f^*K)$ for a certain affine subgroup $H = G_{4d}$ of G, f denoting the canonical morphism $[X/H] \to [X/G]$.

In the following examples, we write $H^*(-)$ for $H^*(-, \Lambda)$, with Λ as in 4.6.

Example 4.10. Let G/k be an extension of an abelian variety A of dimension g by a torus T of dimension r. Then

(a) $H^1(A)$, $H^1(T)$, $H^1(G)$ are free over Λ of ranks $2g$, r and $2g+r$ respectively, and the sequence $0 \to H^1(A) \to H^1(G) \to H^1(T) \to 0$ is exact. We have a canonical isomorphism of Λ-algebras

$$H^*(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda H^1(G). \tag{4.10.1}$$

(b) The homomorphism

$$d_2^{01}\colon H^1(G) \to H^2(BG)$$

in the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(BG) \otimes H^q(G) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$$

of the fibration $\operatorname{Spec} k \to BG$ is an isomorphism.

(c) We have $H^{2i+1}(BG)=0$ for all i, and the inclusion $H^2(BG)\hookrightarrow H^*(BG)$ extends to an isomorphism of Λ-algebras

$$\mathrm{S}^*(H^2(BG)[-2])\xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(BG).$$

Let us briefly sketch a proof.

Assertion (a) is standard. We may assume $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. As the multiplication by n on A and T is surjective, the sequence $0\to T[n]\to G[n]\to A[n]\to 0$ is exact, hence also the sequence $0\to H^1(A)\to H^1(G)\to H^1(T)\to 0$ by applying $\mathrm{Hom}((-)[n],\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})\to H^1(-)$. The isomorphism (4.10.1) follows (after reducing to $n=\ell$ prime) from the structure of Hopf algebra of $H^*(G)$, as $H^{2g+r}(G)\xrightarrow{\sim} H^r(T)\otimes H^{2g}(A)$ is of rank 1 (cf. [36, Prop. 16, p. 191]).

Assertion (b) is immediate.

To prove (c) we calculate $H^*(BG)$ using the nerve $B_\bullet G$ of G (cf. [9, 6.1.5]):

$$H^*(BG)=H^*(B_\bullet G),$$

which gives the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence:

$$E_1^{ij}=H^j(B_iG)\Rightarrow H^{i+j}(BG). \tag{4.10.2}$$

One finds that

$$E_1^{\bullet,j}=L\Lambda^j(H^1(G)[-1]).$$

By [20, I 4.3.2.1 (i)] we get

$$E_1^{\bullet,j}=L\mathrm{S}^j(H^1(G))[-j].$$

Thus

$$E_2^{ij}=\begin{cases}\mathrm{S}^j(H^1(G)) & \text{if } i=j,\\ 0 & \text{if } i\neq j.\end{cases}$$

The E_2 term is concentrated on the diagonal, hence (4.10.2) degenerates at E_2, and we get an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{gr}H^*(BG)=\mathrm{S}^*(H^1(G)[-2]),$$

from which (c) follows.

Example 4.11. Let G be a connected reductive group over k. Let T be *the* maximal torus in G, and $W=N_G(T)/T$ *the* Weyl group. Assume that none of the prime numbers ℓ dividing n are of torsion for G (which is the case if none of them divides the order of W), i.e. $H_i(G,\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is torsion-free for all i, cf. [37, 1.3.1]. Then, as in [10, Sommes trig., 8.2], the following results can be deduced from the classical results on compact Lie groups (due to Borel) by lifting G to characteristic zero.

(a) $H^*(G)$ is the exterior algebra over a free Λ-module having a basis of elements of odd degree [3, 7.3].

(b) $H^*(BG)$ is isomorphic to a polynomial algebra over a free Λ-module having a basis of elements of even degree ([3, 19.1], [4, 4.5]).

(c) The spectral sequence

$$E_2^{ij}=H^i(BG)\otimes H^j(G/T)\Rightarrow H^{i+j}(BT) \tag{4.11.1}$$

degenerates at E_2, E_2^{ij} being zero if i or j is odd[7]. In particular, the homomorphism

$$H^*(BT)\to H^*(G/T)$$

induced by the inclusion $G/T\hookrightarrow BT$ (1.14 (b)) is surjective. In other words, in view of 4.4, $H^*(G/T)$ is generated by the Chern classes of the invertible sheaves L_χ obtained by pushing the T-torsor G over G/T by the characters $\chi\colon T\to\Lambda^*$.

---

[7] The vanishing of $H^j(G/T)$ for j odd follows for example from the Bruhat decomposition of G/B for a Borel B containing T.

(d) The Weyl group W acts on (4.11.1), trivially on $H^*(BG)$, and $H^*(G/T)$ is the regular representation of W [3, 27.1]. In particular, the homomorphism $H^*(BG) \to H^*(BT)$ induced by the projection $BT \to BG$ induces an isomorphism

$$H^*(BG) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(BT)^W. \tag{4.11.2}$$

(e) In the spectral sequence of the fibration $\operatorname{Spec} k \to BG$,

$$E_2^{ij} = H^i(BG) \otimes H^j(G) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\operatorname{Spec} k),$$

primitive and transgressive elements coincide, and the transgression gives an isomorphism from the primitive part of $H^*(G)$ to the indecomposable quotient of $H^*(BG)$ [3, 19.1].

5 Finiteness of orbit types

Let k be a field of characteristic $p \geq 0$, G be an algebraic group over k, A be a finite group. The presheaf of sets $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathrm{group}}(A, G)$ on $\mathrm{AlgSp}(k)$ is represented by a closed subscheme X of the product $\prod_{a \in A} G$ of copies of G indexed by A. The group G acts on X by conjugation. Let $x \in X(k)$ be a rational point of X and $c\colon G \to X$ be the G-equivariant morphism sending g to xg. Let $H = c^{-1}(x) \subset G$ be the *inertia* subgroup at x. The morphism c decomposes into

$$G \to H\backslash G \xrightarrow{f} X,$$

where f is an immersion [11, III § 3, 5.2]. The *orbit* of x under G is the (scheme-theoretic) image of f, which is a subscheme of X. The orbits of X are disjoint with each other.

The following result is probably well known. It was communicated to us by Serre.

Theorem 5.1 (Serre)**.** *Assume that the order of A is indivisible by p. Then the orbits of X under the action of G are open subschemes. Moreover, if G is smooth, then X is smooth.*

The condition on the order of A is essential. For example, if $p > 0$, $A = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $G = \mathbb{G}_a$ is the additive group, then G acts trivially on $X \simeq G$.

Corollary 5.2.

(a) The orbits are closed and the number of orbits is finite. Moreover, if k is algebraically closed, then the orbits form a disjoint open covering of X.

(b) Let k' be an extension of k, Y an orbit of X under G. Then $Y_{k'}$ is an orbit of $X_{k'}$ under $G_{k'}$.

Proof. Assertion (b) is trivial. For (a), up to base change to an algebraic closure of k, we may assume that k is algebraically closed. In this case, by 5.1, the orbits form a disjoint open covering of the quasi-compact topological space X. Therefore, the orbits are also closed and the number of orbits is finite. □

Corollary 5.3. *Let G be an algebraic group over k, ℓ be a prime number distinct from p. There are only finitely many conjugacy classes of elementary abelian ℓ-subgroups of G. Moreover, if k is algebraically closed and k' is an algebraically closed extension of k, then the natural map $S_k \to S_{k'}$ from the set S_k of conjugacy classes of elementary abelian ℓ-subgroups of G to the set $S_{k'}$ of conjugacy classes of elementary abelian ℓ-subgroups of $G_{k'}$ is a bijection.*

Proof. By 5.2, it suffices to show that the ranks of the elementary abelian ℓ-subgroups of G are bounded. For this, we may assume k algebraically closed, and G smooth. As in the proof of 4.6, let L be the maximal connected affine normal subgroup of the neutral component G^0 of G. Let d be the dimension of the abelian variety G^0/L, and m be the maximal integer such that $\ell^m | [G : G^0]$. Choose an embedding of L into some GL_n. Then every elementary abelian subgroup of G has rank $\leq n + 2d + m$. □

To prove the theorem, we need a lemma on tangent spaces. Let S be an algebraic space, X be an S-functor, that is, a presheaf of sets on $\mathrm{AlgSp}(S)$. Recall [42, II 3.1] that the *tangent bundle* to X is defined to be the S-functor

$$T_{X/S} = \mathcal{H}om_S(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_S[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)), X),$$

which is endowed with a projection to X. For every point $u \in X(S)$, the *tangent space* to X at u is the S-functor [42, II 3.2]

$$T^u_{X/S} = T_{X/S} \times_{X,u} S.$$

Recall [42, II 3.11] that, S-functors Y and Z, we have isomorphisms

$$T_{\mathcal{H}om_S(Y,Z)/S} \simeq \mathcal{H}om_S(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_Y[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)), Z) \simeq \mathcal{H}om_S(Y, T_{Z/S}).$$

For a morphism $f\colon Y \to Z$ of S-functors, these induce an isomorphism

$$T^f_{\mathcal{H}om_S(Y,Z)/S} \simeq \mathcal{H}om_{Z/S}((Y,f), T_{Z/S}). \tag{5.3.1}$$

Assume that Z is an S-group, that is, a presheaf of groups on $\mathrm{AlgSp}(S)$. Then we have an isomorphism of schemes $T_{Z/S} \simeq Z \times_S \mathrm{Lie}(Z/S)$, where $\mathrm{Lie}(Z/S) = T^1_{Z/S}$. Thus (5.3.1) induces an isomorphism

$$T^f_{\mathcal{H}om_S(Y,Z)/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om_S(Y, \mathrm{Lie}(Z/S)). \tag{5.3.2}$$

Furthermore, if Y is an S-group and f is a homomorphism of S-groups, then the image of $T^f_{\mathcal{H}om_{S\text{-group}}(Y,Z)/S}$ by (5.3.2) is $\mathcal{Z}^1_S(Y, \mathrm{Lie}(Z/S))$ [42, II 4.2], where Y acts on $\mathrm{Lie}(Z/S)$ by the formula $y \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}(f(y))$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $f\colon Y \to Z$ be a homomorphism of S-groups as above. Let $c\colon Z \to \mathcal{H}om_{S\text{-group}}(Y,Z)$ be the morphism given by*

$$z \mapsto (y \mapsto (zf(y)z^{-1})).$$

Then the composition

$$\mathrm{Lie}(Z/S) \xrightarrow{T^1_{c/S}} T^f_{\mathcal{H}om_{S\text{-group}}(Y,Z)/S} \to \mathcal{H}om_S(Y, \mathrm{Lie}(Z/S))$$

is given by $t \mapsto (y \mapsto t - \mathrm{Ad}(f(y))T)$, and the image is $\mathcal{B}^1_S(Y, \mathrm{Lie}(Z/S))$.

Proof. The exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{Lie}(Z/S) \to T_{Z/S} \to Z \to 1$$

has a canonical splitting, which allows one to identify $T_{Z/S}$ with the semidirect product $\mathrm{Lie}(Z/S) \rtimes Z$. An element (t,z) of the semidirect product (evaluated at an S-scheme S') corresponds to the image of $dR_z(t) \in T^z_{Z/S}(S')$, where $dR_z\colon Z \times_S S' \to Z \times_S S'$ is the right translation by z. Multiplication in the semidirect product is given by

$$(t,z)(t',z') = (t + \mathrm{Ad}(z)t', zz').$$

The image of t under $T^1_{c/S}$ in $T^f_{\mathcal{H}om_S(Y,Z)/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om_{Z/S}(Y, T_{Z/S})$ is $T_{c/S}(t,1)$, given by

$$y \mapsto (t,1)(0,f(y))(t,1)^{-1} = (t - \mathrm{Ad}(f(y))t, f(y)).$$

Hence the image in $\mathcal{H}om_S(Y, \mathrm{Lie}(Z/S))$ is $y \mapsto t - \mathrm{Ad}(f(y))t$. □

Proof of 5.1. We may assume k algebraically closed and G smooth. As in the beginning of Section 5, let $u\colon A \to G$ be a rational point of X, H be the inertia at u, $Y = H\backslash G$, $c\colon G \to X$ be the G-equivariant morphism sending g to ug, which factorizes through an immersion $j\colon Y \to X$. Since $H^1(A, \mathrm{Lie}(G)) = 0$ for any action of A on $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$, it follows from 5.4 that $T^1_c\colon \mathrm{Lie}(G) \to T^u_X$ is an epimorphism. Thus the map $T^{\{H\}}_j\colon T^{\{H\}}_Y \to T^u_X$ is an isomorphism. Since Y is smooth [42, $\mathrm{VI_B}$ 9.2], j is étale [17, 17.11.2] and hence an open immersion at this point. In other words, the orbit of u contains an open neighborhood of u. Since the rational points of X form a dense subset [17, 10.4.8], the orbits of rational points form an open covering of X, which implies that X is smooth. □

6 Structure theorems for equivariant cohomology rings

Throughout this section κ is a field and k is an algebraically closed field. From 6.8 onward, we assume that ℓ is a prime number invertible in k.

Definition 6.1. For a functor $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ and an object d of $\mathcal{D}$, let $(d \downarrow F) = \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}_{d/}$ (strict fiber product) be the of category whose objects are pairs (c, ϕ) of an object c of $\mathcal{C}$ and a morphism $\phi\colon d \to F(c)$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{D}$, and arrows are defined in the natural way. Recall that F is said to be *cofinal* if, for every object d of $\mathcal{D}$, the category $(d \downarrow F)$ is nonempty and connected.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a full and essentially surjective functor. Then F is cofinal.*

Proof. Let d be an object of $\mathcal{D}$. As F is essentially surjective, there exist an object c of $\mathcal{C}$ and an isomorphism $f\colon d \xrightarrow{\sim} F(c)$ in $\mathcal{D}$, which give an object of $(d \downarrow F)$. As F is full, for any morphism $g\colon d \to F(c')$, with c' an object of $\mathcal{C}$, there exists a morphism $h\colon c \to c'$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $F(h) = gf^{-1}$, which gives a morphism $f \to g$ in $(d \downarrow F)$. ☐

Definition 6.3. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a category enriched in the category $\mathrm{AlgSp}^{\mathrm{ft}}_{/\kappa}$ of algebraic κ-spaces of finite type. For objects X and Y of $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y)$ is an algebraic κ-space of finite type over κ and composition of morphisms in $\mathcal{D}$ is given by morphisms of algebraic κ-spaces. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\kappa)$ the category having the same objects as $\mathcal{D}$, but where

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\kappa)}(X, Y) = (\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y))(\kappa).$$

If κ is separably closed, we denote by $\mathcal{D}(\pi_0)$ the category having the same objects as $\mathcal{D}$, but where

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\pi_0)}(X, Y) = \pi_0(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y)).$$

Note that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\pi_0)}(X, Y)$ is a finite set. We have a functor

$$\eta\colon \mathcal{D}(\kappa) \to \mathcal{D}(\pi_0),$$

which is the identity on objects, and sends $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y)(\kappa)$ to the connected component containing it. If κ is algebraically closed, or if for all X, Y in $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y)$ is smooth over κ, then η is full, hence cofinal by 6.2.

Construction 6.4. Let G be an algebraic group over k, X be an algebraic space of finite presentation over k, endowed with an action of G, and ℓ be a prime number. We define a category enriched in the category $\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{ft}}_{/k}$ of schemes of finite type over k,

$$\mathcal{A}_{G,X,\ell},$$

as follows. Objects of $\mathcal{A}_{G,X,\ell}$ are pairs (A, C) where A is an elementary abelian ℓ-subgroup of G and C is a connected component of the algebraic space of fixed points X^A (which is a closed algebraic subspace of X if X is separated). For objects (A, C) and (A', C') of $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}$, we denote by $\mathrm{Trans}_G((A, C), (A', C'))$ the *transporter* of (A, C) into (A', C'), namely the closed subscheme of G representing the functor

$$S \mapsto \{g \in G(S) \mid g^{-1}A_S g \subset A'_S, C_S g \supset C'_S\}.$$

In fact, $\mathrm{Trans}_G((A, C), (A', C'))$ is a closed and open subscheme of the scheme $\mathrm{Trans}_G(A, A')$ defined by the cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Trans}_G(A, A') \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \prod_{a\in A} A' \arrow[d] \\
G \arrow[r] & \prod_{a\in A} G
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the lower horizontal arrow is given by $g \mapsto (g^{-1}ag)_{a\in A}$. In particular, we have an injection

$$\mathrm{Trans}_G(A, A') \to \mathrm{Trans}_G((A, C), (A', C')).$$

We define

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{G,X,\ell}}((A,C),(A',C')) := \mathrm{Trans}_G((A,C),(A',C')).$$

Composition of morphisms is given by the composition of transporters

$$\mathrm{Trans}_G((A',C'),(A'',C'')) \times \mathrm{Trans}_G((A,C),(A',C')) \to \mathrm{Trans}_G((A,C),(A'',C'')),$$

which is a morphism of k-schemes. When no confusion arises, we omit ℓ from the notation. We will denote $\mathcal{A}_{G,\mathrm{Spec}(k)}$ by $\mathcal{A}_G$.

For an object (A,C) of $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}$, we denote by $\mathrm{Cent}_G(A,C)$ its *centralizer*, namely the closed subscheme of G representing the functor

$$S \mapsto \{g \in G(S) \mid C_S g = C_S \text{ and } g^{-1}ag = a \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$

For objects (A,C), (A',C') of $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}$, we have natural injections (cf. [32, (8.2)])

$$\mathrm{Cent}_G(A,C)\backslash\mathrm{Trans}_G((A,C),(A',C')) \to \mathrm{Cent}_G(A)\backslash\mathrm{Trans}_G(A,A') \to \mathrm{Hom}(A,A'). \tag{6.4.1}$$

We let $\mathcal{A}^\flat_{G,X}$ denote the category having the same objects as $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}$, but with morphisms defined by the left hand of (6.4.1). We call the finite group

$$W_G(A,C) := \mathrm{Cent}_G(A,C)\backslash\mathrm{Trans}_G((A,C),(A,C)) \subset W_G(A) \tag{6.4.2}$$

the *Weil group* of (A,C). This is a subgroup of the finite group

$$W_G(A) = \mathrm{Cent}_G(A,A)\backslash\mathrm{Trans}_G(A,A) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(A,A).$$

The functors

$$\mathcal{A}_{G,X}(k) \to \mathcal{A}_{G,X}(\pi_0) \to \mathcal{A}^\flat_{G,X}$$

(the second one defined via (6.4.1)) are cofinal by 6.2.

Let k' be an algebraically closed extension of k. We have a functor $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}(k) \to \mathcal{A}_{G_{k'},X_{k'}}(k')$ carrying (A,C) to $(A,C_{k'})$. Since the map

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{Trans}_G((A,C),(A',C'))) \to \pi_0(\mathrm{Trans}_{G_{k'}}((A,C_{k'}),(A',C'_{k'})))$$

is a bijection, this induces a functor $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}(\pi_0) \to \mathcal{A}_{G_{k'},X_{k'}}(\pi_0)$.

Lemma 6.5. *The category $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}(\pi_0)$ is essentially finite, and the functor $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}(\pi_0) \to \mathcal{A}_{G_{k'},X_{k'}}(\pi_0)$ is an equivalence. In particular, $\mathcal{A}_G(\pi_0)$ is essentially finite.*

Proof. Let S be a set of representatives of isomorphisms classes of objects of $\mathcal{A}_G(\pi_0)$. In other words, S is a set of representatives of conjugacy classes of elementary abelian ℓ-subgroups of G. By 5.3, this is a finite set. Let T be the set of objects (A,C) of $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}(\pi_0)$ such that $A \in S$. Then T is a finite set. The conclusion follows frow the following facts:

(a) For (A,C) and (A',C') in $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{G,X}(\pi_0)}((A,C),(A',C'))$ is finite (6.3), and, by 6.4,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{G,X}(\pi_0)}((A,C),(A',C')) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{G_{k'},X_{k'}}(\pi_0)}((A,C_{k'}),(A',C'_{k'})).$$

(b) The finite set T is a set of representatives of isomorphism classes of objects of $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}(\pi_0)$, and $\{(A,C_{k'}) \mid (A,C) \in T\}$ is a set of representatives of isomorphism classes of objects of $\mathcal{A}_{G_{k'},X_{k'}}(\pi_0)$.

Indeed, (b) follows from the following obvious lemma. □

Lemma 6.6. *Let $F\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ be a functor. Assume that for every object B of $\mathcal{B}$ and every object C of $\mathcal{C}$ isomorphic to $F(B)$, there exists an object B' of $\mathcal{B}$ isomorphic to B such that $F(B') = C$. Let S be a set of representatives of $\mathcal{C}$. For every $C \in S$, let T_C be a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of objects of the fiber category F_C. Then $\cup_{C\in S} T_C$ is a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of objects of $\mathcal{B}$.*

Notation 6.7. We will sometimes omit the constant coefficient $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ from the notation. We will sometimes write H^*_G for $H^*(BG) = H^*(BG, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

Construction 6.8. Let $T = \mathrm{Trans}(A; A')$, $BA_T = (BA)_T = B(A_T)$. If we denote by $\pi\colon BA_T \to T$ and $\pi'\colon BA'_T \to T$ the projections, then, by base change by $T \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ (2.12), $R\pi_*\mathbb{F}_\ell$ is the pull-back of $R\Gamma(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ and $R\pi'_*\mathbb{F}_\ell$ is the pull-back of $R\Gamma(BA', \mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Let

$$\tau \in T(T) \subset G(T)$$

be the tautological section, $c_\tau\colon A_T \to A'_T$ be the conjugation by τ which sends a to $\tau^{-1}a\tau$. Then, in the notations of 6.7, $Bc_\tau\colon BA_T \to BA'_T$ induces a homomorphism $\theta\colon H^*_{A'} \to H^*_A$ of constant sheaves on T. For $g \in \pi_0(T)$, θ defines a homomorphism $\theta_g\colon H^*_{A'} \to H^*_A$. This defines a presheaf (H^*_A, θ_g) on $\pi_0(\mathcal{A}_G)$, hence on $\pi_0(\mathcal{A}_{G,X})$.

If (A, C) is an object of $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}$, we have

$$H^*([C/A]) = H^*_A \otimes H^*(C)$$

The restriction $H^*([X/G]) \to H^*([C/A])$ induced by the inclusion $(C, A) \to (X, G)$, composed with the projection $H^*([C/A]) \to H^*_A$ induced by $H^*(C) \to H^0(C) = \mathbb{F}_\ell$, defines a homomorphism

$$(A, C)^*\colon H^*([X/G]) \to H^*_A. \tag{6.8.1}$$

Let $U := \mathrm{Trans}(A, C; A', C') \subset T$, and still denote by $\tau \in U(U) \subset T(U) \subset G(U)$ the tautological section. We have the following 2-commutative square of groupoids in the category $\mathrm{AlgSp}(U)$ (1.1):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(C'_U, A_U)_\bullet \arrow[r, "(\mathrm{id}, c_\tau)"] \arrow[d, "(\tau^{-1}, \mathrm{id})"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Nearrow"] & (C'_U, A'_U)_\bullet \arrow[d] \\
(C_U, A_U)_\bullet \arrow[r] & (X_U, G_U)_\bullet
\end{tikzcd}$$

(with trivial action of A_U and A'_U on C'_U and trivial action of A_U on C_U), where the 2-morphism is given by the morphism $C'_U \to X_U \times G_U$ sending x to $(x\tau, \tau)$. The corresponding 2-commutative square of Artin stacks

$$\begin{tikzcd}
BA \times C'_U \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & BA' \times C'_U \arrow[d] \\
BA \times C_U \arrow[r] & {[X/G]} \times U
\end{tikzcd}$$

induces by adjunction (2.4) the following commutative square of constant sheaves on U:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^*([X/G]) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^*([C/A]) \arrow[d] \\
H^*([C'/A']) \arrow[r] & H^*([C'/A]).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Composing with the projections (6.8.1), we obtain the following commutative diagram of constant sheaves on U:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^*([X/G]) \arrow[d, "(A', C')^*"'] \arrow[dr, "(A, C)^*"] & \\
H^*_{A'} \arrow[r, "\theta"'] & H^*_A
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore the maps $(A, C)^*$ (6.8.1) define a homomorphism

$$a(G, X)\colon H^*([X/G]) \to \varprojlim_{\mathcal{A}_{G,X}(\pi_0)} (H^*_A, \theta_g). \tag{6.8.2}$$

Note that the projective system (H_A^*, θ_g) factors through $\mathcal{A}^{\flat}_{G,X}$ and the right-hand side is the equalizer of

$$(j_1, j_2)\colon \prod_{(A,C)\in\mathcal{A}_{G,X}} H_A^* \rightrightarrows \prod_{g\colon (A,C)\to(A',C')} H_A^*,$$

where g runs through morphisms in $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}(k)$, $j_1(h_{(A,C)}) = (h_{(A,C)})_g$, $j_2(h_{(A,C)}) = (\theta_g h_{(A',C')})_g$. Moreover, by the finiteness results 4.8 and 6.5, the right-hand side of 6.8.2 is a finite $H^*(BG)$-module, and, in particular, a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-algebra.

To state our main result for the map $a(G,X)$ (6.8.2), we need to recall the following definitions.

Definition 6.9. Let GrVec be the category of graded $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-vector spaces. It is an $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-linear $\otimes$-category. The commutativity constraint of GrVec follows Koszul's rule of signs, such that a (pseudo-)ring in GrVec is an anti-commutative graded $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-(pseudo-)algebra.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. As a special case of 3.7 (b), the functor category $\mathrm{GrVec}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is a $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-linear $\otimes$-category. The functor $\varprojlim_{\mathcal{C}}\colon \mathrm{GrVec}^{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathrm{GrVec}$ is the right adjoint to the unital $\otimes$-functor $\mathrm{GrVec} \to \mathrm{GrVec}^{\mathcal{C}}$, thus has a right unital $\otimes$-structure. If $u\colon R \to S$ is a homomorphism of pseudo-rings in $\mathrm{GrVec}^{\mathcal{C}}$, we say that u is a *uniform F-injection* (resp. *uniform F-surjection*) if there exists an integer $n \geq 0$ such that for any object i of $\mathcal{C}$ and any homogeneous element (or, equivalently, any element) a in the kernel of u_i (resp. in S_i), $a^{\ell^n} = 0$ (resp. a^{ℓ^n} is in the image of u_i). Note that $a^{\ell^n} = 0$ for some $n \geq 0$ is equivalent to $a^m = 0$ for some $m \geq 1$. We say u is a *uniform F-isomorphism* if it is both a uniform F-injection and a uniform F-surjection. These definitions apply in particular to GrVec by taking $\mathcal{C}$ to be a discrete category of one object, in which case the notion of a uniform F-isomorphism coincides with the definition in [31, Section 3].

The following result is an analogue of Quillen's theorem ([31, 6.2], [32, 8.5]):

Theorem 6.10. *Let X be a separated algebraic space of finite type over k, G be an algebraic group over k acting on X. Then the homomorphism $a(G,X)$ (6.8.2) is a uniform F-isomorphism (6.9).*

Remark 6.11. Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer, A be an elementary abelian ℓ-group of rank r. We identify $H^1(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ with $\check{A} = \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Recall [31, Section 4] that we have a natural identification of $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-graded algebras

$$H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{S}(\check{A}) & \text{if } \ell = 2 \\ \Lambda(\check{A}) \otimes \mathrm{S}(\beta\check{A}) & \text{if } \ell > 2, \end{cases}$$

where S (resp. Λ) denotes a symmetric (resp. exterior) algebra over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$, and $\beta\colon \check{A} \to H^2(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is the Bockstein operator. In particular, if $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ is a basis of $\check{A}$ over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$, then

$$H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_\ell[x_1, \dots, x_r] & \text{if } \ell = 2 \\ \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_r) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell[y_1, \dots, y_r] & \text{if } \ell > 2 \end{cases}$$

where $y_i = \beta x_i$. We will sometimes write H_A^* for $H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

Corollary 6.12. *With X and G as in 6.10, let $K \in D_c^b([X/G], \mathbb{F}_\ell)$. The Poincaré series*

$$\mathrm{PS}_t(H^*([X/G], K)) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} H^i([X/G], K) t^i$$

is a rational function of t of the form $P(t)/\prod_{1\leq i\leq n}(1 - t^{2i})$, with $P(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. The order of the pole of $PS_t(H^*([X/G]))$ at $t = 1$ is the maximum rank of an elementary abelian ℓ-subgroup A of G such that $X^A \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. By 4.6, $H^*([X/G], K)$ is a finitely generated module over $H_G^*(X)$, which is a finitely generated algebra over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$. Therefore the Poincaré series $\mathrm{PS}_t(H^*([X/G], K))$ is a rational function of t, and the order of the pole at $t = 1$ of $\mathrm{PS}_t(H_G^*(X))$ is equal to the dimension of the commutative ring $H^{2*}([X/G])$. To show that $\mathrm{PS}_t(H^*([X/G], K))$ is of the form given in 6.12, recall (4.9) that we have shown in the proof of 4.6 that $H^*([X/G], K)$ is a quotient $H^*(BG)$-module of $H^*([X/H], f^*K)$ for a certain affine subgroup H of G, f denoting the canonical morphism $[X/H] \to [X/G]$. We may therefore assume that G is affine. Embedding it into some GL_n, and using the induction formula as at the end of the proof of 4.6, we are reduced to the case where $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$. In this case the result follows from the structure of $H^*(B\mathrm{GL}_n)$ (4.4). The last assertion is derived from 6.10 as in [31, 7.7]. One can also see it in a more geometric way, observing that the reduced spectrum of $H_G^{\varepsilon *}(X)$ (where $\varepsilon = 1$ if $\ell = 2$ and 2 otherwise) is homeomorphic to an amalgamation of standard affine spaces $\underline{A} = \mathrm{Spec}(H_A^{\varepsilon *})_{\mathrm{red}}$ associated with the objects (A, C) of $\mathcal{A}_{G,X}$ (see Section 11). ☐

Example 6.13. Let G be a connected reductive group over k with no ℓ-torsion, T be a maximal torus of G. Let $\iota\colon \mathcal{A}' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G^\flat$ be the full subcategory spanned by $T[\ell]$. The functor ι is cofinal. Indeed, for every object A of $\mathcal{A}_G^\flat$, since A is toral, there exists a morphism $c_g\colon A \to T[\ell]$ in $\mathcal{A}_G^\flat$. Moreover, for morphisms $c_g\colon A \to T[\ell]$, $c_{g'}\colon A \to T[\ell]$ in $\mathcal{A}_G^\flat$, there exists an isomorphism $c_h\colon T[\ell] \to T[\ell]$ such that $c_h c_g = c_{g'}$ in $\mathcal{A}_G^\flat$, by [37, 1.1.1] applied to the conjugation $c_{g'g^{-1}}\colon c_g(A) \to c_{g'}(A)$. Let $W = W_G(T) \simeq W_G(T[\ell])$. The map $a(G, X)$ can be identified with the injective F-isomorphism

$$H_G^* \simeq (H_T^*)^W \to (H_{T[\ell]}^*)^W$$

induced by restriction (where the isomorphism is (4.11.2)). In particular,

$$\varprojlim_{A\in\mathcal{A}_{G,X}^\flat} (H_A^{\varepsilon *})_{\mathrm{red}} \simeq \mathrm{S}(T[\ell]^\vee)^W,$$

where $\varepsilon = 1$ if $\ell = 2$ and $\varepsilon = 2$ if $\ell > 2$. Moreover, for $\ell > 2$, $a(G, X)$ induces an isomorphism $H_G^{2*} \simeq ((H_{T[\ell]}^{2*})_{\mathrm{red}})^W$.

Example 6.14. Let $X = X(\Sigma)$ be a toric variety over k with torus T, where Σ is a fan in $N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and $N = X_*(T)$. We identify $T[\ell]$ with $N \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$. The inertia I_σ of the orbit O_σ corresponding to a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is $N_\sigma \otimes \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$, where N_σ is the sublattice of N generated by $N \cap \sigma$, so that $A_\sigma = I_\sigma[\ell] \simeq N_\sigma \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$. The latter can be identified with the image of $N \cap \sigma$ in $N \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$. This defines an object (A_σ, C_σ) of $\mathcal{A}_{T,X}$, where C_σ is the connected component of X^{A_σ} containing O_σ. Thus we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\varprojlim_{A\in\mathcal{A}_{G,X}^\flat} (H_A^{\varepsilon *})_{\mathrm{red}} \simeq \varprojlim_{\sigma\in\Sigma} (H_{A_\sigma}^{\varepsilon *})_{\mathrm{red}}.$$

Note that $(H_{A_\sigma}^*)_{\mathrm{red}}$ can be canonically identified with $\mathrm{S}(M_\sigma) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell$, where $M_\sigma = M/(M \cap \sigma^\perp)$ and $\mathrm{S}(M_\sigma)$ is the algebra of integral polynomial functions on σ. In particular, we have a canonical equivalence

$$\varprojlim_{A\in\mathcal{A}_{G,X}^\flat} (H_A^{\varepsilon *})_{\mathrm{red}} \simeq \mathrm{PP}^*(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell, \tag{6.14.1}$$

where

$$\mathrm{PP}^*(\Sigma) = \{f\colon \mathrm{Supp}(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R} \mid f \mid \sigma \in \mathrm{S}(M_\sigma) \text{ for each } \sigma \in \Sigma\}$$

is the algebra of piecewise polynomial functions on Σ. We can also interpret $\mathrm{PP}^*(\Sigma)$ more directly as follows. For every subring $R \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\ell^{-1} \notin R$ and $R \neq \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathrm{PP}^*(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell = \{f\colon \mathrm{Supp}_R(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{F}_\ell \mid f \mid \sigma_R \in \mathrm{S}(M_\sigma) \text{ for each } \sigma \in \Sigma\},$$

where $\sigma_R = \sigma \cap (N \otimes R)$ and $\mathrm{Supp}_R(\Sigma) = \bigcup_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \sigma_R$. Recall that Payne established an isomorphism from the integral equivariant Chow cohomology ring $A_T^*(X)$ of Edidin and Graham [12, 2.6] onto $\mathrm{PP}^*(\Sigma)$ [30, Theorem 1]. Combining Theorem 6.10 and (6.14.1), we obtain a uniform F-isomorphism

$$H^*([X/G], \mathbb{F}_\ell) \to \mathrm{PP}^*(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{F}_\ell.$$

If X is smooth, this is an isomorphism, and $\mathrm{PP}^*(\Sigma)$ is isomorphic to the Stanley-Reisner ring of Σ.

In the rest of this section, we state an analogue of 6.10 with coefficients.

Construction 6.15. Let G be an algebraic group over k, X an algebraic k-space endowed with an action of G, and $K \in D^+_{\mathrm{cart}}([X/G], \mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

If A, A' are elementary abelian ℓ-subgroups of G and $g \in G(k)$ conjugates A into A' (i.e. $g^{-1}Ag \subset A'$), A acts trivially on $X^{A'}$ via $c_g = A \to A'$ (where c_g is the conjugation $s \mapsto g^{-1}sg$), and we have an equivariant morphism $(X^{A'}, A) \to (X, G)$, inducing

$$[1/c_g]\colon [X^{A'}/A] = BA \times X^{A'} \to [X/G].$$

We thus have, for all q, a restriction map

$$H^q([X/G], K) \to H^q([X^{A'}/A], [1/c_g]^*K).$$

On the other hand, we have a natural projection

$$\pi\colon [X^{A'}/A] = BA \times X^{A'} \to X^{A'},$$

hence an edge homomorphism for the corresponding Leray spectral sequence

$$H^q([X^{A'}/A], [1/c_g]^*K) \to H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K).$$

By composition we get a homomorphism

$$a^q(A, A', g)\colon H^q([X/G], K) \to H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K). \tag{6.15.1}$$

Since $R^*\pi_*\mathbb{F}_\ell = \oplus_q R^q\pi_*\mathbb{F}_\ell$ is a constant sheaf of value $H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, $R^*\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K = \oplus_q R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K$ is endowed with a $H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-module structure by constructions 3.4 and 3.7, which induces a $H^*(BG, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-module structure via the ring homomorphism $[1/c_g]^*\colon H^*(BG, \mathbb{F}_\ell) \to H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$. The map $a(A, A', g) = \oplus_q a^q(A, A', g)$ is $H^*(BG, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-linear.

If (Z, Z', h) is a second triple consisting of elementary abelian ℓ-subgroups Z, Z', and $h \in G(k)$ such that $c_h\colon Z \to Z'$, the datum of elements a and b of $G(k)$ such that $g = ahb$ and $c_a\colon A \to Z$, $c_b\colon Z' \to A'$, defines a commutative diagram

(6.15.2)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "c_g"] \arrow[d, "c_a"'] & A' \\
Z \arrow[r, "c_h"] & Z', \arrow[u, "c_b"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

hence a morphism $[b^{-1}/c_a]\colon [X^{A'}/A] \to [X^{Z'}/Z]$, fitting into a 2-commutative diagram

(6.15.3)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & {[X^{A'}/A]} \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[dl, "{[1/c_g]}"'] \arrow[d, "{[b^{-1}/c_a]}"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\Searrow", shift left=4] & X^{A'} \arrow[d, "b^{-1}"] \\
{[X/G]} & {[X^{Z'}/Z]} \arrow[l, "{[1/c_h]}"] \arrow[r, "\pi"] & X^{Z'},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the 2-morphism of the triangle is induced by b^{-1}. Base change map for the square in (6.15.3) defines a homomorphism

$$(a, b)^*\colon H^0(X^{Z'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_h]^*K) \to H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K), \tag{6.15.4}$$

which by the 2-commutativity of (6.15.3) fits into a commutative triangle

(6.15.5)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^q([X/G], K) \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \\
H^0(X^{Z'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_h]^*K) \arrow[r] & H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical and oblique maps are given by (6.15.1). Denote by

$$\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural \tag{6.15.6}$$

the following category. Objects of $\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$ are triples (A, A', g) as above, morphisms $(A, A', g) \to (Z, Z', h)$ are pairs $(a, b) \in G(k) \times G(k)$ such that $g = ahb$ and $c_a\colon A \to Z$, $c_b\colon Z' \to A'$. Via the maps $(a, b)^*$ (6.15.4), the groups $H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K)$ form a projective system indexed by $\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$, and by the commutativity of (6.15.5) we get a homomorphism

$$a^q_G(X, K)\colon H^q([X/G], K) \to R^q_G(X, K), \tag{6.15.7}$$

where

$$R^q_G(X, K) := \varprojlim_{(A,A',g)\in\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural} H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K). \tag{6.15.8}$$

Since $\oplus_q(a, b)^*$ is $H^*(BG, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-linear, $R^*_G(X, K) := \oplus_q R^q_G(X, K)$ is endowed with a structure of $H^*(BG, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-module. The map

$$a_G(X, K) = \bigoplus_q a^q_G(X, K)\colon H^*([X/G], K) \to R^*_G(X, K) \tag{6.15.9}$$

induced by (6.15.7) is a homomorphism of $H^*(BG, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-modules. If K is a (pseudo-)ring in $D^+_{\mathrm{cart}}([X/G], \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, $R^*_G(X, K)$ is a $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-(pseudo-)algebra and $a_G(X, K)$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-(pseudo-)algebras.

Theorem 6.16. *Let G be an algebraic group over k, X a separated algebraic space of finite type over k endowed with an action of G, and $K \in D^+_c([X/G], \mathbb{F}_\ell)$.*

(a) $R^q_G(X, K)$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-vector space for all q; if $K \in D^b_c([X/G], \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, $R^*_G(X, K)$ is a finite module over $H^*(BG, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

(b) If K is a pseudo-ring in $D^+_c([X/G], \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ (3.8), the kernel of the homomorphism $a_G(X, K)$ (6.15.9) *is a nilpotent ideal of $H^*([X/G], K)$. If, moreover, K is commutative, then $a_G(X, K)$ is a uniform F-isomorphism (6.9).*

Remark 6.17. The projective limit in (6.15.7) is the equalizer of the double arrow

$$(j_1, j_2)\colon \prod_{A\in\mathcal{A}_G} \Gamma(X^A, R^q\pi_{A*}[1/c_1]^*K) \rightrightarrows \prod_{(A,A',g)\in\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural} \Gamma(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_{(A,A',g)*}[1/c_g]^*K),$$

where $\pi_A = \pi_{(A,A,1)}$, $[1/c_1]\colon [X^A/A] \to [X/G]$, j_1 is induced by $(1, g)\colon (A, A', g) \to (A, A, 1)$ and j_2 is induced by $(g, 1)\colon (A, A', g) \to (A', A', 1)$.

This is a consequence of the following general fact (applied to $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_G(k)$). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. Define a category $\mathcal{C}^\natural$ as follows. The objects of $\mathcal{C}^\natural$ are the morphisms $A \to A'$ of $\mathcal{C}$. A morphism in $\mathcal{C}^\natural$ from $A \to A'$ to $Z \to Z'$ is a pair of morphisms $(A \to Z, Z' \to A')$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & A' \\
Z \arrow[r] & Z' \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a presheaf of sets on $\mathcal{C}^\natural$. Then the sequence

$$\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}^\natural}, \mathcal{F}) \to \prod_{A\in\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{F}(1_A) \rightrightarrows \prod_{(a\colon A\to A')\in\mathcal{C}^\natural} \mathcal{F}(a)$$

is exact. Here the two projections are induced by $(1_A, a)\colon a \to 1_A$ and $(a, 1_{A'})\colon a \to 1_{A'}$, respectively.

Indeed, because the two compositions are equal, we have a map $s\colon \Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}^\natural}, \mathcal{F}) \to K$, where K is the equalizer double arrow. It is straightforward to check that the map $K \to \prod_{a\in \mathrm{Ar}(\mathcal{C}^\natural)} \mathcal{F}(a)$ factors through $\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}^\natural}, \mathcal{F})$ to give the inverse of s.

Note that this statement generalizes the calculation of *ends* $\int_{A\in\mathcal{C}} F(A, A)$ [28, IX.5] of a functor F from $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C}$ to the category of sets. More generally, for any category $\mathcal{D}$ and any functor $F\colon \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$, $\int_{A\in\mathcal{C}} F(A, A)$ can be identified with the limit $\varprojlim_{a\colon A\to A'} F(A, A')$ indexed by $\mathcal{C}^\natural$.

Remark 6.18. For $K = \mathbb{F}_\ell$, the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^*([X/G], \mathbb{F}_\ell) \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & \prod_{(A,C)\in\mathcal{A}_{G,X}} H^*_A \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & \prod_{g\colon (A,C)\to(A',C')} H^*_A \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
& \prod\limits_{A\in\mathcal{A}_G} \Gamma(X^A, R^q\pi_{A*}\mathbb{F}_\ell) \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & \prod\limits_{(A,A',g)\in\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural} \Gamma(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_{(A,A',g)*}\mathbb{F}_\ell)
\end{tikzcd}$$

induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^*([X/G], \mathbb{F}_\ell) \arrow[r, "a(G,X)"] \arrow[dr, "a_G(X{,}\mathbb{F}_\ell)"'] & \varprojlim_{\mathcal{A}^\flat_{G,X}} H^*_A \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
& R^*_G(X, \mathbb{F}_\ell).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore 6.16 generalizes 6.10.

Part (b) of 6.16 will be proved as a corollary of a more general structure theorem (8.3). Part (a) will follow from the next lemma.

Lemma 6.19. *Let $\mathcal{E}_G$ be the category enriched in $\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{ft}}_{/k}$ having the same objects as $\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$ and in which $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_G}((A, A', g), (Z, Z', h))$ is the subscheme of $G \times G$ representing the presheaf of sets on* $\mathrm{AlgSp}(k)$*:*

$$S \mapsto \{(a, b) \in (G \times G)(S) \mid a^{-1}A_S a \subset Z_S, b^{-1}Z'_S b \subset A'_S, g = ahb\}.$$

(so that by definition $\mathcal{E}_G(k) = \mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$).

(a) The functor $F\colon \mathcal{E}_G(\pi_0) \to \mathcal{A}_G(\pi_0)^\natural$ carrying (A, A', g) to (A, A', γ), where γ is the connected component of $\mathrm{Trans}_G(A, A')$ containing g, is an equivalence of categories. In particular, $\mathcal{E}_G(\pi_0)$ is equivalent to a finite category, and for every algebraically closed extension k' of k, the natural functor $\mathcal{E}_G(\pi_0) \to \mathcal{E}_{G_{k'}}(\pi_0)$ is an equivalence of categories.

(b) The projective system $H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K)$ indexed by $(A, A', g) \in \mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$ factors through $\mathcal{E}_G(\pi_0)$.

Remark 6.20. The projective system in 6.19 (b) does not factor through $(\mathcal{A}^\flat_G)^\natural$ in general. Indeed, if G is a finite discrete group of order prime to ℓ, then $\mathcal{A}_G(\pi_0)$ and $\mathcal{A}_G(\pi_0)^\natural$ are both connected groupoids of fundamental group G, while $\mathcal{A}^\flat_G$ is a simply connected groupoid. If $K \in \mathrm{Mod}_c(BG, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, then the projective system in 6.19 (b) can be identified with the $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-representation of G corresponding to K.

The proof of 6.19 will be given after 6.25. We will exploit the fact that the family of stacks $[X^{A'}/A]$ parametrized by $(A, A', g) \in \mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$ underlies a family "algebraically parametrized" by $\mathcal{E}_G$. To make sense of this, the following general framework will be convenient.

Definition 6.21. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a category enriched in $\mathrm{AlgSp}^{\mathrm{ft}}_{/\kappa}$ (6.3). By a *family of Artin κ-stacks parametrized by $\mathcal{D}$*, or, for short, an *Artin $\mathcal{D}$-stack*, we mean a collection

$$X = (X_A, x_{A,B}, \sigma_A, \gamma_{A,B,C})_{A,B,C\in\mathcal{D}},$$

where X_A is an Artin stack over κ, $x_{A,B}\colon X_A\times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,B)\to X_B$ is a morphism of Artin stacks over κ, σ_A and $\gamma_{A,B,C}$ are 2-morphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_A \arrow[r, "X_A\times i"] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{id}"'] & X_A\times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,A) \arrow[d, "x_{A,A}"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\sigma_A\Nearrow"] \\
 & X_A
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_A\times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,B)\times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(B,C) \arrow[r, "X_A\times c"] \arrow[d, "x_{A,B}\times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(B,C)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\gamma_{A,B,C}\ \Uparrow"] & X_A\times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,C) \arrow[d, "x_{A,C}"] \\
X_B\times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(B,C) \arrow[r, "x_{B,C}"] & X_C
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $i\colon \mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)\to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,A)$ is the unit section and $c\colon \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,B)\times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(B,C)\to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,C)$ is the composition.

A morphism $f\colon X\to Y$ of Artin $\mathcal{D}$-stacks is a collection $((f_A)_{A\in\mathcal{D}},(\phi_{A,B})_{A,B\in\mathcal{D}})$, where $f_A\colon X_A\to Y_A$ is a morphism of Artin stacks and $\phi_{A,B}$ is a 2-morphism:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_A\times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,B) \arrow[r, "x_{A,B}"] \arrow[d, "f_A"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\Swarrow\phi_{A,B}"] & X_B \arrow[d, "f_B"] \\
Y_A\times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,B) \arrow[r, "y_{A,B}"] & Y_B
\end{tikzcd}$$

satisfying certain identities of 2-morphisms with respect to the unit section i and the composition c.

Definition 6.22. Let Λ be a commutative ring and let X be an Artin $\mathcal{D}$-stack. We define a category $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(X,\Lambda)$ as follows. An object of $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(X,\Lambda)$ is a collection $((K_A)_{A\in\mathcal{D}},(\alpha_{A,B})_{A,B\in\mathcal{D}})$, where $K_A\in D_{\mathrm{cart}}(X_A,\Lambda)$, $\alpha_{A,B}\colon x^*_{A,B}K_B\to p^*K_A$, $p\colon X_A\times\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,B)\to X_A$ is the projection, such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i^*x^*_{A,A}K_A \arrow[r, "i^*\alpha_{A,A}"] \arrow[dr, "\sigma^*_A"'] & i^*p^*K_A \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
 & K_A
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
x^*_{A,C}K_C \arrow[r, "\alpha_{A,C}"] \arrow[d, "\gamma^*_{A,B,C}"'] & p^*K_A \\
x^*_{A,B}x^*_{B,C}K_C \arrow[r, "\alpha_{B,C}"] & p^*x^*_{A,B}K_B \arrow[u, "\alpha_{A,B}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

A morphism $K\to L$ in $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(X,\Lambda)$ is a collection $(K_A\to L_A)_{A\in\mathcal{D}}$ of morphisms in $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(X_A,\Lambda)$ commuting with $\alpha_{A,B}$. If Λ is noetherian, we define $D^+_c(X,\Lambda)$ to be the full subcategory of $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(X,\Lambda)$ consisting collections (K,α) such that K_A is in $D^+_c(X_A,\Lambda)$ for every A in $\mathcal{D}$. If S is an Artin stack over κ, we denote by $S_{\mathcal{D}}$ the constant Artin $\mathcal{D}$-stack. If κ is separably closed, then $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)_{\mathcal{D}},\Lambda)$ is equivalent to the category of projective systems of Λ-modules indexed by $\mathcal{D}(\pi_0)$. Indeed, in this case, $\alpha_{A,B}\colon p^*K_B\to p^*K_A$ is a morphism between constant sheaves on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,B)$, and has to be constant on every connected component of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,B)$.

Remark 6.23. If $\mathcal{D}$ is discrete (i.e. induced from a usual category) and X is a $\mathcal{D}$-scheme, i.e. a functor from $\mathcal{D}$ to the category of κ-schemes, this category $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(X,\Lambda)$, which consists of families of objects $K_A\in D(X_A,\Lambda)$ and compatible transition maps $X^*_fK_B\to K_A$ for $f\colon A\to B$, should not be confused with the derived category of sheaves of Λ-modules on the total étale topos of X over $\mathcal{D}$.

Construction 6.24. Let $f=((f_A)_{A\in\mathcal{D}},(\varphi_{A,B})_{(A,B)\in\mathcal{D}})$ be a morphism of Artin $\mathcal{D}$-stacks. The functors f^*_A induce a functor $f^*\colon D_{\mathrm{cart}}(Y,\Lambda)\to D_{\mathrm{cart}}(X,\Lambda)$. On the other hand, for $K\in D_{\mathrm{cart}}(X,\Lambda)$ we have a diagram

(6.24.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
y^*_{A,B}Rf_{B*}K_B \arrow[d] & p^*Rf_{A*}K_A \arrow[d] \\
Rf_{A*}x^*_{A,B}K_B \arrow[r, "\alpha_{A,B}"] & Rf_{A*}p^*K_A
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are base change.

Assume that Λ is annihilated by an integer invertible in κ, and that the condition (a) (resp. (b)) below holds:

(a) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,B)$ is smooth over κ for all objects A, B in $\mathcal{D}$;

(b) X_A and Y_A are of finite type over κ and f_A is finitely-presented for every object A of $\mathcal{D}$, Λ is noetherian and $K \in D^+_c(X,\Lambda)$.

Then the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism by smooth base change (resp. generic base change (2.12)) from $\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A,B)$, and thus the diagram (6.24.1) defines a map $y^*_{A,B}Rf_{B*}K_B \to p^*Rf_{A*}K_A$. These maps endow $(Rf_{A*}K_A)$ with a structure of object of $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(Y,\Lambda)$. We thus get a functor

$$Rf_*\colon D_{\mathrm{cart}}(X,\Lambda) \to D_{\mathrm{cart}}(Y,\Lambda) \quad (\text{resp. } D^+_c(X,\Lambda) \to D^+_c(Y,\Lambda)).$$

The adjunctions $\mathrm{id}_{D_{\mathrm{cart}}(X_A,\Lambda)} \to Rf_{A*}f_A^*$ induce a natural transformation $\mathrm{id} \to Rf_*f^*$.

Remark 6.25. The construction of Rf_* above encodes the homotopy-invariance of étale cohomology [45, XV lemme 2.1.3]. More precisely, assume κ separably closed field. Let Y, Y' be two Artin stacks over κ, $L \in D_{\mathrm{cart}}(Y,\Lambda)$, $L' \in D_{\mathrm{cart}}(Y',\Lambda)$. A morphism $c\colon (Y,L) \to (Y',L')$ is a pair (g,ϕ), where $g\colon Y \to Y'$, $\phi\colon g^*L' \to L$. We say that two morphisms $c_0, c_1\colon (Y,L) \to (Y',L')$ are *homotopic* if there exists a connected scheme T of finite type over κ, two points $0, 1 \in T(\kappa)$, a morphism $(Y \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)} T, \mathrm{pr}_1^*L) \to (Y,L')$ inducing c_0 and c_1 by taking fibers at 0 and 1, respectively. This is equivalent to the existence of an Artin $\mathcal{D}_T$-stack X and an object $K \in D_{\mathrm{cart}}(X,\Lambda)$ such that $X_A = Y$, $X_{A'} = Y'$, $K_A = L$, $K_{A'} = L'$ and inducing c_0 and c_1 by taking fibers at 0 and 1. Here $\mathcal{D}_T$ is the $\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{ft}}_{/\kappa}$-enriched category with $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{D}_T) = \{A, A'\}$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(A,A) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(A',A') = \mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(A',A) = \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_T}(A,A') = T$. If c_0 and c_1 are homotopic, then $c_0^* = c_1^*\colon H^*(Y',L') \to H^*(Y,L)$. To prove this, we may assume that T is a smooth curve as in [45, XV lemme 2.1.3]. Let $a\colon X \to \mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)_{\mathcal{D}_T}$ be the projection. By (a) above, R^*a_*K is a projective system of graded Λ-modules indexed by $\mathcal{D}_T(\pi_0)$, and $c_0^* = c_1^*$ is the image of the nontrivial arrow of $\mathcal{D}_T(\pi_0)$.

Proof of 6.19. By construction, F is essentially surjective. Consider the morphism of schemes $\phi\colon \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_G}((A,A',g),(Z,Z',h)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_G}(Z',A') = \mathrm{Trans}_G(Z',A')$ given by $(a,b) \mapsto b$. It fits into the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_G}((A,A',g),(Z,Z',h)) \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Trans}_G(Z',A') \arrow[d] \\
\{t \in \mathrm{Hom}(Z',A') \mid t(c_h(Z)) \supset c_g(A)\} \arrow[r, hook] & \mathrm{Hom}(Z',A').
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, ϕ is an open and closed immersion and induces an injection on

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_G(\pi_0)}((A,A',g),(Z,Z',h)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_G(\pi_0)}(Z',A').$$

In other words, the composite functor $p_2 \circ F\colon \mathcal{E}_G(\pi_0) \to \mathcal{A}_G(\pi_0)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is faithful, where $p_2\colon \mathcal{A}_G(\pi_0)^\natural \to \mathcal{A}_G(\pi_0)^{\mathrm{op}}$. Therefore, F is faithful. To show that F is full, let $(\alpha,\beta)\colon F(A,A',g) \to F(Z,Z',h)$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{A}_G(\pi_0)^\natural$. Choose $b \in \beta(k) \subset G(k)$. Then we have a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Trans}_G(A,Z) \arrow[r, "\psi"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Trans}_G(A,A') \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Hom}(A,Z) \arrow[r, hook] & \mathrm{Hom}(A,A'),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\psi\colon a \mapsto ahb$. In particular, ψ is an open and closed immersion. The map $\pi_0(\mathrm{Trans}_G(A,Z)) \to \pi_0(\mathrm{Trans}_G(A,A'))$ induced by ψ carries α to $\gamma = \alpha\eta\beta$, where $\gamma \in \pi_0(\mathrm{Trans}_G(A,Z))$ and $\eta \in \pi_0(\mathrm{Trans}_G(Z',A'))$ are the connected components of h, respectively. Thus there exists $a \in \alpha(k) \subset G(k)$ such that $g = \psi(a) = ahb$. Then $(a,b)\colon (A,A',g) \to (Z,Z',h)$ is a morphism in

$\mathcal{E}(k) = \mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$, and induces a morphism τ in $\mathcal{E}_G(\pi_0)$ such that $F(\tau) = (\alpha, \beta)$. Therefore, F is an equivalence of categories. The second assertion of (a) follows from this and 6.5.

Let us prove (b). For (A, A', g) and (Z, Z', h) in $\mathcal{E}_G(k)$, consider the scheme

$$T = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_G}((A, A', g), (Z, Z', h))$$

and the tautological section $t = (\underline{a}, \underline{b}) \in T(T)$. Then, if $[X^{A'}/A]_T$ (resp. $[X^{Z'}/Z]_T$) is the pull-back of $[X^{A'}/A]$ (resp. $[X^{Z'}/Z]$) on T, t defines a morphism of stacks $[\underline{b}^{-1}/c_{\underline{a}}] \colon [X^{A'}/A]_T \to [X^{Z'}/Z]_T$ enriching the morphism occurring in (6.15.3). These morphisms are compatible with composition of morphisms up to 2-morphisms, and define a structure of $\mathcal{E}_G$-stack on the family of stacks $[X^{A'}/A]$ for $(A, A', g) \in \mathcal{E}_G(k)$. Moreover, the diagram (6.15.3) underlies an enriched diagram

(6.25.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & {[X^{A'}/A]_T} \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[dl, "{[1/c_g]}"'] \arrow[d, "{[\underline{b}^{-1}/c_{\underline{a}}]}"] & X_T^{A'} \arrow[d, "\underline{b}^{-1}"] \\
{[X/G]_T} & {[X^{Z'}/Z]_T} \arrow[l, "{[1/c_h]}"] \arrow[r, "\pi"] & X_T^{Z'},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the 2-morphism of the triangle is induced by $\underline{b}$. Therefore we get morphisms of Artin $\mathcal{E}_G$-stacks

$$[X/G]_{\mathcal{E}_G} \xleftarrow{[1/c_g]} ([X^{A'}/A])_{(A,A',g)} \xrightarrow{\pi} (X^{A'})_{(A,A',g)}.$$

The morphism $(a, b)^*$ (6.15.4) is the stalk at (a, b) of the corresponding morphism of constant sheaves on T

(6.25.2)
$$(\underline{a}, \underline{b})^* \colon H^0(X^{Z'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_h]^*K)_T \to H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K)_T,$$

defined by $(\underline{a}, \underline{b})$ via the square in (6.25.1). Therefore it depends only on the connected component of (a, b) in T. ☐

We need the following lemma for the proof of 6.16 (a).

Lemma 6.26. *Let Y be an algebraic space separated and of finite type over k, and let A be a finite discrete group. Let $L \in D_c^b([Y/A], \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, where A acts trivially on Y. Let $\pi \colon [Y/A] = BA \times Y \to Y$ be the second projection. Consider the structure of $H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-module on $R^*\pi_*L$ given by constructions 3.4 and 3.7, as $R^*\pi_*\mathbb{F}_\ell$ is a constant sheaf of value $H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Then $R^*\pi_*L$ is a sheaf of constructible $H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-modules.*

Proof. We may assume L concentrated in degree zero. Suppose first that L is locally constant. Then $R^*\pi_*L$ is a locally constant, constructible sheaf of $H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-modules. Indeed, by definition there is an étale covering (U_α) of Y such that $L \mid [U_\alpha/BA]$ (considered as a sheaf of $\mathbb{F}_\ell[A]$-modules on U_α) is a constant $\mathbb{F}_\ell[A]$-module of finite dimension over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ of value L_α. Then $R^*\pi_*L \mid U$ is a constant $H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-module of value $H^*(BA, L_\alpha)$. By 4.6, $H^*(BA, L_\alpha)$ is a finite $H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-module, so the lemma is proved in this case. In general, take a finite stratification $Y = \cup Y_\alpha$ into disjoint locally closed subsets such that $L \mid Y_\alpha$ is locally constant. Then, if $\pi_\alpha = \pi \mid [Y_\alpha/A] \to Y_\alpha$, $(R^*\pi_*L) \mid Y_\alpha \simeq R\pi_{\alpha*}(L \mid Y_\alpha)$ by the finiteness of A, and we conclude by the preceding case. ☐

Proof of 6.16 (a). By 6.19 (b) we can rewrite $R_G^q(X, K)$ in the form

$$R_G^q(X, K) := \varprojlim_{(A,A',g) \in \mathcal{E}_G(\pi_0)} H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K).$$

As $\mathcal{E}_G(\pi_0)$ is essentially finite (6.19 (a)) and $R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K$ is constructible, the first assertion follows. Let us now prove the second assertion. As $\mathcal{E}_G(\pi_0)$ is equivalent to a finite category, it is enough to show that, for all (A, A', g), $H^0(X^{A'}, R^*\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K)$ is a finite $H^*(BG, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-module. As A acts trivially on $X^{A'}$, $R^*\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K$ is a constructible sheaf of $H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-modules by Lemma 6.26. Therefore $H^0(X^{A'}, R^*\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K)$ is a finite $H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-module, thus, by 4.8, a finite $H^*(BG, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-module. ☐

7 Points of Artin stacks

In this section we discuss two kinds of points of Artin stacks which will be of use to us:

(a) *geometric points*, which generalize the usual geometric points of schemes,

(b) *ℓ-elementary points*, which depend on a prime number ℓ, and are adapted to the study of the maps $a(G,X)$ (6.8.2) and $a_G(X,K)$ (6.16).

The statement of the main structure theorem on Artin stacks (8.3) requires only the notion (b). The notion (a) is a technical tool used in the proof.

Definition 7.1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Deligne-Mumford stack. By a *geometric point* of $\mathcal{X}$ we mean a morphism $x \to \mathcal{X}$, where x is the spectrum of a separably closed field. The geometric points of $\mathcal{X}$ form a category

$$P_{\mathcal{X}},$$

where a morphism from $x \to \mathcal{X}$ to $y \to \mathcal{X}$ is defined as an $\mathcal{X}$-morphism $\mathcal{X}_{(x)} \to \mathcal{X}_{(y)}$ of the corresponding strict henselizations [26, 6.2.1]. The category $P_{\mathcal{X}}$ is essentially $\mathcal{U}$-small. One shows as in [43, VII 7.9] that functor $(x \to \mathcal{X}) \mapsto (\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_x)$ from $P_{\mathcal{X}}$ to the category of points of the étale topos $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{et}}$ is an equivalence of categories.

When $\mathcal{X}$ is a scheme, $P_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the usual category of geometric points of $\mathcal{X}$. If $\mathcal{X} = \operatorname{Spec} k$, k a field, $P_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a connected groupoid whose fundamental group is isomorphic to the Galois group of k.

As $P_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a $\mathcal{U}$-small category, we have a morphism of topoi

$$p\colon \widehat{P_{\mathcal{X}}} \to \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{et}}, \tag{7.1.1}$$

where, for a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, $p^*\mathcal{F}$ is the presheaf $(x \to \mathcal{X}) \mapsto \mathcal{F}_x$ on $P_{\mathcal{X}}$, and p_* applied to a presheaf $(K_x)_{x\in P_{\mathcal{X}}}$ is the sheaf whose set of sections on U is $\varprojlim_{x\in P_U} K_x$. In particular we have an adjunction map

$$b_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F}}\colon \mathcal{F} \to p_*p^*\mathcal{F}, \tag{7.1.2}$$

which is a monomorphism, as $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{et}}$ has enough points and $p^*b_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F}}$ is a split monomorphism (this fact is of course a particular case of the analogous property for topoi having enough points, cf. [43, IV 6.7]).

Proposition 7.2. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Deligne-Mumford stack, Λ a noetherian commutative ring, $\mathcal{F}$ a constructible sheaf of Λ-modules on $\mathcal{X}$. Then the adjunction map $b_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F}}\colon \mathcal{F} \to p_*p^*\mathcal{F}$ (7.1.2) is an isomorphism. In particular, the homomorphism*

$$\phi\colon \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}) \to \varprojlim_{x\in P_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{F}_x \tag{7.2.1}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof of 7.2. If $f\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a morphism of Deligne-Mumford stacks, the square of topoi

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{P_{\mathcal{Y}}} \arrow[r, "p_{\mathcal{Y}}"] \arrow[d, "P_f"'] & \mathcal{Y}_{\mathrm{et}} \arrow[d, "f_{\mathrm{et}}"] \\
\widehat{P_{\mathcal{X}}} \arrow[r, "p_{\mathcal{X}}"] & \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{et}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes and induces base change morphisms

$$p_{\mathcal{X}}^* f_* \to P_{f*} p_{\mathcal{Y}}^* \tag{7.2.2}$$

and

$$f^* p_{\mathcal{X}*} \to p_{\mathcal{Y}*} P_f^*. \tag{7.2.3}$$

If f is a closed immersion, (7.2.2) is an isomorphism. If f is étale, (7.2.3) is an isomorphism and the following diagram commutes:

(7.2.4)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
f^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r, "b_{\mathcal{Y},f^*\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[d, "f^*b_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F}}"'] & p_{\mathcal{Y}*}p_{\mathcal{Y}}^*f^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[d, equal] \\
f^*p_{\mathcal{X}*}p_{\mathcal{X}}^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r, "(7.2.3)", "\sim"'] & p_{\mathcal{Y}}^*P_f^*p_{\mathcal{X}}^*\mathcal{F}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $i\colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a closed immersion, $j\colon \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{X}$ be the complementary open immersion. Then the following diagram with exact rows commutes (where we write p for $p_{\mathcal{X}}$):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & j_!j^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "b_{\mathcal{X},j_!j^*\mathcal{F}}"'] & \mathcal{F} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "b_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F}}"] & i_*i^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "b_{\mathcal{X},i_*i^*\mathcal{F}}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & p_*p^*j_!j^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r] & p_*p^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r] & p_*p^*i_*i^*\mathcal{F} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus, to show that $b_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F}}$ is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that both $b_{\mathcal{X},i_*i^*\mathcal{F}}$ and $b_{\mathcal{X},j_!j^*\mathcal{F}}$ are isomorphisms. The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
i_*i^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r, "i_*b_{\mathcal{Z},i^*\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[d, "b_{\mathcal{X},i_*i^*\mathcal{F}}"'] & i_*p_{\mathcal{Z}*}p_{\mathcal{Z}}^*i^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[d, equal] \\
p_*p^*i_*i^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r, "(7.2.2)", "\sim"'] & p_*P_{i*}p_{\mathcal{Z}}^*i^*\mathcal{F}
\end{tikzcd}$$

being commutative, $b_{\mathcal{X},i_*i^*\mathcal{F}}$ is an isomorphism if $b_{\mathcal{Z},i^*\mathcal{F}}$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, the following diagram commutes:

(7.2.5)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
j^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "b_{\mathcal{U},j^*\mathcal{F}}"'] & j^*j_!j^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r, "j^*b_{\mathcal{X},j_!j^*\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[d] & j^*p_*p^*j_!j^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[d, "\simeq"', "(7.2.3)"] \\
p_{\mathcal{U}*}p_{\mathcal{U}}^*j^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r, equal] & p_{\mathcal{U}*}p_{\mathcal{U}}^*j^*j_!j^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r, equal] & p_{\mathcal{U}*}P_j^*p^*j_!j^*\mathcal{F}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We now prove that

$$i^*(p_*p^*j_!j^*\mathcal{F}) = 0. \tag{7.2.6}$$

By the commutativity of (7.2.5), this will imply that $b_{\mathcal{X},j_!j^*\mathcal{F}}$ is an isomorphism if $b_{\mathcal{U},j^*\mathcal{F}}$ is an isomorphism. For any geometric point $z \to \mathcal{Z}$,

$$(p_*p^*j_!j^*\mathcal{F})_z \simeq \varinjlim_{U\in N_{\mathcal{X}}(z)^{\mathrm{op}}} \varprojlim_{u\in P_U} (j_!j^*\mathcal{F})_u, \tag{7.2.7}$$

where $N_{\mathcal{X}}(z)$ is the category of étale neighborhoods of z in $\mathcal{X}$ that are quasi-compact and quasi-separated schemes. Let U be any such neighborhood. Take a finite stratification $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}$ of U by connected locally closed constructible subschemes such that the restrictions $\mathcal{F} \mid U_\alpha$ are locally constant. Let $P_{U,(U_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}}$ be the category obtained from P_U by inverting all arrows in the full subcategories P_{U_α}. Geometric points of the same stratum are isomorphic in $P_{U,(U_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}}$. Let $B \subset A$ be the subset of indices α such that there exists a morphism from a geometric point of U_α to z in $P_{U,(U_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A}}$. Let $V = \cup_{\alpha\in B}U_\alpha$. Since the geometric points of V are closed under generalization in U, V is an open subset of U. Since specialization maps on the same stratum are isomorphisms, the projective system $((j_!j^*\mathcal{F})_v)_{v\in P_V}$ factors uniquely through a projective system $((j_!j^*\mathcal{F})_x)_{x\in P_{V,(U_\alpha)_{\alpha\in B}}}$ (where on each stratum U_α, $\alpha \in B$ all specialization maps are isomorphisms) and

$$\varprojlim_{v\in P_V} (j_!j^*\mathcal{F})_v \simeq \varprojlim_{x\in P_{V,(U_\alpha)_{\alpha\in B}}} (j_!j^*\mathcal{F})_x$$

Note that P_V contains z and that for any object x of $P_{V,(U_\alpha)_{\alpha\in B}}$ there exists a morphism from x to z. Therefore, as $(j_!j^*\mathcal{F})_z = 0$, this limit is zero. This implies that the full subcategory of $N_{\mathcal{X}}(z)^{\mathrm{op}}$ consisting of the neighborhoods U such that $\varprojlim_{v\in P_U}(j_!j^*\mathcal{F})_v = 0$ is cofinal. It follows that the limit (7.2.7) is zero and hence (7.2.6) holds, as claimed. To sum up, we have shown that $b_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F}}$ is an isomorphism if both $b_{\mathcal{Z},i^*\mathcal{F}}$ and $b_{\mathcal{U},j^*\mathcal{F}}$ are isomorphisms.

By induction, we may therefore assume $\mathcal{F}$ locally constant. Using (7.2.4), we may assume $\mathcal{F}$ constant. In this case it suffices to show that (7.2.1) is an isomorphism. We may further assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is connected. Then $P_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a connected category and the assertion is trivial. ☐

Remark 7.3. If, in 7.2, the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is not assumed constructible, then the monomorphism ϕ is not an isomorphism in general, as shown by the following example. Let X be scheme of dimension ≥ 1 of finite type over a separably closed field k and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the skyscraper sheaf $\oplus_{x\in|X|}i_{x*}\Lambda$, where $|X|$ is the set of closed points of X and $i_x\colon \{x\}\to X$ the inclusion. Then $\Gamma(X,\mathcal{F}) = \Lambda^{(|X|)}$ (by commutation of $\Gamma(X,-)$ with filtering inductive limits). On the other hand, for $x\in P_X$, $\mathcal{F}_x = \Lambda$ if the image of x is a closed point, and $\mathcal{F}_x = 0$ otherwise, hence $\varprojlim_{x\in P_X}\Lambda = \Lambda^{|X|}$. The monomorphism φ in 7.2 is the inclusion $\Lambda^{(|X|)}\subset\Lambda^{|X|}$, which is not an isomorphism, as $|X|$ is infinite.

Remark 7.4. In the situation of 7.2, the morphism

$$R\Gamma(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{F}) \to R\varprojlim_{x\in P_{\mathcal{X}}}\mathcal{F}_x$$

is not an isomorphism in general. In fact, if $\mathcal{X} = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$, then the left hand side computes the continuous cohomology of the Galois group G of k while the right hand side computes the cohomology of G as a discrete group.

Definition 7.5. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack. By a *geometric point* of $\mathcal{X}$ we mean a morphism $a\colon S\to\mathcal{X}$, where S is a strictly local scheme. If $a\colon S\to\mathcal{X}$ and $b\colon S\to\mathcal{X}$ are geometric points of $\mathcal{X}$, a morphism $(a\colon S\to\mathcal{X})\to(b\colon T\to\mathcal{X})$ is a morphism $u\colon S\to T$ together with a 2-morphism

(7.5.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \arrow[r] \arrow[rd] \arrow[rd, phantom, shift left=2ex, "\Nearrow" description] & T \arrow[d] \\
& \mathcal{X}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We thus get a category $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$, full subcategory of $\mathrm{AlgSp}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ (2.1). We define the *category of geometric points* of $\mathcal{X}$ as the category

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}} = M_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}},$$

localization of $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ by the set $M_{\mathcal{X}}$ of morphisms $(a\to b)$ in $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ sending the closed point of S to the closed point of T.

This definition is consistent with that in 7.1:

Proposition 7.6. *For any Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathcal{X}$, the functor $P_{\mathcal{X}}\to\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ sending every geometric point $x\to\mathcal{X}$ to the strict henselization $\mathcal{X}_{(x)}\to\mathcal{X}$ induces an equivalence of categories $\iota\colon P_{\mathcal{X}}\to\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$.*

Proof. Consider the functor $F'\colon\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}\to P_{\mathcal{X}}$ sending $S\to\mathcal{X}$ to its closed point $s\to\mathcal{X}$. For any morphism in $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ as in (7.5.1), its image under F' is the induced morphism $\mathcal{X}_{(s)}\to\mathcal{X}_{(t)}$, where s and t are the closed points of S and T, respectively. The functor $F\colon\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}\to P_{\mathcal{X}}$ induced by F' gives a quasi-inverse to $\iota\colon P_{\mathcal{X}}\to\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$. In fact $F\iota = \mathrm{id}_{P_{\mathcal{X}}}$ and we have a natural equivalence $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}}\Rightarrow\iota F$ given by the morphism $S\to\mathcal{X}_{(s)}$ in $M_{\mathcal{X}}$ for $S\to\mathcal{X}$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$ of closed point s. ☐

Remark 7.7. The reason why we do not consider the category of points $\mathrm{Point}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}})$ of the smooth topos $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}}$ is that already in the case $\mathcal{X}$ is a non-empty Deligne-Mumford stack, the functor $\mathrm{Point}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}})\to\mathrm{Point}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{et}})$ induced by the morphism of topoi $\epsilon\colon\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}}\to\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{et}}$ is not an equivalence. For example, if $U\to\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth morphism and y is a geometric point of U lying above a geometric point x of $\mathcal{X}$ such that the image of y in the fiber $U\times_{\mathcal{X}}x$ is not a closed point, then the points $\tilde{x}\colon \mathcal{F}\mapsto(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{X}})_x$ and $\tilde{y}\colon \mathcal{F}\mapsto(\mathcal{F}_U)_y$ of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}}$ are not equivalent, but have equivalent images in $\mathrm{Point}(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{et}})$. Indeed, if we denote by $\epsilon^!\colon \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{et}}\to\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{sm}}$ the right adjoint of ϵ_*, then the fiber of $\epsilon^! e_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{et}}}$ is e at $\tilde{x}$, but is $\emptyset$ at $\tilde{y}$.

Proposition 7.8. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack, $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ consisting of morphisms $S\to\mathcal{X}$, such that $S\to\mathcal{X}$ is the strict henselization of some smooth presentation $X\to\mathcal{X}$ at some geometric point of $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{X}}=M_{\mathcal{X}}\cap\mathrm{Ar}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{\mathcal{X}})$. Then the inclusion $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{\mathcal{X}}\subset\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ induces an equivalence of categories $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{\mathcal{X}}\to\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$.*

Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ is essentially small. Thus 7.8 shows that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is essentially small, although $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a $\mathcal{U}$-category and not essentially small in general.

Proof. We write $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{X}}=\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{\mathcal{X}}$. For $x\colon S\to\mathcal{X}$ in $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$, let A_x be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{AlgSp}(\mathcal{X})_{x/}$ consisting of diagrams

(7.8.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \arrow[r] \arrow[dr, "x"'] & X \arrow[d, "p"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\Nearrow"] \\
 & \mathcal{X}
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that p is a smooth presentation. Then A_x is nonempty since every smooth surjection to S admits a section [17, 17.16.3 (ii)]. Moreover, A_x admits finite nonempty products. Consider the functor $F_x\colon A_x\to\tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ sending (7.8.1) to the strict localization $X_{(s)}\to\mathcal{X}$ at the closed point s of S. For any pair of morphisms $(f,g)\colon X\rightrightarrows Y$ with the same source and target in A_x, $F_xf=F_xg$. Indeed, $f|S=g|S$ implies $F_x(f)t=F_x(g)t$, where $t\in\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the inclusion of the closed point of $X_{(s)}$. Thus there exists a unique functor G_x making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_x \arrow[r, "F_x"] \arrow[d] & \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{\mathcal{X}} \arrow[d] \\
{|A_x|} \arrow[r, "G_x"] & \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{X}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $|A_x|$ is the simply connected groupoid having the same object as A_x. This construction is functorial in x, in the sense that for $x\to y$ in $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$, we have a natural transformation

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{|A_y|} \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, "G_y"] & \\
{|A_x|} \arrow[r, "G_x"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\Nearrow"] & \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{X}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Choosing an object X in A_x for every x, we obtain a functor $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}\to\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{X}}$ sending x to $X_{(s)}$. This functor factors through $\mathcal{P}\to\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and defines a quasi-inverse of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{X}}\to\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$. ☐

Remark 7.9. For any morphism $f\colon\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{Y}$ of Artin stacks, composition with f defines a functor $\mathcal{P}'_f\colon\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}\to\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{Y}}$, which induces $\mathcal{P}_f\colon\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}\to\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

(a) If f is a representable universal homeomorphism, then $\mathcal{P}_f$ is an equivalence of categories. In fact, base change by f induces a functor $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{Y}}\to\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$, sending $M_{\mathcal{Y}}$ to $M_{\mathcal{X}}$, which induces a quasi-inverse of $\mathcal{P}_f$.

(b) For morphisms $\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Z}\to\mathcal{Y}$ of Artin stacks, the functor $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}\times_{\mathcal{Y}}\mathcal{Z}}\to\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}\times_{\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{Y}}}\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is an equivalence of categories.

Example 7.10. Let k be a separably closed field, G be an algebraic group over k. Then $\mathcal{P}_{BG}$ is a connected groupoid whose fundamental group is isomorphic to $\pi_0(G)$.

To prove this, by 7.9 (a), we may assume k algebraically closed and G smooth. Then, for every object $S\to BG$ of $\mathcal{P}'_{BG}$, the corresponding G_S-torsor is trivial and we fix a trivialization. For any strictly local scheme S over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$, we denote by $p_S\colon S \to BG$ the object of $\mathcal{P}_{BG}$ corresponding to the trivial G_S-torsor and by $a_S\colon S \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ the projection. By the definition of BG (1.5.2), morphisms $p_S \to p_T$ in $\mathcal{P}'_{BG}$ correspond bijectively to pairs (f, r), where $f\colon S \to T$ is a morphism of schemes and $r \in G(S)$. We denote the morphism corresponding to (f, r) by $\theta(f, r)$. If s is the closed point of S, $r(s) \in G(s)$ belongs to the inverse image of a unique connected component of G, denoted $[r]$. Let Π be the groupoid with one object and fundamental group $\pi_0(G)$. The above construction defines a functor $\mathcal{P}'_{BG} \to \Pi$ sending $\theta(f, r)$ to $[r]$, which induces a functor still denoted by $F\colon \mathcal{P}_{BG} \to \Pi$. Since $\theta(a_S, r)\colon p_S \to p_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)}$ is in M_{BG} and $\theta(f, r)\theta(a_T, 1) = \theta(a_S, r)$, $\theta(f, r)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{P}_{BG}$. Thus $\mathcal{P}_{BG}$ is a connected groupoid. To show that F is an equivalence of categories, it suffices to check that for all $r \in G^0(S)$, $\theta(a_S, r) \equiv \theta(a_S, 1)$. Here $\equiv$ stands for equality in $\mathcal{P}_{BG}$. For this, we may assume that S is a point, say $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k')$. We regard $r\colon \mathrm{Spec}(k') \to G$ as a geometric point of G. Since G^0 is irreducible, $X = G_{(1)} \times_G G_{(r)}$ is nonempty. Let x be a geometric point of X, $t \in G(G)$ be the tautological section. Then

$$\theta(a_{G_{(1)}}, t)\theta(s_1, 1) = \theta(a_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)}, 1) = \theta(a_{G_{(1)}}, 1)\theta(s_1, 1),$$

where $s_1\colon \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to G_{(1)}$ is the closed point. It follows that $\theta(a_{G_{(1)}}, t) \equiv \theta(a_{G_{(1)}}, 1)$, $\theta(a_x, t) \equiv \theta(a_x, 1)$, and hence $\theta(a_{G_{(r)}}, t) \equiv \theta(a_{G_{(r)}}, 1)$. Therefore, if $s_r\colon \mathrm{Spec}(k') \to G_{(r)}$ denotes the closed point, we have

$$\theta(a_{\mathrm{Spec}(k')}, r) = \theta(a_{G_{(r)}}, t)\theta(s_r, 1) \equiv \theta(a_{G_{(r)}}, 1)\theta(s_r, 1) = \theta(a_{\mathrm{Spec}(k')}, 1).$$

Construction 7.11. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack. If K is a cartesian sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$, then

$$(a\colon S \to \mathcal{X}) \mapsto \Gamma(S, a^*K) = K_s$$

(where s is the closed point of S) defines a presheaf on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$, which will denote by $\mathfrak{p}K$. We thus get an exact functor

$$\mathfrak{p}\colon \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X}) \to \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{X}}. \tag{7.11.1}$$

If $\mathcal{X}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack, then $p^* \simeq \iota^*\mathfrak{p}$, where $p\colon \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ is the projection (7.1.1) and $\iota\colon P_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$ the equivalence 7.6.

The following result generalizes 7.2.

Proposition 7.12. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack, Λ be a noetherian commutative ring, $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible sheaf of Λ-modules on $\mathcal{X}$. Then the map*

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}) \to \varprojlim_{x \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{F}_x \tag{7.12.1}$$

defined by the restriction maps $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}) \to (\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{F})(x) = \mathcal{F}_x$ is an isomorphism.

The proof will be given after a few lemmas.

Lemma 7.13. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category and S be a set of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$. If we denote by $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to S^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ the localization functor, then F and F^{op} are cofinal (6.1).*

Proof. It suffices to show that F is cofinal. Let X be an object of $S^{-1}\mathcal{C}$, Y be an object of $\mathcal{C}$ and $f\colon X \to FY$ be a morphism in $S^{-1}\mathcal{C}$. Then $f = t_n s_n^{-1} \dots t_1 s_1^{-1}$ with t_i in $\mathcal{C}$ and $s_i \in S$. Using t_i and s_i, f can be connected to $1_X\colon X \to FX$. ☐

Lemma 7.14. *Let $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a functor between small categories. Assume that for any morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{D}$, there exists a morphism $a\colon A \to B$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and a commutative square in $\mathcal{D}$ of the following form:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & Y \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
F(A) \arrow[r, "F(a)"] & F(B)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then F is of descent for presheaves. More precisely, for any presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{D}$, with the notation of [43, IV 4.6], the sequence

$$\mathcal{F} \to F_*F^*\mathcal{F} \rightrightarrows F_{2*}F_2^*\mathcal{F}$$

is exact, where $\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}$ is the 2-fiber product, $F_2\colon \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is the projection, and the double arrow is induced by the two projections from $\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}$. In particular, the sequence

$$\Gamma(\hat{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{F}) \to \Gamma(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, F^*\mathcal{F}) \rightrightarrows \Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}}, F_2^*\mathcal{F}) \tag{7.14.1}$$

is exact.

Proof. For any X in $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{F}(X) \to (F_*F^*\mathcal{F})(X) \rightrightarrows (F_{2*}F_2^*\mathcal{F})(X)$ is (7.14.1) applied to the functor $F'\colon \mathcal{C}_{/X} \to \mathcal{D}_{/X}$ induced by F and $\mathcal{F} \mid (\mathcal{D}_{/X})$. Since F' also satisfies the assumption of the lemma, it suffices to prove that (7.14.1) is exact. By definition, $\Gamma(\hat{\mathcal{C}}, F^*\mathcal{F})$ consists of families $s = (s_X) \in \varprojlim_{X\in\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{F}(F(X))$. Similarly, $\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}}, F_2^*\mathcal{F}) = \varprojlim_{(Y,Z,\alpha)\in\mathcal{C}\times_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{F}(F_2(Y,Z,\alpha))$. Let E be the equalizer of the double arrow in (7.14.1). Define $\epsilon\colon E \to \Gamma(\hat{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{F})$ as follows. Let $s \in E$. For any object X of $\mathcal{D}$, put $\epsilon(s)_X = e^*(s_A)$, for a choice of $e\colon X \simeq F(A)$ (and $e^* = \mathcal{F}(e)\colon \mathcal{F}(F(A)) \simeq \mathcal{F}(X)$). This does not depend on the choice of e, because if $e'\colon X \xrightarrow{\sim} F(A')$, then $e'e^{-1}$ defines an object of $\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}$, and $s \in E$ implies $e^*(s_A) = e'^*(s_{A'})$. For any morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{D}$, the fact that $s \in E$ implies that $f^*(\epsilon(s)_Y) = \epsilon(s)_X$. It is straightforward to check that ϵ is an inverse of $\Gamma(\hat{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{F}) \to E$. ☐

Lemma 7.15. *Let $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ be a smooth surjective morphism of Artin stacks. If $\mathcal{U}$ is a universe containing $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{Y}}$, then the functor $\mathcal{P}'_f\colon \mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{Y}}$ satisfies the condition of 7.14 for $\mathcal{U}$.*

Proof. Let $(h, \alpha)\colon (S, u) \to (T, v)$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{Y}}$. Since $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} T$ is an Artin stack smooth over T, it admits a section, giving rise to the following 2-commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[drr, bend right, "u"'] & T \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[dr, "v"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\overset{\alpha}{\Nearrow}"] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[d, "f"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\overset{\beta}{\Nearrow}"] \\
& {} & \mathcal{Y}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(S, u) \arrow[r, "{(h,\alpha)}"] \arrow[d] & (T, v) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{P}'_f((S, gh)) \arrow[r, "{(h,\mathrm{id})}"] & \mathcal{P}'_f((T, g)),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the left (resp. right) vertical arrow is the isomorphism $(\mathrm{id}_S, \beta\alpha\colon u \Rightarrow fgh)$ (resp. $(\mathrm{id}_T, \beta\colon v \Rightarrow fg)$). ☐

Proof of 7.12. Note that $\varprojlim_{x\in\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{F}_x \to \varprojlim_{x\in\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{F}_x$ is an isomorphism by 7.13. Let $f\colon X \to \mathcal{X}$ be a smooth presentation. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Gamma(X, f^*\mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] \arrow[d] & \Gamma(X \times_{\mathcal{X}} X, g^*\mathcal{F}) \arrow[d] \\
\varprojlim_{x\in\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{F}_x \arrow[r] & \varprojlim_{x\in\mathcal{P}'_X} \mathcal{F}_x \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & \varprojlim_{x\in\mathcal{P}'_{X\times_{\mathcal{X}}X}} \mathcal{F}_x
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $g\colon X \times_{\mathcal{X}} X \to \mathcal{X}$ and the double arrows are induced by the two projections from $X \times_{\mathcal{X}} X$ to X. The top row is exact by the definition of a sheaf. The bottom row is exact by 7.14, 7.15 and 7.9 (b). The middle and right vertical arrows are isomorphisms by 7.2 and 7.6. It follows that the left vertical arrow is also an isomorphism. ☐

Example 7.16. For $\mathcal{X} = BG$ as in 7.10, $\mathcal{F}$ corresponds (by 2.6 and 2.7) to a Λ-module of finite type M equipped with an action of $\pi_0(G)$. Thus $\Gamma(BG, \mathcal{F})$ is the module of invariants $M^{\pi_0(G)}$. By 7.10, $\varprojlim_{x\in\mathcal{P}_{BG}} \mathcal{F}_x$ is the set of zero cycles $Z^0(\pi_0(G), M)$, and (7.12.1) is the tautological isomorphism.

If G is finite, the isomorphism (7.12.1) extends to an isomorphism

$$R\Gamma(BG, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} R \varprojlim_{x\in\mathcal{P}_{BG}} \mathcal{F}_x = R\Gamma(B\pi_0(G), M).$$

However, for G connected, this no longer holds in general, as the example of $G = \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \Lambda$ already shows (4.4).

In the rest of this section, we fix a prime number ℓ.

Definition 7.17. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack. By an *ℓ-elementary point* of $\mathcal{X}$ we mean a *representable* morphism $x\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{X}$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is isomorphic to a quotient stack $[S/A]$, where S is a strictly local scheme endowed with an action of an elementary abelian ℓ-group A acting trivially on the closed point of S. If $x\colon [S/A] \to \mathcal{X}$, $y\colon [T/B] \to \mathcal{X}$ are ℓ-elementary points of $\mathcal{X}$, a morphism from x to y is a morphism $\varphi\colon [S/A] \to [T/B]$ together with a 2-morphism $\alpha\colon x \Rightarrow y\varphi$. We thus get a category $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$, full subcategory of $\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}}$ (1.18).

Proposition 7.18. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack.*

(a) Let $x\colon \mathcal{S} = [S/A] \to \mathcal{X}$ be an ℓ-elementary point of $\mathcal{X}$, let s be the closed point of S, and let ε be the composition $s \to S \to \mathcal{S}$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{S}}(\varepsilon\colon s \to \mathcal{S}) = A$, and the morphism x induces an injection

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{S}}(\varepsilon\colon s \to \mathcal{S}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}}(x\varepsilon\colon s \to \mathcal{X}).$$

(b) Let $x\colon [S/A] \to \mathcal{X}$, $y\colon [T/B] \to \mathcal{X}$ be ℓ-elementary points of $\mathcal{X}$, and let $(\varphi, \alpha)\colon x \to y$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$. Then there exists a pair (f, u), where $f\colon S \to T$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{X}$-schemes and $u\colon A \to B$ is a group homomorphism, such that the morphism of $\mathcal{X}$-stacks (φ, α) is induced by the morphism of groupoids $(f, u)\colon (S, A)_\bullet \to (T, B)_\bullet$ over $\mathcal{X}$. If (f, u) is such a pair and $r \in B$, then (fr, u) is also such a pair. If (f_1, u_1) and (f_2, u_2) are two such pairs, then $u_1 = u_2$ and there exists a unique $r \in B$ such that $f_1 r = f_2$.

(c) Assume that $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ for an algebraic space X over a base algebraic space U, endowed with an action of a smooth group algebraic space G over U. Then every ℓ-elementary point $x\colon [S/A] \to [X/G]$ lifts to a morphism of U-groupoids $(x_0, i)\colon (S, A)_\bullet \to (T, B)_\bullet$, where $x_0\colon S \to X$ and $i\colon S \times A \to G$. Moreover, in the situation of (b), if (x_0, i), (y_0, j), (f, u) are liftings of x, y, φ to U-groupoids, respectively, then there exists a unique 2-morphism of U-groupoids (1.2) lifting α

(7.18.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
(S,A)_\bullet \arrow[r, "{(f,u)}"] \arrow[dr, "{(x_0,i)}"'] & (T,B)_\bullet \arrow[d, "{(y_0,j)}"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "\Nearrow"] \\
 & (X,G)_\bullet
\end{tikzcd}$$

given by $r\colon S \to G$ satisfying $x_0(z)r(z) = (y_0 f)(z)$ and $r(z)^{-1} i(z, a) r(z) = j(f(z), u(a))$.

Proof. (a) The first assertion follows from the definition of $[S/A]$ (1.5), and the second one from the assumption that x is representable, hence faithful (cf. 1.15).

(b) Applying 1.17 to the groupoids $(S, A)_\bullet$ and $(T, B)_\bullet$ over $\mathcal{X}$, we get a pair (f, u), with $u\colon S \times A \to B$ given by 1.2 (a), such that $[f/u] = (\varphi, \alpha)$. The morphism u is constant on S, hence induced by a homomorphism, still denoted u, from A to B. Such a pair (f, u) is unique up to a unique 2-isomorphism. If (f_1, u_1) and (f_2, u_2) are two choices, a 2-isomorphism from $(f_1, u_1)_\bullet$ to $(f_2, u_2)_\bullet$ is given by $r\colon S \to B$ (1.2 (b)), which is necessarily constant, of value denoted again $r \in B$. Then we have $f_1 r = f_2$ and $u_1 r = r u_2$, hence $u_1 = u_2$.

(c) The existence of the liftings follows from 1.17 applied to the three groupoids. The description of the morphisms and the 2-morphism of groupoids comes from 1.2 (b). ☐

Definition 7.19. For a stack of the form $\mathcal{S} = [S/A]$ as in 7.17, the group A is, in view of 7.18 (a), uniquely determined by $\mathcal{S}$ (up to an isomorphism). We define the *rank* of $\mathcal{S}$ to be the rank of A, and for an ℓ-elementary point $x\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{X}$, we define the *rank* of x to be the rank of $\mathcal{S}$. ℓ-elementary points of rank zero are just geometric points of $\mathcal{X}$ (7.5). The full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ (7.17) spanned by ℓ-elementary points of rank zero is the category $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ (7.5).

Construction 7.20. Let $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ be the following category. Objects of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ are pairs (x, A) such that $x\colon S \to \mathcal{X}$ is a geometric point of $\mathcal{X}$, A is an elementary abelian ℓ-group acting on x by $\mathcal{X}$-automorphisms with trivial action on the closed point of S, and the morphism $[S/A] \to \mathcal{X}$ is representable. Morphisms of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ are pairs $(f, u)\colon (x, A) \to (y, B)$, where $u\colon A \to B$ is a homomorphism and $f\colon x \to y$ is an equivariant morphism in $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$. Note that u is necessarily a monomorphism. By definition, $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathrm{Eq}(\mathrm{Stack}^{\mathrm{rep}}_{/\mathcal{X}})$. We have a natural functor $\rho'\colon \bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell} \to \mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ sending (x, A) to $[S/A] \to \mathcal{X}$. By 7.18 (a) and (b), the functor is full and essentially surjective, and in particular cofinal (6.2). If $\varpi'\colon \mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ is the inclusion functor, and $\bar{\varpi}'\colon \mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}} \to \bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ is the functor sending x to $(x, \{1\})$, which is also fully faithful, we have a 2-commutative diagram

(7.20.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell} \arrow[d, "\rho'"] \\
\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}} \arrow[ur, "\bar{\varpi}'"] \arrow[r, "\varpi'"] & \mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let

(7.20.2)
$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X},\ell} = \bar{N}^{-1}_{\mathcal{X},\ell}\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$$

be the category deduced from $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ by inverting the set $\bar{N}_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ of morphisms $(f, u)\colon (S, A) \to (T, B)$ such that f sends the closed point s of S to the closed point t of T and $u\colon A \to B$ is an isomorphism. Similarly, let

(7.20.3)
$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X},\ell} = N^{-1}_{\mathcal{X},\ell}\mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$$

be the category deduced from $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ by inverting the set of morphisms $N_{\mathcal{X}}$, image of $\bar{N}_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ under $\rho'\colon \bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell} \to \mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$. We call $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ the *category of ℓ-elementary points of $\mathcal{X}$*. When no ambiguity can arise, we will remove the subscript ℓ from the notation.

The diagram (7.20.1) induces a diagram

(7.20.4)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}} \arrow[d, "\rho"] \\
\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}} \arrow[ur, "\bar{\varpi}"] \arrow[r, "\varpi"] & \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The functor ρ is essentially surjective, and its effects on morphisms can be described as follows. Let (x, A) and (y, B) be objects of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}$. The action of B on (y, B) by automorphisms in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ induces an action of B on (y, B) by automorphisms in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}$, and, in turn, an action of B on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}}((x, A), (y, B))$. This action is compatible with composition in the sense that if $f\colon (x, A) \to (y, B)$, $g\colon (y, B) \to (z, C)$ are morphisms of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}$, and $b \in B$, then $g \circ (fb) = (g(\theta(g)(b))) \circ f$, where $\theta\colon \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{A}$ is the functor induced by the functor $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{A}$ carrying (x, A) to A. Here $\mathcal{A}$ denotes the category whose objects are elementary abelian ℓ-groups and whose morphisms are monomorphisms. Therefore we have a quotient category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}$ having the same objects as $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}$ with morphisms defined by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}}((x, A), (y, B)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}}((x, A), (y, B))/B,$$

and a quotient functor $\tilde{\rho}\colon \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}$. By the universal properties of ρ, $\tilde{\rho}$, and the localization functors $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X}} \to \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}$, $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$, we have a quasi-equivalence between $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$, compatible with ρ and $\tilde{\rho}$. In particular, ρ induces a bijection

(7.20.5)
$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}}((x, A), (y, B))/B \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\rho(x, A), \rho(y, B)).$$

Proposition 7.21. *The functors $\bar{\varpi}$ and ϖ in* (7.20.4) *are fully faithful.*

Proof. It follows from 7.20 that ρ induces an equivalence of categories from the full subcategory of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}$ spanned by the image of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$ to the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ spanned by the image of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Thus it suffices to show that $\bar{\varpi}$ is fully faithful. The functor $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ sending (x,A) to x is a quasi-retraction of $\bar{\varpi}'$, and induces a quasi-retraction of $\bar{\varpi}$. Thus $\bar{\varpi}$ is faithful. Let us show that $\bar{\varpi}$ is full. Let x, x' be geometric points of $\mathcal{X}$. By definition, any morphism $f\colon x \to x'$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is of the form $(t_n, v_n)(s_n, u_n)^{-1} \dots (t_1, v_1)(s_1, u_1)^{-1}$, where $(t_i, v_i)\colon (x_i, A_i) \to (y_{i+1}, B_{i+1})$ is in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $(s_i, u_i)\colon (x_i, A_i) \to (y_i, B_i)$ is in $N'_{\mathcal{X}}$ for $1 \le i \le n$, $x_1 = x$, $x_{n+1} = x'$, $A_1 = A_{n+1} = \{1\}$. Then $u_i\colon A_i \to B_i$ is an isomorphism and $v_i\colon A_i \to B_{i+1}$ is a monomorphism. Thus $A_i = B_i = \{1\}$. Moreover, t_i is in $\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ and s_i is in $M_{\mathcal{X}}$. It follows that $f = \bar{\varpi}(a)$, where $a = t_n s_n^{-1} \dots t_1 s_1^{-1}$ is in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$. ☐

Remark 7.22. As in 7.8, one shows that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ are equivalent to small categories, although $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{X}}$ are only $\mathcal{U}$-categories. For any representable morphism $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ of Artin stacks, composition with f induces a functor $\mathcal{C}_f\colon \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. As in 7.9 (b), $\mathcal{C}_f$ is an equivalence of categories if f is a representable universal homeomorphism.

Definition 7.23. Morphisms in the categories $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ are in general difficult to describe. As in 7.6, when $\mathcal{X}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack, the categories $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ admit a simpler description. Let us call a *DM ℓ-elementary point of $\mathcal{X}$* a pair (x, A), where x is a geometric point of $\mathcal{X}$ and A an ℓ-elementary abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_x(\mathcal{X})$. Define a morphism from (x, A) to (y, B) to be an $\mathcal{X}$-morphism $\mathcal{X}_{(x)} \to \mathcal{X}_{(y)}$ such that for every $a \in A$, the fiber $I_a \times_{\mathcal{X}_{(y)}} y$ of I_a at y consists of a single point $b \in B$. Here $I_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ is the inertia stack, and $I_a \subset I_{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}_{(y)}$ is the closure of the image of the point $x \to I_{\mathcal{X}} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}_{(y)}$ given by a. We thus get a category $\bar{C}_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$. We define the *category of DM ℓ-elementary points of $\mathcal{X}$* to be the category $C_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ having the same objects as $\bar{C}_{\mathcal{X},\ell}$ and such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{C_{\mathcal{X},\ell}}((x,A),(y,B)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\bar{C}_{\mathcal{X},\ell}}((x,A),(y,B))/B$. We omit the subscript ℓ from the notation when no ambiguity arises.

Proposition 7.24. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Deligne-Mumford stack. Then the functor $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_{\mathcal{X}} \to \bar{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ carrying (x, A), where $x\colon S \to \mathcal{X}$, to (s, A), where s is the closed point of S, induces an equivalence of categories $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \bar{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and, in turn, an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}} \to C_{\mathcal{X}}$.*

Proof. We define a quasi-inverse $\iota\colon \bar{C}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{X}}$ as follows. For an object (s, A) of $\bar{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$, we take $\iota(s, A) = (s, A)$. For a morphism $f\colon (s, A) \to (t, B)$, we take $\iota(f)$ to be the morphism induced by

$$(s, A) \to (T, A) \leftarrow (t, A) \to (t, B),$$

where T is the closure of the image of $s \to \mathcal{X}_{(t)}$. ☐

In the sequel, for $\mathcal{X}$ a Deligne-Mumford stack, we will often identify the categories $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $C_{\mathcal{X}}$ by the equivalence of 7.24 and call *DM* ℓ-elementary points just ℓ-elementary points.

Construction 7.25. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a cartesian sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$. If $x\colon [S/A] \to \mathcal{X}$ is an ℓ-elementary point of $\mathcal{X}$, let $\mathcal{F}_x := x^*\mathcal{F}$, and

$$\Gamma(x, \mathcal{F}_x) := \Gamma([S/A], \mathcal{F}_x) = \Gamma(BA, \mathcal{F}_s) = \mathcal{F}_s^A.$$

If $(\varphi, \alpha)\colon [S/A] \to [Y/B]$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{X}}$, we have a natural map $\Gamma(x, \mathcal{F}_x) \to \Gamma(y, \mathcal{F}_y)$ given by restriction, and in this way we get a presheaf $x \mapsto \Gamma(x, \mathcal{F}_x)$ on $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathcal{X}}$, which factors through a presheaf $\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$. The canonical restriction maps $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \to \Gamma(x, \mathcal{F}_x)$ yield a morphism

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \to \varprojlim_{x \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}} \Gamma(x, \mathcal{F}_x). \tag{7.25.1}$$

If $x\colon [S/A] \to \mathcal{X}$ is an elementary point of rank zero, i.e. a geometric point of X (7.17), $\Gamma(x, \mathcal{F}_x) = \mathcal{F}_x$, and by restriction via $\varpi\colon \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$, the presheaf $\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{F}$ induces the presheaf $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{F}$ (7.11). Therefore we have a commutative diagram

(7.25.2)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & \varprojlim_{x \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}} \Gamma(x, \mathcal{F}_x) \arrow[d] \\
& \varprojlim_{x \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{F}_x,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal (resp. oblique) map is (7.25.1) (resp. (7.12.1)), and the vertical one is restriction via ϖ.

Proposition 7.26. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack, Λ a noetherian commutative ring, and $\mathcal{F}$ a constructible sheaf of Λ-modules on $\mathcal{X}$. Then* (7.25.1) *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The oblique map of (7.25.2) is an isomorphism by 7.12. The vertical map is obtained by applying the functor $\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}}, -) = \varprojlim_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}}(-)$ to the adjunction map

$$\alpha\colon \mathfrak{q}\mathcal{F} \to \varpi_*\varpi^*\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{F} = \varpi_*\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{F}.$$

Thus it suffices to show that α is an isomorphism. Here

$$(\varpi_*, \varpi^*)\colon \widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}} \to \widehat{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}}$$

is the morphism of topoi defined by $(\varpi^*\mathcal{E})(z) = \mathcal{E}(\varpi(z))$ and $(\varpi_*\mathcal{G})(x) = \varprojlim_{(t,\phi)\in(\varpi\downarrow x)} \mathcal{G}_t$, where for an ℓ-elementary point $x\colon [S/A] \to \mathcal{X}$, $(\varpi \downarrow x)$ is the category of pairs (t, ϕ), where t is a geometric point of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\phi\colon t \to x$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the groupoid with one object $*$ and fundamental group A. Consider the functor $F\colon \mathcal{A} \to (\varpi \downarrow x)$ sending $*$ to $(x\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon\colon S \to [S/A]$, and $a \in A$ to the morphism $x\varepsilon \to x\varepsilon$ induced by the action of a. For any object (t, ϕ) of $(\varpi \downarrow x)$, the category $((t, \phi) \downarrow F)$ can be identified with the groupoid $(\rho^{-1}(\phi), A)_\bullet$, which is nonempty and connected by (7.20.5). Therefore, F is cofinal and

$$\alpha(x)\colon \Gamma(x, \mathcal{F}_x) \to \varprojlim_{(t,a)\in(\varpi\downarrow x)} \mathcal{F}_t \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}_{x\varepsilon} \simeq \mathcal{F}_s^A$$

is an isomorphism. Here s is the closed point of S. □

In the next section we study higher cohomological variants of (7.25.1).

8 A generalization of the structure theorems to Artin stacks

In this section we fix an algebraically closed field k and a prime number ℓ invertible in k.

Construction 8.1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack, and let $K \in D_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$. For $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider the presheaf of $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-vector spaces on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ (7.20.3)

$$(x\colon [S/A] \to \mathcal{X}) \mapsto H^q([S/A], K_x) = H^q(BA, K_s)$$

(where $K_x := x^*K$), and let

$$R^q(\mathcal{X}, K) := \varprojlim_{(x\colon \mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{X})\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}} H^q(\mathcal{S}, K_x). \tag{8.1.1}$$

The restriction maps $H^q(\mathcal{X}, K) \to H^q(\mathcal{S}, K_x)$ define a map

$$a^q_{\mathcal{X},K}\colon H^q(\mathcal{X}, K) \to R^q(\mathcal{X}, K). \tag{8.1.2}$$

We denote by $a_{\mathcal{X},K}$ the direct sum of these maps:

$$a_{\mathcal{X},K} = \oplus_q a^q_{\mathcal{X},K}\colon H^*(\mathcal{X}, K) \to R^*(\mathcal{X}, K). \tag{8.1.3}$$

If K has a (pseudo-)ring structure (3.8), then both sides of (8.1.3) are $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-(pseudo-)algebras, and $a_{\mathcal{X},K}$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-(pseudo-)algebras.

Definition 8.2. We say that an Artin stack $\mathcal{X}$ over k is a *global quotient stack* if $\mathcal{X}$ is equivalent to a stack of the form $[X/G]$ for X a *separated* algebraic space of finite type over k and G an algebraic group over k. We say that an Artin stack $\mathcal{X}$ of finite presentation over k *has a stratification by global quotients* if there exists a stratification of $\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{red}}$ by locally closed substacks such that each stratum is a global quotient stack.

Note that a non separated algebraic space of finite presentation over k is not a global quotient stack in the sense of 8.2 in general. Michel Raynaud gave the example of an affine plane with doubled origin Y. It follows easily from Weil's extension theorem (see [5, 4.4.1] for a generalization) that for every algebraic group G over k, every G-torsor over Y is non separated.

Recall [25, 3.5.9] that, if for any geometric point $\eta \to \mathcal{X}$, the inertia $\eta \times_{\mathcal{X}} I_{\mathcal{X}}$ is affine, then $\mathcal{X}$ has a stratification by global quotients. Note however that our notion of admitting a stratification by global quotients is not the same as [25, 3.5.3] because we also allow quotients by abelian varieties.

The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 8.3. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack of finite presentation over k admitting a stratification by global quotients, $K \in D_c^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$.*

(a) $R^q(\mathcal{X}, K)$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-vector space for all q. Moreover, $R^*(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-algebra and, for K in $D_c^b(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, $R^*(\mathcal{X}, K)$ is a finitely generated $R^*(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$-module.

(b) If K is a pseudo-ring in $D_c^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, then $\operatorname{Ker} a_{\mathcal{X},K}$ *(8.1.2) is a nilpotent ideal of $H^*(\mathcal{X}, K)$. If, moreover, K is commutative and $\mathcal{X}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack with finite inertia or a global quotient stack, then $a_{\mathcal{X},K}$ is a uniform F-isomorphism (6.9).*

For an Artin stack $\mathcal{X}$ of finite presentation over k admitting a stratification by global quotients and a commutative ring K in $D_c^b(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, we do not know whether $H^*(\mathcal{X}, K)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-algebra or whether $a_{\mathcal{X},K}$ is a uniform F-isomorphism in general.

The proof of 8.3 will be given in Section 10. In the rest of this section we show that 8.3 implies 6.16.

Construction 8.4. Let G be an algebraic group over k and X an algebraic space over k endowed with an action of G (here we don't assume X to be of finite type over k). To show that 8.3 implies 6.16, we will proceed in two steps.

(a) For $K \in D_c^+([X/G], \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ we will construct a homomorphism

$$\alpha\colon R^*([X/G], K) \to R_G^*(X, K), \tag{8.4.1}$$

which will be a homomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-(pseudo-)algebras if K has a (pseudo-)ring structure, and whose composition with $a_{[X/G],K}\colon H^*([X/G], K) \to R^*[X/G], K)$ will be $a_G(X, K)$ (6.15.7).

(b) We will show that α is an isomorphism if X is of finite type over k.

Let us construct α. Recall that

$$R^q([X/G], K) = \varprojlim_{(x\colon \mathcal{S} \to [X/G]) \in \mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}} H^q(\mathcal{S}, K_x),$$

and $R_G^q(X, K) = \varprojlim_{(A, A', g) \in \mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural} H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*[1/c_g]^*K)$ (6.15.8). We first compare the categories $\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$ and $\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}$ by means of a third category $\mathcal{C}_{X,G}$ mapping to them by functors E and Π:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathcal{C}_{X,G} \arrow[dl, "E"'] \arrow[dr, "\Pi"] & \\ \mathcal{C}_{[X/G]} & & \mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural. \end{tikzcd} \tag{8.4.2}$$

The category $\mathcal{C}_{X,G}$ is cofibered over $\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$ by Π. The fiber category of $\mathcal{C}_{X,G}$ at an object (A, A', g) of $\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$ is the category of points $P_{X^{A'}}$ of the fixed point space of A' in X. If $(a, b)\colon (A, A', g) \to (Z, Z', h)$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$ (cf. (6.15.2)), we define the pushout functor $P_{b^{-1}}\colon P_{X^{A'}} \to P_{X^{Z'}}$ as the functor induced by $b^{-1}\colon X^{A'} \to (X^{A'})b^{-1} = X^{bA'b^{-1}} \subset X^{Z'}$. If $x\colon s \to X^{A'}$ is a geometric point of $X^{A'}$, let $E_{(A,A',g)}(x)\colon [s/A] \to [X/G]$ be the ℓ-elementary point of $[X/G]$ defined by the composition

$$E_{(A,A',g)}(x)\colon [s/A] \xrightarrow{[x/A]} [X^{A'}/A] \xrightarrow{[1/c_g]} [X/G].$$

For $(x\colon s \to X^{A'}) \in P_{X^{A'}}$, $(y\colon t \to X^{Z'}) \in P_{X^{Z'}}$, let $u\colon x \to y$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}_{X,G}$ above $(a,b)\colon (A,A',g) \to (Z,Z',h)$. The morphism

$$E(u)\colon E_{(A,A',g)}(x) \to E_{(Z,Z',h)}(y)$$

is defined as follows. By definition, u is a commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X^{A'} \arrow[d, "b^{-1}"'] & (X^{A'})_{(x)} \arrow[l, "x"'] \arrow[d, "f"] \\
X^{Z'} & (X^{Z'})_{(y)}, \arrow[l, "y"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal arrows denote by abuse of notation the morphisms induced by strict localizations. It gives the (2-commutative) square on the right of the diagram

(8.4.3)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
& {[X^{A'}/A]} \arrow[dl, "{[1/c_g]}"'] \arrow[d, "{[b^{-1}/c_a]}"] \arrow[dl, phantom, shift right=3ex, "\Downarrow"] & {[(X^{A'})_{(x)}/A]} \arrow[l, "{[x/A]}"'] \arrow[d, "{[f/c_a]}"] \\
{[X/G]} & {[X^{Z'}/Z]} \arrow[l, "{[1/c_h]}"] & {[(X^{Z'})_{(y)}/Z],} \arrow[l, "{[y/Z]}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

whose composition with the 2-morphism (given by b^{-1}) in the left triangle of (appearing in (6.15.3)) is the morphism $E(u)$. This defines the functor E in (8.4.2).

Fix $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $H^q(K_\bullet)$ the projective system $(\xi\colon \mathcal{S} \to [X/G]) \mapsto H^q(\mathcal{S}, K_\xi))$ on $\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}$, whose projective limit is $R^q([X/G], K)$ (8.1.1). In other words, $R^q([X/G], K) = \Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}}, H^q(K_\bullet))$. We have an inverse image map

(8.4.4)
$$\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}}, H^q(K_\bullet)) \to \Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_{X,G}}, E^*H^q(K_\bullet)) \simeq \Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{A}_G(k)}^\natural, \Pi_*E^*H^q(K_\bullet)).$$

By the cofinality lemma 8.5 below,

$$(\Pi_*E^*H^q(K_\bullet))_{(A,A',g)} \simeq \varprojlim_{x \in P^{A'}} H^q(A, K_x).$$

By 7.2 (applied to the algebraic space $X^{A'}$), we have a natural isomorphism

$$\varprojlim_{x \in P^{A'}} H^q(A, K_x) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*([1/c_g]^*K))$$

where $\pi\colon [X^{A'}/A] = BA \times X^{A'} \to X^{A'}$ is the projection, and $[1/c_g]\colon [X^{A'}/A] \to [X/G]$ is the morphism in (6.15.3). Finally, we find a natural isomorphism

$$\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{A}_G(k)}^\natural, \Pi_*E^*H^q(K_\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{(A,A',g) \in \mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural} H^0(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*([1/c_g]^*K)),$$

which, by the definition of $R^q_G(X,K)$ (6.15.8), can be rewritten

(8.4.5)
$$\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{A}_G(k)}^\natural, \Pi_*E^*H^q(K_\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q_G(X,K).$$

The composition of (8.4.4) and (8.4.5) yields the desired map α (8.4.1).

Lemma 8.5. *Let* $\Pi\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E}$ *be a cofibered category,* e *an object of* $\mathcal{E}$, Π_e *be the fiber category of* Π *above* e. *Then the functor* $F\colon \Pi_e \to (\Pi \downarrow e)$ *is cofinal. In particular, for every presheaf* $\mathcal{F}$ *on* $\mathcal{C}$, $(\Pi_*\mathcal{F})(e) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{c \in \Pi_e} \mathcal{F}(c)$.

Proof. For every object $(c, f\colon \Pi c \to e)$ of $(\Pi \downarrow e)$, $(f_*c, c \to f_*c)$ is an initial object of $((c, f) \downarrow F)$. ☐

Proposition 8.6. *We keep the assumptions of 8.4. Assume moreover that X is locally of finite type over k. Then* (8.4.1) *is an isomorphism.*

Corollary 8.7. *Theorem 8.3 (b) implies Theorem 6.16 (b).*

Proof of 8.6. By 7.22, we may assume G smooth. The assertion would follow from the cofinality of E. Unfortunately, we do not know whether E is cofinal. To overcome this difficulty we will use a variant of (8.4.5) depending of extensions of the base field k. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the category of algebraically closed fields over k, and let $\mathcal{F}^\natural$ be the associated category (cf. 6.17), whose objects are morphisms $(k_1 \to k_2)$ in $\mathcal{F}$ and morphisms from $(k_1 \to k_2)$ to $(k_1' \to k_2')$ are given by commutative squares

$$\begin{tikzcd}
k_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & k_2 \\
k_1' \arrow[r] & k_2' . \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8.7.1}$$

We define a category $\mathcal{D}$ and functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathcal{D} \arrow[dl, "F"'] \arrow[dr, "\Phi"] & \\
\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]} & & \mathcal{F}^\natural
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8.7.2}$$

as follows. The category $\mathcal{D}$ is cofibered over $\mathcal{F}^\natural$ by Φ. The fiber category of Φ at $(k_1 \to k_2)$ is the category $\mathcal{C}_{X_{k_2}, G_{k_1}}$, where X_{k_2} is viewed as a k_1-scheme via $\operatorname{Spec} k_2 \to \operatorname{Spec} k_1$. The pushout functor $\mathcal{C}_{X_{k_2}, G_{k_1}} \to \mathcal{C}_{X_{k_2'}, G_{k_1'}}$ by a morphism $(k_1 \to k_2) \to (k_1' \to k_2')$ is induced by the inclusion $G(k_1) \subset G(k_1')$ and the projection $X_{k_2} \to X_{k_2'}$. In other words, a morphism u from an object x of $\mathcal{C}_{X_{k_2}, G_{k_1}}$ above an object (A, A', g) of $\mathcal{A}(k_1)^\natural$, i.e. a point $x\colon s \to X_{k_2}^{A'}$, to an object y of $\mathcal{C}_{X_{k_2'}, G_{k_1'}}$ above an object (Z, Z', h) of $\mathcal{A}(k_1')^\natural$, i.e. a point $y\colon t \to X_{k_2'}^{Z'}$, is the data of a diagram (8.5.1), a morphism $(a, b)\colon (A, A', g) \to (Z, Z', h)$ in $\mathcal{A}_G(k_1')$, of the form (6.15.2) with $a, b \in G(k_1')$, together with a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_{k_2}^{A'} \arrow[d, "b^{-1}"'] & (X_{k_2}^{A'})_{(x)} \arrow[l, "x"'] \arrow[d, "f"] \\
X_{k_2'}^{Z'} & (X_{k_2'}^{Z'})_{(y)} . \arrow[l, "y"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the composition

$$F_{(k_1 \to k_2)}\colon \mathcal{C}_{X_{k_2}, G_{k_1}} \to \mathcal{C}_{X_{k_2}, G_{k_2}} \xrightarrow{E_{X_{k_2}, G_{k_2}}} \mathcal{C}_{[X/G]_{k_2}} \to \mathcal{C}_{[X/G]},$$

where the last functor is induced by the projection $[X/G]_{k_2} \to [X/G]$. For a morphism $u\colon x \to y$ of $\mathcal{D}$ above $(a, b)\colon (A, A', g) \to (Z, Z', h)$ as before, with $(A, A', g) \in \mathcal{A}(k_1)^\natural$, $(Z, Z', h) \in \mathcal{A}(k_1')^\natural$, $x\colon s \to X_{k_2}^{A'}$, $y\colon t \to X_{k_2'}^{Z'}$, we define

$$F(u)\colon F_{(k_1 \to k_2)}(x) \to F_{(k_1' \to k_2')}(y)$$

in $\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}$ in a similar way to $E(u)$ above. We thus get the functor F of (8.7.2), and we have an inverse image map

$$\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}}, H^q(K_\bullet)) \to \Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}, F^* H^q(K_\bullet)) \simeq \Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{F}^\natural}, \Phi_* F^* H^q(K_\bullet)), \tag{8.7.3}$$

similar to (8.4.4). By the cofinality lemma 8.5 and (8.4.5), we have

$$(\Phi_* F^* H^q(K_\bullet))_{(k_1 \to k_2)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_{X_{k_2}, G_{k_1}}}, F_{(k_1 \to k_2)}^* H^q(K_\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q_{G_{k_1}}(X_{k_2}, K).$$

So we get a composed isomorphism

$$\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{F}^\natural}, \Phi_* F^* H^q(K_\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{(k_1 \to k_2) \in \mathcal{F}^\natural} R^q_{G_{k_1}}(X_{k_2}, K).$$

As $\mathcal{E}_{G_k}(\pi_0)$ is invariant up to equivalences under algebraically closed extensions of k (6.19), the projective system on the right hand side is constant of value $R^q_G(X, K)$. So finally, we get a canonical isomorphism

$$\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{F}^\natural}, \Phi_* F^* H^q(K_\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q_G(X, K), \tag{8.7.4}$$

whose composition with (8.7.3) is again α (8.4.1). Therefore it suffices to show that F is cofinal. Let N be the set of morphisms in $\mathcal{D}$ whose image under F is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}$. Then F factors through

$$\tilde{F} \colon \tilde{\mathcal{D}} := N^{-1}\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}.$$

By 7.13, it suffices to show that $\tilde{F}$ is cofinal. For this we have to show (cf. 6.1):

(a) For every ℓ-elementary point ξ of $[X/G]$, there exists an object μ of $\mathcal{D}$ and a morphism $\xi \to F(\mu)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}$,

(b) For any ℓ-elementary point ξ of $[X/G]$, and morphisms $\xi \to F(\mu)$, $\xi \to F(\nu)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}$ with μ and ν in $\mathcal{D}$, there exists a chain of morphisms in $(\xi \downarrow \tilde{F})$ connecting $\xi \to F(\mu)$ and $\xi \to F(\nu)$.

For the proof of (a) and (b) it is convenient to use the following notation. For an object $x \colon s \to X_{k_2}^{A'}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{X_{k_2}, G_{k_1}}$ above an object (A, A', g) of $\mathcal{A}_G(k_1)^\natural$ we will denote the resulting object of $\mathcal{D}$ by the notation

$$(x, (A, A', g), (k_1, k_2)).$$

(or $(x, (A, A', g), (s_1, s_2))$ if $s_1 = \operatorname{Spec} k_1$, $s_2 = \operatorname{Spec} k_2$). For every ℓ-elementary point $\xi \colon [S/A] \to [X/G]$, we choose an algebraic closure $\bar{s}$ of the closed point s of S. A lifting $(a \colon S \to X, \alpha \colon S \times A \to G)$ of ξ (7.18 (c)) induces a homomorphism $i \colon A \to G_{\bar{s}}$ and a rational point $\bar{x} \colon \bar{s} \to X_{\bar{s}}^A$, which define an object

$$\omega_{a,\alpha} = (\bar{x}, (A, A, 1), (\bar{s}, \bar{s}))$$

of $\mathcal{D}$. The morphism $[\bar{s}/A] \to [S/A]$ defines a morphism

$$\sigma_{a,\alpha} \colon F(\omega_{a,\alpha}) \to \xi \tag{8.7.5}$$

in $\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}$. Now (a) follows from the fact that $\sigma_{a,\alpha}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}$.

Let us prove (b). Let $\mu = (x, (A, A', g), (k_1, k_2))$ be an object of $\mathcal{D}$, where $x \colon s \to X_{k_2}^A$. Then $\bar{x} \colon \bar{s} \to X_{k_2}^A$ and $A \hookrightarrow G_{k_1}$ define a lifting (b, β) of $F(\mu) \colon [\bar{s}/A] \to [X/G]$. We first observe that we have a morphism

$$m_\mu \colon \mu \to \omega_{b,\beta}$$

in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ defined by the chain of morphisms in $\mathcal{D}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \leftarrow (\bar{x}, (A, A', g), (k_1, k_2)) &\xrightarrow{\phi} (\bar{x}, (A, A, 1), (k_1, k_2)) \\ &\leftarrow (\bar{x}, (A, A, 1), (k_1, k(\bar{s}))) \to (\bar{x}, (A, A, 1), (\bar{s}, \bar{s})) = \omega_{b,\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where the arrows pointing to the left are in N, and ϕ is given by $(1, g) \colon (A, A', g) \to (A, A, 1)$. Moreover, $\tilde{F}(m_\mu) \colon F(\mu) \to F(\omega_{b,\beta})$ is the morphism $\sigma_{b,\beta}^{-1}$ (8.7.5). Thus, to prove (b), it suffices to show that for every object ξ of $\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}$, for every lifting (a, α) of ξ, and for every morphism $f \colon \xi \to F(\mu)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}$, the composition $\xi \xrightarrow{f} F(\mu) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{b,\beta}^{-1}} F(\omega_{b,\beta})$ can be connected to $\sigma_{a,\alpha}^{-1} \colon \xi \to F(\omega_{a,\alpha})$ by a chain of morphisms in $(\xi \downarrow \tilde{F})$. This will result from the following more general lifting property. $\square$

Lemma 8.8. *Let* $\xi\colon [S/A] \to [X/G]$, $\eta\colon [T/B] \to [X/G]$ *be* ℓ*-elementary points of* $[X/G]$*. Let* $(a\colon S \to X, \alpha\colon S \times A \to G)$, $(b\colon T \to X, \beta\colon T \times B \to Y)$ *be liftings of* ξ *and* η*, respectively. Then, for every morphism* $f\colon \xi \to \eta$ *in* $\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}$*, there exists a morphism* $u\colon \omega_\xi \to \omega_\eta$ *in* $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ *making the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(\omega_{a,\alpha}) \arrow[r, "\tilde{F}(u)"] \arrow[d, "\sigma_{a,\alpha}"'] & F(\omega_{b,\beta}) \arrow[d, "\sigma_{b,\beta}"] \\
\xi \arrow[r, "f"] & \eta.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. When a morphism u as in the statement of the lemma exists, we say that f *can be lifted* to $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ with respect to (a,α) and (b,β). If $f\colon \xi \to \eta$ and $g\colon \eta \to \zeta$ can be lifted with respect to chosen liftings of ξ, η, and ζ, then so can gf. Therefore, we may assume that f is in $\mathcal{C}'_{[X/G]}$. Let $(a\colon S \to X, \alpha\colon S \times A \to G)$ (resp. $(b\colon T \to X, \beta\colon T \times B \to G)$) be the chosen liftings of ξ (resp. η). By 6.19 (c), f is given by a triple $((h,\gamma),r)$, where $(h\colon S \to T, \gamma\colon A \to B)$ is an equivariant morphism in the category of schemes and $r \in G(S)$ gives the 2-cell $(a,\alpha) \Rightarrow (b,\beta)(h,\gamma)$. Note that A acts on T via $\gamma\colon A \to B$ and the crossed homomorphism $\beta\gamma\colon T \times A \to G$ restricts to a homomorphism $T^A \times A \to G$, corresponding to a morphism, denoted by $\beta \mid T^A$, from the (strictly local) scheme T^A to the scheme $\mathrm{Hom}(A,G)$ of group homomorphisms from A to G (Section 5).

We will first show that, up to replacing T^A by a finite radicial extension, $\beta \mid T^A$ is conjugate by a section of G over T^A to a *constant* map. For this, recall (5.2) that the orbits of G acting by conjugation on $\mathrm{Hom}(A,G)$ form a finite cover by open and closed subschemes. Let $C \subset \mathrm{Hom}(A,G)$ be the orbit containing the image of $\beta \mid T^A$. Choose a k-rational point $\beta' \in \mathrm{Hom}(A,G)(k)$ of C. Then the homomorphism $g \mapsto c_g(\beta') = g^{-1}\beta' g$ from G onto C factors through an isomorphism

$$H\backslash G \xrightarrow{\sim} C,$$

for a subgroup H of G. Let T' be defined by the cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^{\mathrm{red}}\backslash G \arrow[d] \\
T^A \arrow[r, "\beta|T^A"] & C.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the projection $G \to H^{\mathrm{red}}\backslash G$ is smooth, the upper horizontal arrow can be lifted to a morphism $g\colon T' \to G$. We let π denote the morphism $T' \to T$. Then $c_{g^{-1}}(\beta(\pi \times \gamma))\colon T' \to \mathrm{Hom}(A,G)$ is the constant map of value β'.

Let $\bar{s}$ be an algebraic closure of the closed point s of S, $\epsilon\colon \bar{s} \to S$. The morphism

$$f = ([h/\gamma], r)\colon ([S/A],[a/\alpha]) \to ([T/B],[b/\beta])$$

in $\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}$ can be decomposed into the following chain of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}'_{[X/G]}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&([S/A],[a/\alpha]) \xleftarrow{f_1} ([\bar{s}/A],[a\epsilon/\alpha\epsilon]) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{f_2} ([T'/A],[b\pi/\beta']) \xrightarrow{f_3} ([T'/A],[b\pi/\beta(\pi\times\gamma)]) \xrightarrow{f_4} ([T/A],[b/\beta\gamma]) \xrightarrow{f_5} ([T/B],[b/\beta]),
\end{aligned}$$

where $f_1 = ([\epsilon/\mathrm{id}_A],1)$ belongs to $N_{[X/G]}$, $f_2 = ([\epsilon'/\mathrm{id}_A],r')$, $f_3 = (\mathrm{id},g)$, $f_4 = ([\pi/\mathrm{id}_A],1)$, $f_5 = ([\mathrm{id}_T/\gamma],1)$. Here $\epsilon'\colon \bar{s} \to T'$ is the lifting of $h\epsilon\colon \bar{s} \to T$, $r' = (r\epsilon)(g\epsilon')^{-1} \in G(\bar{s})$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $f_i, 1 \le i \le 5$ can be lifted to $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ with respect to the liftings (a,α), $(a\epsilon,\alpha\epsilon)$, $(b\pi,\beta')$, $(b\pi,\beta(\pi\times\gamma))$, $(b,\beta\gamma)$, and (b,β). This is trivial for f_1 and f_4. Let $\bar{t}$ be an algebraic closure of the closed point of T', $\delta\colon \bar{t} \to T'$. Then f_2 can be lifted to the morphism in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ given by the chain of morphisms in $\mathcal{D}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a\epsilon,(\alpha\epsilon,\alpha\epsilon,1),(\bar{s},\bar{s})) \xrightarrow{\phi} (a\epsilon r',(\beta',\beta',1),(\bar{s},\bar{s})) \\
&\qquad \leftarrow (a\epsilon r',(k,k(\bar{s}))) \to (a\epsilon r',(k,k)) \xrightarrow{\psi} ((b\pi g^{-1})\delta,(k,k)) \\
&\qquad\qquad \leftarrow ((b\pi g^{-1})\delta,(k,k(\bar{t}))) \to ((b\pi g^{-1})\delta,(\bar{t},\bar{t})),
\end{aligned}$$

where we omitted $(\beta', \beta', 1)$ on the second and the third lines, ϕ is given by the isomorphism

$$((\mathrm{id}_A, r'), (\mathrm{id}_A, (r')^{-1}))\colon ((A, \alpha\epsilon), (A, \alpha\epsilon), (\mathrm{id}_A, 1)) \to ((A, \beta'), (A, \beta'), (\mathrm{id}_A, 1))$$

in $\mathcal{A}_G(\bar{s})^\natural$, and ψ is given by the morphism of geometric points of $X^{\beta'(A)}$ induced by the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{s} \arrow[r, "\epsilon'"] \arrow[dr, "a\epsilon r'"'] & T' \arrow[d, "b\pi g^{-1}"] & \bar{t} \arrow[l, "\delta"'] \arrow[dl, "(b\pi g^{-1})\delta"] \\
& X^{\beta'(A)} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

in $\mathcal{P}'_{X^{\beta'(A)}}$. Moreover, f_3 can be lifted to the isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}$

$$((b\pi g^{-1})\delta, (\beta', \beta', 1), (\bar{t}, \bar{t})) \to (b\pi\delta, (\beta(\pi\delta \times \gamma), \beta(\pi\delta \times \gamma), 1), (\bar{t}, \bar{t}))$$

above the isomorphism

$$((\mathrm{id}_A, g\delta), (\mathrm{id}_A, (g\delta)^{-1}))\colon ((A, \beta'), (A, \beta'), (\mathrm{id}_A, 1)) \to ((A, \beta(\pi\delta \times \gamma)), (A, \beta(\pi\delta \times \gamma)), (\mathrm{id}_A, 1))$$

in $\mathcal{A}_G(\bar{t})^\natural$. Finally, f_5 can be lifted to the morphism in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ given by the chain of morphisms in $\mathcal{D}$

$$\begin{aligned}(b\pi\delta, (\beta(\pi\delta \times \gamma), \beta(\pi\delta \times \gamma), 1), (\bar{t}, \bar{t})) \leftarrow (b\pi\delta, (\beta(\pi\delta \times \gamma), \beta\pi\delta, 1), (\bar{t}, \bar{t}))& \\ \to (b\pi\delta, (\beta\pi\delta, \beta\pi\delta, 1), (\bar{t}, \bar{t}))&\end{aligned}$$

above the chain of morphisms

$$\begin{aligned}((A, \beta(\pi\delta \times \gamma)), (A, \beta(\pi\delta \times \gamma)), (\mathrm{id}_A, 1)) \xleftarrow{((\mathrm{id}_A,1),(\gamma,1))} ((A, \beta(\pi\delta \times \gamma)), (B, \beta\pi\delta), (\gamma, 1))& \\ \xrightarrow{((\gamma,1),(\mathrm{id}_B,1))} ((B, \beta\pi\delta), (B, \beta\pi\delta), (\mathrm{id}_B, 1))&\end{aligned}$$

in $\mathcal{A}_G(\bar{t})^\natural$. □

9 Künneth formulas

The main results of this section are the Künneth formulas of 9.5 and 9.6. We could hope for more general formulas involving derived categories of modules over derived rings. We will not tackle this question. Instead, we use an elementary approach, based on module structures on spectral sequences, described in 9.1 and 9.2.

Construction 9.1. Let $(\mathcal{C}, T)$ be an additive category with translation. For objects M and N in $\mathcal{C}$, the extended homomorphism group is the graded abelian group $\mathrm{Hom}^*(M, N)$ with $\mathrm{Hom}^n(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}(M, T^n N)$. The extended endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}^*(M) = \mathrm{Hom}^*(M, M)$ is a graded ring and $\mathrm{Hom}^*(M, N)$ is a $(\mathrm{End}^*(N), \mathrm{End}^*(M))$-bimodule. Let A^* be a graded ring. A left A^*-module structure on an object M of $\mathcal{C}$ is by definition a homomorphism $\lambda_M\colon A^* \to \mathrm{End}^*(M)$ of graded rings. More precisely, such a structure is given by morphisms $\lambda_a\colon M \to T^n M$, $a \in A^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\lambda_{a+b} = \lambda_a + \lambda_b$ for $a, b \in A^n$ and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, "\lambda_b"] \arrow[dr, "\lambda_{ab}"'] & T^n M \arrow[d, "T^n \lambda_a"] \\
& T^{m+n} M
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes for $a \in A^m$, $b \in A^n$. A morphism $M \to M'$ in $\mathcal{C}$, with M and M' endowed with A^*-module structures, is said to preserve the A^*-module structures if it commutes with all λ_a, $a \in A^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let B^* be a graded right A^*-module. A morphism $B^* \otimes_{A^*} M \to N$ is by definition a homomorphism $B^* \otimes_{A^*} \mathrm{End}^*(M) \to \mathrm{Hom}^*(M, N)$. More precisely, it is given by a family of morphisms $f_b\colon M \to T^n N$, $b \in B^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $f_{b+c} = f_b + f_c$ for $b, c \in B^n$ and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, "\lambda_a"] \arrow[dr, "f_{ba}"'] & T^m M \arrow[d, "T^m f_b"] \\
& T^{m+n} N
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes for $a \in A^m$, $b \in B^n$. We thus get a functor $B^* \otimes_{A^*} M\colon N \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}(B^* \otimes_{A^*} M, N)$ from $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of abelian groups, in a contravariant way on M. In the category of graded abelian groups with translation given by shifting, the notion of left A^*-module coincides with the usual notion of graded left A^*-module and $B^* \otimes_{A^*} M$ is represented by the usual tensor product. Let $F\colon (\mathcal{C}, T) \to (\mathcal{C}', T)$ be a functor of additive categories with translation [23, 10.1.1 (ii)]. A left A^*-module structure on M induces a left A^*-module structure on FM and a morphism $B^* \otimes_{A^*} M \to N$ induces a morphism $B^* \otimes_{A^*} FM \to FN$.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category, $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. We consider the additive categories of spectral objects $\operatorname{SpOb}(\mathcal{D})$, $\operatorname{SpOb}(\mathcal{A})$ of type $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ [39, II 4.1.2, 4.1.4, 4.1.6]. Here $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the category associated to the ordered set $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(X, \delta) \in \operatorname{SpOb}(\mathcal{D})$, $(H, \delta) \in \operatorname{SpOb}(\mathcal{A})$, we put

$$(X, \delta)[m] = (X[m], (-1)^m \delta[m]), \quad (H^n, \delta^n)_n[m] = (H^{n+m}, (-1)^m \delta^{n+m})_n.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$, let $\operatorname{SpSeq}_a(\mathcal{A})$ be the category of spectral sequences $E_a \Rightarrow H$ in $\mathcal{A}$. We define

$$(E_a^{pq} \Rightarrow H^n)[m] = (E_a^{p,q+m} \Rightarrow H^{n+m})$$

by multiplying all d_r by $(-1)^m$. We endow $\operatorname{SpOb}(\mathcal{D})$, $\operatorname{SpOb}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\operatorname{SpSeq}_a(\mathcal{A})$ with the translation functor [1]. The resulting categories with translation are covariant in $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ for exact functors. If $H\colon \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a cohomological functor, the induced functor $\operatorname{SpOb}(\mathcal{D}) \to \operatorname{SpOb}(\mathcal{A})$ commutes with translation. For $b \geq a$, the restriction functor $\operatorname{SpSeq}_a(\mathcal{A}) \to \operatorname{SpSeq}_b(\mathcal{A})$ commutes with translation. Using the notation of [39, II (4.3.3.2)], we obtain a functor $\operatorname{SpOb}(\mathcal{A}) \to \operatorname{SpSeq}_2(\mathcal{A})$, which also commutes with translation. A left A^*-module structure on an object of $\operatorname{SpSeq}_a(\mathcal{A})$ induces left A^*-module structures on H^* and E_r^{*q} for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in [a, \infty]$. If we put $G_q H^n = F^{n-q} H^n$, so that the abutment is of the form $E_\infty^{pq} \to \operatorname{gr}_q^G H^{p+q}$, then G_q preserves the A^*-module structure. The differentials $d_r^{*q}\colon E_r^{*q} \to E_r^{*+r, q-r+1}$ and the abutment $E_\infty^{*q} \to \operatorname{gr}_q^G H^*$ are A^*-linear. A morphism $B^* \otimes_{A^*} (E_a \Rightarrow H) \to (E'_a \Rightarrow H')$ induces morphisms on E_r^{*q}, H^*, $G_q H^*$, $\operatorname{gr}_q^G H^*$, compatible with d_r, abutment, the projection $G_q \to \operatorname{gr}_q^G$ and the inclusions $G_{q-1} H^* \to G_q H^* \to H^*$.

Lemma 9.2. *Let $a \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$, $(E_a \Rightarrow H)$ and $(E'_a \Rightarrow H')$ be biregular spectral sequences of abelian groups such that E_a is concentrated on a translate of the right half plane and $(E_a \Rightarrow H)$ is endowed with a left A^*-module structure. Let $B^* \otimes_{A^*} (E_a \Rightarrow H) \to (E'_a \Rightarrow H')$ be a morphism such that the homomorphism $B^* \otimes_{A^*} E_\infty^{*q} \to E'^{*q}_\infty$ is an isomorphism for all q. Then the homomorphism $B^* \otimes_{A^*} H^* \to H'^*$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since the abutments are isomorphisms by biregularity, the morphism

$$B^* \otimes_{A^*} \operatorname{gr}_q^G H^* \to \operatorname{gr}_q^G H'^*$$

is an isomorphism. Then, since $G_q H^* = G_q H'^* = 0$ for q small enough, one shows by induction that the morphism of exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& B^* \otimes_{A^*} G_{q-1} H^* \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & B^* \otimes_{A^*} G_q H^* \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & B^* \otimes_{A^*} \operatorname{gr}_q^G H^* \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & G_{q-1} H'^* \arrow[r] & G_q H'^* \arrow[r] & \operatorname{gr}_q^G H'^* \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

is an isomorphism. Then we apply the fact that $\varinjlim_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} G_q H^* = H^*$, $\varinjlim_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} G_q H'^* = H'^*$ and that tensor product commutes with direct limit. $\square$

Construction 9.3. Let

$$\begin{tikzcd} X' \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\ Y' \arrow[r, "g"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

be a 2-commutative square of commutatively ringed topoi, $K \in D(\mathcal{O}_{Y'})$, $L \in D(\mathcal{O}_X)$. An element $s \in H^m(Y', K)$ corresponds to a morphism $\mathcal{O}_{Y'} \to K[m]$ in $D(\mathcal{O}_{Y'})$, and an element $t \in H^n(X, L)$ corresponds to a morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \to L[n]$ in $D(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Then

$$Lf'^* s \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} Lh^* t \colon \mathcal{O}_{X'} \to Lf'^* K \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} Lh^* L$$

is a morphism in $D(\mathcal{O}_{X'})$. This defines a graded map

$$H^*(Y', K) \times H^*(X, L) \to H^*(X', Lf'^* K \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} Lh^* L)$$

which is $H^*(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$-bilinear, hence induces a homomorphism

$$H^*(Y', K) \otimes_{H^*(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)} H^*(X, L) \to H^*(X', Lf'^* K \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} Lh^* L), \tag{9.3.1}$$

which is a homomorphism of $(H^*(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'}), H^*(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$-bimodules.

Construction 9.4. Let $f \colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of commutatively ringed topoi, let $L \in D(\mathcal{O}_Y)$, $K \in D(\mathcal{O}_X)$. We consider the second spectral object (L, δ) associated to L [39, III 4.3.1, 4.3.4], with $L(p, q) = \tau^{[p, q-1]} L$. For $s \in H^n(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{O}_Y[n]$, $s \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_Y} -$ induces a morphism of spectral objects $(L, \delta) \to (L, \delta)[n]$. This endows (L, δ) with a structure of $H^*(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$-module (9.1). For $t \in H^n(X, K)$ corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_X \to K[n]$, $t \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_X} -$ induces a morphism of spectral objects $Lf^*(L, \delta) \to K \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_X} Lf^*(L, \delta)[n]$. This defines a morphism

$$H^*(X, K) \otimes_{H^*(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)} Lf^*(L, \delta) \to K \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_X} Lf^*(L, \delta).$$

Applying Rf_* and composing with the adjunction $\mathrm{id}_{D(\mathcal{O}_Y)} \to Rf_* Lf^*$, we get a morphism

$$H^*(X, K) \otimes_{H^*(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)} (L, \delta) \to Rf_*(K \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_X} Lf^*(L, \delta)).$$

Further applying the cohomological functor $H^0(Y, -)$, we obtain a morphism

$$H^*(X, K) \otimes_{H^*(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)} (E_2 \Rightarrow H) \to (E'_2 \Rightarrow H'),$$

where the two spectral sequences are

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(Y, \mathcal{H}^q L) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(Y, L), \tag{9.4.1}$$

$$E'^{pq}_2 = H^p(X, K \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_X} Lf^* \mathcal{H}^q L) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, K \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_X} Lf^* L). \tag{9.4.2}$$

By construction, the induced morphisms on E_2^{*q} and on H^* coincide with (9.3.1) for $h = \mathrm{id}_X$, $g = \mathrm{id}_Y$.

The results of 9.3 and 9.4 have obvious analogues for Artin stacks and complexes in $D_{\mathrm{cart}}(-, \Lambda)$, where Λ is a commutative ring.

Proposition 9.5. *Let ℓ be a prime number. Let*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{X}' \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[d, "f"] \\ \mathcal{Y}' \arrow[r, "g"] & \mathcal{Y} \end{tikzcd}$$

be a 2-commutative square of Artin stacks with $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y}'$ quasi-compact and quasi-separated, $K \in D_c^+(\mathcal{Y}', \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ with $\mathcal{H}^q K$ locally constant for all q, and $L \in D^+_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Suppose that *(i) the Leray spectral sequence for* (f, L)

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(\mathcal{Y}, R^q f_* L) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathcal{X}, L) \tag{9.5.1}$$

degenerates at E_2*,*

(ii) For every q*,* $R^q f_* L$ *is a constant constructible* $\mathbb{F}_\ell$*-module on* $\mathcal{Y}$*,*

(iii) The base change morphism $b\colon g^* Rf_* L \to Rf'_* h^* L$ *is an isomorphism.*

Then the spectral sequence (of type (9.4.2)*)*

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(\mathcal{Y}', K \otimes R^q f'_* h^* L) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathcal{Y}', K \otimes Rf'_* h^* L) \tag{9.5.2}$$

degenerates at E_2 *and the homomorphism* (9.3.1)

$$H^*(\mathcal{Y}', K) \otimes_{H^*(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)} H^*(\mathcal{X}, L) \to H^*(\mathcal{X}', f'^* K \otimes h^* L) \tag{9.5.3}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Take any geometric point $t \to \mathcal{Y}'$. Since $R^q f_* L$ is constant of value $(R^q f_* L)_t$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ is quasi-compact and quasi-separated, the E_2-term of (9.5.1) is

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(\mathcal{Y}, R^q f_* L) \simeq H^p(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{F}_\ell) \otimes (R^q f_* L)_t.$$

By base change, (9.5.2) is isomorphic to

$$E'^{pq}_2 = H^p(\mathcal{Y}', K \otimes g^* R^q f_* L) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathcal{Y}', K \otimes g^* Rf_* L). \tag{9.5.4}$$

As $\mathcal{Y}'$ is quasi-separated and quasi-compact, $E'^{pq}_2 = H^p(\mathcal{Y}', K) \otimes (R^q f_* L)_t$. Thus the morphism

$$H^*(\mathcal{Y}', K) \otimes_{H^*(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)} E_2^{*q} \to E'^{*q}_2$$

is an isomorphism. Il then follows from (i) and 9.2 that (9.5.4) degenerates at E_2 and the homomorphism

$$H^*(\mathcal{Y}', K) \otimes_{H^*(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)} H^*(\mathcal{X}, L) \to H^*(\mathcal{Y}', K \otimes g^* Rf_* L) \tag{9.5.5}$$

is an isomorphism. Thus (9.5.2) degenerates at E_2 and (9.5.3) is an isomorphism since it is the composition of (9.5.5) with the morphism induced by the composition

$$K \otimes g^* Rf_* L \xrightarrow[\sim]{K \otimes b} K \otimes Rf'_* h^* L \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf'_*(f'^* K \otimes h^* L),$$

where the second isomorphism is projection formula (2.10). ☐

In the rest of this section, let k be a separably closed field of characteristic $\neq \ell$.

Proposition 9.6. *Let* G *be a connected algebraic group over* k*,* X *be an algebraic space of finite presentation over* k *endowed with an action of* G*. Let*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{X}' \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & {[X/G]} \arrow[d, "f"] \\
\mathcal{Y}' \arrow[r, "g"] & BG
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a 2-cartesian square of Artin stacks of finite type over k*, where* f *is the canonical projection,* $K \in D_c^+(\mathcal{Y}', \mathbb{F}_\ell)$*. Suppose that the map* $e\colon H^*([X/G]) \to H^*(X)$ *induced by the projection* $X \to [X/G]$ *is surjective. Then* $H^*([X/G])$ *is a finitely generated free* $H^*(BG)$*-module, the spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(\mathcal{Y}', K \otimes R^q f'_* \mathbb{F}_\ell) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathcal{Y}', K \otimes Rf'_* \mathbb{F}_\ell)$$

degenerates at E_2*, and the map*

$$H^*(\mathcal{Y}', K) \otimes_{H^*(BG)} H^*([X/G]) \to H^*(\mathcal{X}', f'^* K)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By 2.7 and 2.12, conditions (ii) and (iii) of 9.5 are satisfied. The Leray spectral sequence for f is

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(BG, R^q f_* \mathbb{F}_\ell) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}([X/G]). \tag{9.6.1}$$

Since $R^q f_* \mathbb{F}_\ell$ is constant of value $H^q(X)$, we have $E_2^{pq} \simeq H^p(BG) \otimes H^q(X)$. As e is an edge homomorphism for (9.6.1), its surjectivity implies $d_r^{0q} = 0$ for all $r \geq 2$. It then follows from the $H^*(BG)$-module structure of (9.6.1) that it degenerates at E_2. Therefore, it suffices to apply 9.5 and the fact that $H^*(X)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space. ☐

Proposition 9.7. *Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_{n,k}$, T be a maximal torus of G, $A = \mathrm{Ker}(-^\ell \colon T \to T)$. Then the map $H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell) \to H^*(G/A, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ induced by the projection $G/A \to BA$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let us recall the proof on [31, p. 566]. Consider the following diagram with 2-cartesian squares (1.10):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G/A \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G/T \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Spec} k \arrow[d] \\
BA \arrow[r] & BT \arrow[r] & BG.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that the arrow $BA \to BT$ can be identified as the composition $BA \to [X/T] \to BT$, where $X = A \backslash T$, and the first morphism is an isomorphism by 1.14. The map $H^*(BA) \to H^*(X)$ induced by the projection $\pi \colon X = A \backslash T \to BA$ is surjective because $X \simeq T$ and using Künneth formula this reduces to the case where T has dimension 1, which follows from 9.8 below. Note that π can be identified with the composition $X \to [X/T] \simeq BA$. Thus, by 9.6 applied to $f \colon [X/T] \to BT$, the map

$$H^*(G/T) \otimes_{H^*(BT)} H^*(BA) \to H^*(G/A)$$

is an isomorphism. We conclude by applying the fact that $H^*(BT) \to H^*(G/T)$ is surjective (4.4 (a)). ☐

Lemma 9.8. *Let A be an elementary abelian ℓ-group, X be a connected algebraic space endowed with an A-action such that X is the maximal connected Galois étale cover of $[X/A]$ whose group is an elementary abelian ℓ-group. Then the homomorphism*

$$H^1(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell) \to H^1([X/A], \mathbb{F}_\ell) \tag{9.8.1}$$

induced by the projection $[X/A] \to BA$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. For any connected Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathcal{X}$, $H^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is canonically identified with $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, and (9.8.1) is induced by the morphism

$$\pi_1([X/A]) \to \pi_1(BA) \simeq A.$$

The assumption means that A is the maximal elementary abelian ℓ-quotient of $\pi_1([X/A])$. ☐

Proposition 9.9. *Let X be an abelian variety over k, $A = X[\ell] = \mathrm{Ker}(\ell \colon X \to X)$. Then the map $H^*(BA, \mathbb{F}_\ell) \to H^*(X/A, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ induced by the projection $X/A \to BA$ is surjective.*

Proof. We apply 9.8 to the morphism $\ell \colon X \to X$, which identifies the target with X/A. By Serre-Lang's theorem [41, XI 2.1], this morphism is the maximal étale Galois cover of X by an elementary abelian ℓ-group. Thus $H^1(BA) \to H^1(X/A)$ is an isomorphism. It then suffices to apply the fact that $H^*(X/A)$ is the exterior algebra of $H^1(X/A)$. ☐

10 Proof of the structure theorem

We proceed in several steps:

1. We first prove 8.3 (b) when $\mathcal{X}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack with finite inertia, and whose inertia groups are elementary abelian ℓ-groups.

(2) We prove 8.3 (b) for $\mathcal{X}$ a quotient stack $[X/G]$.

(3) For certain quotient stacks $[X/G]$ we establish estimates for the powers of F annihilating the kernel and the cokernel of $a_G(X,K)$ (6.15.9).

(4) Using (3), we prove 8.3 (b) for Deligne-Mumford stacks with finite inertia.

(5) We prove 8.3 (a) and the first assertion of (b) for Artin stacks admitting a stratification by global quotients.

Construction 10.1. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of commutatively ringed topoi such that $\ell\mathcal{O}_Y = 0$, $K \in D(\mathcal{O}_X)$. The Leray spectral sequence of f,

$$E_2^{ij} = H^i(Y, R^jf_*K) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X,K),$$

gives rise to an edge homomorphism

$$e_{f,K}\colon H^*(X,K) \to H^0(Y, R^*f_*K), \tag{10.1.1}$$

which is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-(pseudo-)algebras if $K \in D(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a (pseudo-)ring. The following crucial lemma is similar to Quillen's result [31, 3.2].

Lemma 10.2. *Let K be a pseudo-ring in $D(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Assume that $c = \operatorname{cd}(Y) \le \infty$. Then $(\operatorname{Ker} e_{f,K})^{c+1} = 0$. Moreover, if K is commutative, then $e_{f,K}$ is a uniform F-isomorphism; more precisely, for $b \in E_2^{0,*}$, we have $b^{\ell^n} \in \operatorname{Im} e_{f,K}$, where $n = \max\{c-1, 0\}$.*

Proof. We imitate the proof of [31, 3.2] (for the case of finite cohomological dimension). We have $E_2^{ij} = 0$ for $i > c$. Consider the multiplicative structure on the spectral sequence (Example 3.15). As $\operatorname{Ker} e_{f,K} = F^1H^*(X,K)$, where $F^\bullet$ denotes the filtration on the abutment, $(\operatorname{Ker} e_{f,K})^{c+1} \subset F^{c+1}H^*(X,K) = 0$. If K is commutative and $b \in E_r^{0,*}$, then the formula $d_r(b^\ell) = \ell b^{\ell-1} d_r(b) = 0$ implies that $b^\ell \in E_{r+1}^{0,*}$. Thus for $b \in E_2^{0,*}$, $b^{\ell^{c'}} \in E_{2+c'}^{0,*} = E_\infty^{0,*} = \operatorname{Im} e_{f,K}$. ☐

Construction 10.3. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Deligne-Mumford stack of finite presentation and finite inertia over k. By Keel-Mori's theorem [24] (see [35, 6.12] for a generalization), there exists a coarse moduli space morphism

$$f\colon \mathcal{X} \to Y,$$

which is proper and quasi-finite. Let $K \in D^+_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Then 10.1 and 10.2 apply to f and K with $\operatorname{cd}_\ell(Y) \le 2\dim(Y)$.

For any geometric point t of Y, consider the following diagram of Artin stacks with 2-cartesian squares:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{X}_t \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{X}_{(t)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[d, "f"] \\
t \arrow[r] & Y_{(t)} \arrow[r] & Y.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have canonical isomorphisms

$$(R^qf_*K)_t \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(\mathcal{X}_{(t)}, K) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(\mathcal{X}_t, K), \tag{10.3.1}$$

the second one by the proper base change theorem [29, 9.14]. Therefore, if we let P_Y denote the category of geometric points of Y (7.1), the second map in the sequence

$$H^0(Y, R^qf_*K) \to \varprojlim_{t\in P_Y} H^q(\mathcal{X}_{(t)}, K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{t \in P_Y} H^q(\mathcal{X}_t, K), \tag{10.3.2}$$

is an isomorphism, and the first one is an isomorphism if $K \in D_c^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, by (7.2.1). On the other hand, recall (8.1.1) that

$$R^q(\mathcal{X}, K) = \varprojlim_{(x\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{X}} H^q(\mathcal{S}, K_x) = \Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{X}}, H^q(K_\bullet)),$$

where $H^q(K_\bullet)$ denotes the presheaf on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ whose value at x is $H^q(\mathcal{S}, K_x) = K_s^A$ (s being the closed point of S). We define a category $\mathcal{C}_f$ and functors

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathcal{C}_f \arrow[dl, "\varphi"'] \arrow[dr, "\psi"] & \\ \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}} & & P_Y \end{tikzcd}$$

as follows. The category $\mathcal{C}_f$ is cofibered over P_Y by ψ. The fiber category of ψ at a geometric point $t \to Y$ is $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_{(t)}}$. The pushout functor $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_{(t)}} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_{(z)}}$ for a morphism of geometric points $t \to z$ is induced by the morphism $\mathcal{X}_{(t)} \to \mathcal{X}_{(z)}$ (7.22). The functors $\varphi_t \colon \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_{(t)}} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ induced by the morphisms $\mathcal{X}_{(t)} \to \mathcal{X}$ define φ. Thus we have an inverse image map

$$\varphi^* \colon R^q(\mathcal{X}, K) \to \Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_f}, \varphi^* H^q(K_\bullet)). \tag{10.3.3}$$

By 8.5 we have

$$\psi_* \varphi^* H^q(K_\bullet) \simeq \Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_{(t)}}}, \varphi_t^* H^q(K_\bullet)).$$

Thus we have

$$\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_f}, \varphi^* H^q(K_\bullet)) \simeq \Gamma(\widehat{P_Y}, \psi_*\varphi^* H^q(K_\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{t\in P_Y} \varprojlim_{(x\colon \mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{X}_{(t)})\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_{(t)}}} H^q(\mathcal{S}, K_x) \tag{10.3.4}$$

Proposition 10.4.

(a) *The diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^q(\mathcal{X}, K) \arrow[r, "e^q_{f,K}"] \arrow[d, "a^q_{\mathcal{X},K}"'] & H^0(Y, R^q f_* K) \arrow[r, "(10.3.2)", "\sim"'] & \varprojlim_{t\in P_Y} H^q(\mathcal{X}_{(t)}, K) \arrow[d, "\varprojlim_{t\in P_Y} a^q_{\mathcal{X}_{(t)},K}"] \\ R^q(\mathcal{X}, K) \arrow[r, "\varphi^*"] & \Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}_f}, \varphi^* H^q(K_\bullet)) \arrow[r, "(10.3.4)", "\sim"'] & \varprojlim_{t\in P_Y} \varprojlim_{(x\colon \mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{X}_{(t)})\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_{(t)}}} H^q(\mathcal{S}, K_x) \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

(b) *φ^* is an isomorphism.*

(c) *Consider the commutative square*

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^q(\mathcal{X}_{(t)}, K) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "a^q_{\mathcal{X}_{(t)},K}"'] & H^q(\mathcal{X}_t, K) \arrow[d, "a^q_{\mathcal{X}_t,K}"] \\ \varprojlim_{\mathcal{S}\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_{(t)}}} H^q(\mathcal{S}, K) \arrow[r, "\iota^*"] & \varprojlim_{\mathcal{S}\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_t}} H^q(\mathcal{S}, K) \end{tikzcd}$$

defined by the functor $\iota\colon \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_t} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_{(t)}}$ induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{X}_t \to \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{T}}$, in which the upper horizontal map is the second isomorphism of (10.3.1). *The map ι^* is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Assertion (a) follows from the definitions. For (b) it suffices to show that φ is cofinal. Let $\tau\colon \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{C}_f$ be the functor carrying an ℓ-elementary point $x\colon [S/A] \to \mathcal{X}$, with s the closed point of S, to the induced ℓ-elementary point $\tau(x)\colon [S/A] \to \mathcal{X}_{(f(s))}$. Then we have $\varphi\tau \simeq \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}}$, and a canonical natural transformation $\tau\varphi \Rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}_f}$, carrying an object $\xi\colon [S/A] \to \mathcal{X}_{(t)}$ of $\mathcal{C}_f$ to the cocartesian morphism $\tau\phi(\xi) \to \xi$ in $\mathcal{C}_f$ above the morphism $f(s) \to t$ in P_Y. These exhibit τ as a left adjoint to φ. Therefore, by Lemma 10.5 below, φ is cofinal. For (c), it suffices again to show that ι is cofinal. Let $X \to \mathcal{X}_{(t)}$ be an étale presentation. As f is quasi-finite, up to replacing X by a connected component, we may assume that X is a strictly local scheme, finite over $Y_{(t)}$. Then $\mathcal{X}_{(t)} \simeq [X/G]$, where $G = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}_{(t)}}(x)$, x is the closed point of X. Let $\xi\colon [S/A] \to [X/G]$ be an ℓ-elementary point of $[X/G]$. The ℓ-elementary point $[x/A] \to \mathcal{X}_t$, endowed with the morphism in $\mathcal{C}_{[X/G]}$ given by the diagram

$$[S/A] \to [X/A] \leftarrow [x/A]$$

in $\mathcal{C}'_{[X/G]}$, defines an initial object of $(\xi \downarrow \iota)$. Therefore, ι is cofinal. □

Lemma 10.5. *Let $G\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a functor. If G has a left adjoint, then G is cofinal.*

Proof. Let $F\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a left adjoint to G. Then, for every object b of $\mathcal{B}$, $(Fb, b \to GFb)$ is a final object of $(b \downarrow G)$. ☐

Corollary 10.6. *Assertion 8.3 (b) holds if $\mathcal{X}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack with finite inertia, whose inertia groups are elementary abelian ℓ-groups. More precisely, if $c = \mathrm{cd}_\ell(Y)$, where Y is the coarse moduli space of $\mathcal{X}$, then $(\mathrm{Ker}\, a_{\mathcal{X},K})^{c+1} = 0$ and for K commutative and $b \in E_2^{0,*}$, we have $b^{\ell^n} \in \mathrm{Im}\, a_{\mathcal{X},K}$, where $n = \max\{c-1, 0\}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that, for all $t \in P_Y$,

$$a^q_{\mathcal{X}_t,K}\colon H^q(\mathcal{X}_t, K) \to \varprojlim_{(x\colon \mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{X}_t)\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_t}} H^q(\mathcal{S}, K_x)$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed, by 10.4 (c) this will imply that the right vertical arrow in the diagram of 10.4 (a) is an isomorphism. As (10.3.2) is an isomorphism, φ^* is an isomorphism (10.4 (b)), and $e_{f,K} = \oplus e^q_{f,K}$ has nilpotent kernel and, if K is commutative, is an F-isomorphism (10.2), it will follow that $a_{\mathcal{X},K} = \oplus a^q_{\mathcal{X},K}$ has the same properties with the same bounds for the exponents. As $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to Y$ is a coarse moduli space morphism, there exists a finite radicial extension $t' \to t$ and a geometric point y' of $\mathcal{X}$ above t' such that $\mathcal{X}_{t',\mathrm{red}} \xrightarrow{\sim} B\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}}(y')$. Therefore we are reduced to showing that $a_{\mathcal{X},K}$ is an isomorphism for $\mathcal{X} = BA_k$, where A is an elementary abelian ℓ-group. In this case, $\mathrm{id}_{BA_k}\colon BA_k \to BA_k$ is a final object of $\mathcal{C}_{BA_k}$, so we can identify $R^q(BA_k, K)$ with $H^q(BA_k, K)$, and $a^q_{BA_k,K}$ is the identity. ☐

Corollary 10.7. *Suppose $\mathcal{X}$ is a global quotient stack $[X/G]$ (8.2), where the action of G on X satisfies the following two properties:*

(a) The morphism $\gamma\colon G \times X \to X \times X$, $(g, x) \mapsto (x, xg)$ is finite and unramified.

(b) All the inertia groups of G are elementary abelian ℓ-groups.

Then the assertions of 10.6 hold.

Proof. As γ in (a) can be identified with the morphism $X \times_{[X/G]} X \to X \times X$, which is the pull-back of the diagonal morphism $\Delta_{[X/G]}\colon [X/G] \to [X/G] \times [X/G]$ by $X \times X \to [X/G] \times [X/G]$, (a) implies that Δ is finite and unramified. In particular, $[X/G]$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack. Moreover, as the pull-back $I(G, X) \to X$ of the inertia stack to X is the pull-back of γ by the diagonal $\Delta_X\colon X \to X \times X$, $[X/G]$ has finite inertia. Taking (b) into account, we see that $[X/G]$ satisfies the assumptions of 10.6, and therefore 8.3 (b) holds for $[X/G]$. ☐

Proposition 10.8. *Assertion 8.3 (b) for global quotient stacks $[X/G]$ (8.2) follows from 8.3 (b) for G linear.*

Proof. Consider the system of subgroups $G_i = L \cdot A[m\ell^i] \cdot F$ of $G = L \cdot A \cdot F$ as in the proof of 4.6 (with $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_\ell$ and $n = \ell$), where m is the order of G. Note that every elementary abelian ℓ-subgroup of $A \cdot F$ is contained in $A[m\ell] \cdot F$. As a consequence, every elementary abelian ℓ-subgroup of G is contained in G_1, so that the restriction map $R^*_G(X, K) \to R^*_{G_i}(X, K)$ is an isomorphism for $i \geq 1$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^*([X/G], K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "a_G(X,K)"'] & H^*([X/G_{4d}], K) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "a_{G_{4d}}(X,K)"] & H^*([X/G_{2d}], K) \arrow[d, "a_{G_{2d}}(X,K)"] \\
R^*_G(X, K) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & R^*_{G_{4d}}(X, K) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & R^*_{G_{2d}}(X, K),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $d = \dim A$. By 4.9, $H^*([X/G], K)$ is the image of α. Thus it suffices to show that $a_{G_{2d}}(X, K)$ has nilpotent kernel and $a_{G_{4d}}(X, K)$ is a uniform F-surjection. ☐

Proposition 10.9. *Assertion 8.3 (b) holds for global quotient stacks of the form $[X/G]$, where G is either a linear algebraic group, or an abelian variety.*

Proof. Though by 10.8 it would suffice to treat the case where G is linear, we prefer to treat both cases simultaneously, in order to later get better bounds for the power of F annihilating the kernel and the cokernel of the map $a_{\mathcal{X},K}$ (10.10). We follow closely the arguments of Quillen for the proof of [31, 6.2]. If G is linear, choose an embedding of G into a linear group $L = \mathrm{GL}_n$ over k [11, II 2.3.4], a maximal torus T of L. If G is an abelian variety, let $L = T = G$. In both cases, denote by S the kernel of $\ell\colon T \to T$, which is an elementary abelian ℓ-group of order n. We let L act on $F = S\backslash L$ by right multiplication. If $g \in L(k)$, and if $\{S\}$ denotes the rational point of F defined by the coset S, the inertia group of L at $\{S\}g$ is $g^{-1}Sg$. Let us show that the diagonal action of G on $X \times F$ (resp. $X \times F \times F$) satisfies assumptions (a) and (b) of 10.7. It suffices to show this for $X \times F$. Consider the commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L \times L \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d] & L \times L \arrow[d] \\
F \times L \arrow[r] & F \times F
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal morphisms are the morphisms $\gamma\colon (x, g) \mapsto (x, xg)$. As the vertical morphisms are finite and surjective, so is the lower horizontal morphism. Moreover, the latter is unramified. Hence the morphism $\gamma\colon F \times G \to F \times F$ is finite and unramified. The same holds for the morphism $\gamma\colon (X \times F) \times G \to (X \times F) \times (X \times F)$, $(x, y, g) \mapsto (x, y, xg, yg)$, because it is the composite $X \times F \times G \to X \times X \times F \times G \to X \times F \times X \times F$, where the first morphism $(x, y, g) \mapsto (x, xg, y, g)$ is a closed immersion and the second morphism $(x, x', y, g) \mapsto (x, y, x', yg)$ is a base change of $F \times G \to F \times F$. So (a) is satisfied for $X \times F$. Moreover, the inertia groups of G on $X \times F$ are conjugate in L to subgroups of S, so (b) is satisfied for $X \times F$.

As in [31, 6.2], consider the following commutative diagram
(10.9.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^*([X/G], K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "a_G(X,K)"'] & H^*([X \times F/G], [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^*K) \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] \arrow[d, "a_G(X \times F, [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^*K)"] & H^*([X \times F \times F/G], [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^*K) \arrow[d, "a_G(X \times F \times F, [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^*K)"] \\
R^*_G(X, K) \arrow[r] & R^*_G(X \times F, [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^*K) \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & R^*_G(X \times F \times F, [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^*K),
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the double horizontal arrows are defined by pr_{12} and pr_{13}. By 10.7, $a_G(X \times F, [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^*K)$ and $a_G(X \times F \times F, [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^*K)$ have nilpotent kernels and are uniform F-surjections. To show that $a_G(X, K)$ has the same properties it thus suffices to show that the rows of (10.9.1) are exact.

First consider the lower row. The component of degree q is isomorphic by (6.15.8) to the projective limit for $(A, A', g) \in \mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$ of

$$(10.9.2)\quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*r^*K) &\to \Gamma(X^{A'} \times F^{A'}, R^q\pi_*r^*[\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^*K) \\ &\rightrightarrows \Gamma(X^{A'} \times F^{A'} \times F^{A'}, R^q\pi_*r^*[\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^*K), \end{aligned}$$

where we have put $r := [1/c_g]$. In order to identify the second and third terms of (10.9.2), consider the following commutative diagram, where the middle and right squares are cartesian:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[X \times F/G]} \arrow[d, "{[\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]}"'] & BA \times X^{A'} \times F^{A'} \arrow[l, "r"'] \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{pr}_1"] & X^{A'} \times F^{A'} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_2"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_1"] & F^{A'} \arrow[d] \\
{[X/G]} & BA \times X^{A'} \arrow[l, "r"'] \arrow[r, "\pi"] & X^{A'} \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Spec} k
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have (by base change for the middle square)

$$R^q\pi_*r^*[\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^*K \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q\pi_*(\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{pr}_1)^*r^*K \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{pr}_1^*R^q\pi_*(r^*K).$$

By the Künneth formula for the right square, we have

$$\Gamma(X^{A'} \times F^{A'}, \mathrm{pr}_1^*R^q\pi_*r^*K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_*r^*K) \otimes \Gamma(F^{A'}, \mathbb{F}_\ell).$$

Therefore we get a canonical isomorphism

$$\Gamma(X^{A'} \times F^{A'}, R^q\pi_* r^*[\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^* K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_* r^* K) \otimes \Gamma(F^{A'}, \mathbb{F}_\ell).$$

We have a similar identification for $X^{A'} \times F^{A'} \times F^{A'}$, and these identifications produce an isomorphism between (10.9.2) and the tensor product of $\Gamma(X^{A'}, R^q\pi_* r^* K)$ with

$$\Gamma(\operatorname{Spec} k, \mathbb{F}_\ell) \to \Gamma(F^{A'}, \mathbb{F}_\ell) \rightrightarrows \Gamma(F^{A'} \times F^{A'}, \mathbb{F}_\ell). \tag{10.9.3}$$

As $\ell \neq p$ and A' is an elementary abelian ℓ-subgroup of G, A' is conjugate in L to a subgroup of S, hence $F^{A'} \neq \emptyset$. It follows that (10.9.3), (10.9.2) and hence the lower row of (10.9.1) are exact.

In order to prove the exactness of the upper row of (10.9.1), consider the square of Artin stacks with representable morphisms,

$$\begin{tikzcd} {[(Y \times F)/G]} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & {[Y/G]} \arrow[d] \\ {[F/L]} \arrow[r] & BL, \end{tikzcd} \tag{10.9.4}$$

where Y is an algebraic space of finite type over k endowed with an action of G, the horizontal morphisms are induced by projection from F and the vertical morphisms are induced by the imbedding $G \to L$. The square is 2-cartesian by 1.10 and $BS \simeq [(S\backslash L)/L] = [F/L]$. By 9.6, 9.7 and 9.9, $H^*([F/L])$ is a finitely generated free $H^*(BL)$-module and the homomorphism

$$H^*([Y/G], K) \otimes_{H^*(BL)} H^*([F/L]) \to H^*([Y \times F/G], [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^* K)$$

defined by (10.9.4) is an isomorphism. Applying the above to $Y = X$ and $Y = X \times F$, we obtain an identification of the upper row of (10.9.1) with the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &H^*([Y/G], K) \to H^*([Y/G], K) \otimes_{H^*(BL)} H^*([F/L]) \\ &\qquad \rightrightarrows H^*([Y/G], K) \otimes_{H^*(BL)} H^*([F/L]) \otimes_{H^*(BL)} H^*([F/L]), \end{aligned}$$

which is exact by the usual argument of faithfully flat descent. ☐

Corollary 10.10. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a global quotient stack $[X/G]$, and assume that either (a) G is embedded in $L = \mathrm{GL}_n$, $n \geq 1$, or (b) G is an abelian variety. Let $K \in D_c^+([X/G], \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ be a pseudo-ring. Let $d = \dim X$. In case (a), let $e = \dim L/G$, $f = 2\dim L - \dim G$. In case (b), let $e = 0$, $f = \dim G$. Then*

(i) $(\operatorname{Ker} a_G(X, K))^m = 0$, *where* $m = 2d + 2e + 1$,

(ii) for K commutative and $y \in R_G^*(X, K)$, we have $y^{\ell^N} \in \operatorname{Im} a_G(X, K)$ for $N \geq \max\{2d + 2e - 1, 0\} + \log_\ell(2d + 2f + 1)$.

Proof. As in the proof of 10.9, let $F = S\backslash L$. We have $\mathrm{cd}_\ell((X \times F)/G) \leq 2\dim((X \times F)/G) = 2d+2e$. As all inertia groups of G acting on $X \times F$ are elementary abelian ℓ-groups, by 10.7 we have $(\operatorname{Ker} a_G(X \times F, \mathrm{pr}_1^* K))^m = 0$, hence (i) by (10.9.1). For (ii), set $a_G(X, K) = a_0$, $a_G(X \times F, \mathrm{pr}_1^* K) = a_1$, $a_G(X \times F \times F, \mathrm{pr}_1^* K) = a_2$. Denote by $u_0\colon H^*([X/G], K) \to H^*([X \times F/G], [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^* K)$ (resp. $v_0\colon R_G^*(X, K) \to R_G^*(X \times F, [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^* K)$) the left horizontal map in (10.9.1), and $u_1 = d_0 - d_1\colon H^*([X \times F/G], [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^* K) \to H^*([X \times F \times F/G], [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^* K)$ (resp. $v_1 = d_0 - d_1\colon R_G^*(X \times F, [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^* K) \to R_G^*(X \times F \times F, \mathrm{pr}_1^* K)$), the map deduced from the double map (d_0, d_1) in (10.9.1). As d_0 and d_1 are compatible with raising to the ℓ-th power, so is u_1 (resp. v_1). Let $N_1 = \max\{2d+2e-1, 0\}$. By 10.7 we have $v_0(y)^{\ell^{N_1}} = a_1(x_1)$ for some $x_1 \in H^*([X \times F/G], [\mathrm{pr}_1/\mathrm{id}_G]^* K)$. By (10.9.1) we have $a_2 u_1(x_1) = v_1 a_1(x_1) = 0$. Let h be the least integer $\geq \log_\ell(2d + 2f + 1)$. As above we have $\mathrm{cd}_\ell((X \times F \times F)/G) \leq 2d + 2f$, so by 10.7 we get $u_1(x_1)^{\ell^h} = 0$, hence by (10.9.1) $x_1^{\ell^h} = u_0(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in H^*([X/G], K)$, and finally $y^{\ell^{N_1+h}} = a_0(x_0)$. ☐

Remark 10.11.

(a) If in case (a) of 10.10, we assume moreover that X is affine, then $\mathrm{cd}_\ell((X \times F)/G) \leq d + e$ and $\mathrm{cd}_\ell((X \times F \times F)/G) \leq d + f$ by the affine Lefschetz theorem [43, XIV 3.2]. Thus in this case (i) holds for $m = d + e + 1$ and (ii) holds for $N \geq \max\{d + e - 1, 0\} + \log_\ell(d + f + 1)$.

(b) Let $f\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a finite étale morphism of Artin stacks of constant degree d. As the composite $H^*(\mathcal{X}, K) \xrightarrow{f^*} H^*(\mathcal{Y}, f^*K) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{f,K}} H^*(\mathcal{X}, K)$ is multiplication by d, f^* is injective if d is prime to ℓ. Thus, in this case, if $\mathrm{Ker}\, a_{\mathcal{Y}, f^*K}$ is a nilpotent ideal, then $\mathrm{Ker}\, a_{\mathcal{X},K}$ is a nilpotent ideal with the same bound for the exponent. This applies in particular to the morphism $[X/H] \to [X/G]$, where $H < G$ is an open subgroup of index prime to ℓ.

Proposition 10.12. *Assertion 8.3 (b) holds if $\mathcal{X}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack of finite inertia. More precisely, if $c = \mathrm{cd}_\ell(Y)$, where Y is the coarse moduli space of $\mathcal{X}$, and if e (resp. d) is the maximal number of elements of the inertia groups (resp. ℓ-Sylow subgroups of the inertia groups) of $\mathcal{X}$, then $(\mathrm{Ker}\, a(\mathcal{X}, K))^{(c+1)d} = 0$, and for K commutative and $b \in R^*(\mathcal{X}, K)$, we have $b^{\ell^N} \in \mathrm{Im}\, a(\mathcal{X}, K)$ for $N \geq \max\{c - 1, 0\} + \max\{e - 2, 0\} + \log_\ell(d(2e - 1))$.*

Proof. Consider the coarse moduli space morphism $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to Y$. For every geometric point t of Y, there exists a finite radicial extension $t' \to t$ and a geometric point y' of $\mathcal{X}$ above t' such that $\mathcal{X}_{t',\mathrm{red}} \xrightarrow{\sim} B\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}}(y')$. Note that for any field E, a finite group G of order m can be embedded into $\mathrm{GL}_m(E)$, given for example by the regular representation $E[G]$ of G. Moreover, if $m \neq 2$ or the characteristic of E is not 2, then G can be embedded into $\mathrm{GL}_{m-1}(E)$, because the subrepresentation of $E[G]$ generated by $g - h$, where $g, h \in G$, is faithful. Thus, by 10.11, the map $a_{\mathcal{X}_t,K}$ in 10.4 (c) satisfies $(\mathrm{Ker}\, a_{\mathcal{X}_t,K})^d = 0$, and, for K commutative, $a_{\mathcal{X}_t,K}$ is a uniform F-surjection for all geometric points $t \to Y$ with bound for the exponent given by $\max\{e-2, 0\} + \lceil \log_\ell(2e - 1) \rceil$, independent of t. Here $\lceil x \rceil$ for a real number x denotes the least integer $\geq x$. Thus $(\mathrm{Ker} \varprojlim_{t \in P_Y} a_{\mathcal{X}_t,K})^d = 0$, and Lemma 10.13 below implies that $\varprojlim_{t \in P_Y} a_{\mathcal{X}_t,K}$ is a uniform F-surjection, with bound for the exponent given by $\max\{e-2, 0\} + \lceil \log_\ell(2e-1) \rceil + \log_\ell(d)$. Hence, by 10.2 and 10.4, $a_{\mathcal{X},K}$ has the stated properties. □

Lemma 10.13. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category, $u\colon R \to S$ be a homomorphism of pseudo-rings in $\mathrm{GrVec}^{\mathcal{C}}$. If u is a uniform F-injection (resp. uniform F-isomorphism) (6.9), then $\varprojlim_{\mathcal{C}} u$ is also a uniform F-injection (resp. uniform F-isomorphism). More precisely, if $m \geq 0$ is an integer such that for every object i of $\mathcal{C}$ and every $a \in \mathrm{Ker}\, u_i$, $a^m = 0$ (resp. and if $n \geq 0$ is an integer such that for every object i of $\mathcal{C}$ and every $b \in S_i$, $b^{\ell^n} \in \mathrm{Im}\, u_i$), then for every $x \in \mathrm{Ker} \varprojlim_{\mathcal{C}} u$, $x^m = 0$ (resp. for every $y \in \varprojlim_{\mathcal{C}} S$ and every integer $N \geq n + \log_\ell(m)$, $y^N \in \mathrm{Im} \varprojlim_{\mathcal{C}} u$).*

Proof. Let $x = (x_i)$ be an element in the kernel of $\varprojlim_{\mathcal{C}} u$. Since x_i is in $\mathrm{Ker}\, u_i$, $x^m = (x_i^m) = 0$.

Assume now that u is a uniform F-isomorphism with bounds for the exponents given by m and n, and let $y = (y_i)$ be an element of $\varprojlim_{\mathcal{C}} S$. For every object i of $\mathcal{C}$, take a_i in R_i such that $u_i(a_i) = a_i^{\ell^n}$. For every morphism $\alpha\colon i \to j$ in $\mathcal{C}$, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R_j \arrow[r, "u_j"] \arrow[d, "R_\alpha"'] & S_j \arrow[d, "S_\alpha"] \\
R_i \arrow[r, "u_i"] & S_i.
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows that

$$u_i(R_\alpha(a_j) - a_i) = S_\alpha(u_j(a_j)) - u_i(a_i) = S_\alpha(y_j^{\ell^n}) - y_i^{\ell^n} = 0.$$

Let h be the least integer $\geq \log_\ell(m)$. Then $0 = (R_\alpha(a_j) - a_i)^{\ell^h} = R_\alpha(a_j)^{\ell^h} - a_i^{\ell^h}$, so that $w = (a_i^{\ell^h})$ is an element of $\varprojlim_{\mathcal{C}} R$. By definition, $u(w) = y^{\ell^{n+h}}$. □

In order to deal with the general case, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 10.14. *Let $u\colon R \to S$ be a homomorphism of pseudo-rings in $\mathrm{GrVec}^{\mathcal{C}}$ endowed with a splitting (3.2). Then $(\mathrm{Ker}\, u)R = 0$. In particular, $(\mathrm{Ker}\, u)^2 = 0$.*

Proof. Let $a \in \mathrm{Ker}\, u$, $b \in R$. Since $u(a) = 0$, $ab = u(a)b = 0$. □

Proposition 10.15. *The first assertion of 8.3 (b) hold.*

Proof. If $i\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a closed immersion, $j : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{X}$ is the complement, then the following diagram of graded rings commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^*(\mathcal{Y}, Ri^!K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "a_{\mathcal{Y},Ri^!K}"'] & H^*(\mathcal{X}, K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "a_{\mathcal{X},K}"] & H^*(\mathcal{U}, j^*K) \arrow[d, "a_{\mathcal{U},j^*K}"] \\
R^*(\mathcal{Y}, Ri^!K) \arrow[r, "u"] & R^*(\mathcal{X}, K) \arrow[r] & R^*(\mathcal{U}, K)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The first row is exact and u is the composition of the inverse of the isomorphism $R^*(\mathcal{X}, i_*Ri^!K) \to R^*(\mathcal{Y}, Ri^!K)$ and $R^*(\mathcal{X}, i_*Ri^!K) \to R^*(\mathcal{X}, K)$. The composition

$$R^*(\mathcal{Y}, Ri^!K) \xrightarrow{u} R^*(\mathcal{X}, K) \to R^*(\mathcal{Y}, i^*K)$$

is induced by $Ri^!K \to i^*K$, hence has square-zero kernel by 10.14. Thus $(\operatorname{Ker} u)^2 = 0$. It follows that if both $a_{\mathcal{Y},Ri^!K}$ and $a_{\mathcal{U},j^*K}$ have nilpotent kernels, then $a_{\mathcal{X},K}$ has nilpotent kernel. Using this, we reduce by induction to the global quotient case. In this case, the assertion follows from 10.8 and 10.9. ☐

This finishes the proof of the structure theorem 8.3 (b).

Lemma 10.16. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a presheaf of graded commutative $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-algebras on $\mathcal{C}$ and let $\mathcal{G}$ be an $\mathcal{F}$-module in* $\mathrm{GrVec}^{\mathcal{C}}$ *(6.9). Assume that, for every object X of $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{F}(X) = \oplus_q \mathcal{F}(X)^q$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-algebra, $\mathcal{F}(X)^q$ is finite-dimensional for all q, and $\mathcal{G}(X)$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{F}(X)$-module. Let N be the set of morphisms f in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is an isomorphism. Assume that the category $N^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ has only finitely many isomorphism classes of objects. Then $A = \varprojlim_{X\in\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{F}(X)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-algebra and $\varprojlim_{X\in\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{G}(X)$ is a finitely generated A-module.*

Proof. The presheaves $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ factors through presheaves of $N^{-1}\mathcal{C}$, still denoted $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$, respectively. For objects X and Y of $\mathcal{C}$, we say that two morphisms $f, g\colon X \to Y$ in $N^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ are equivalent if $\mathcal{F}(f) = \mathcal{F}(g)$ and $\mathcal{G}(f) = \mathcal{G}(g)$. For given X and Y, as the set of graded ring homomorphisms $\mathcal{F}(Y) \to \mathcal{F}(X)$ is finite and, for every such homomorphism, the set of graded $\mathcal{F}(Y)$-module homomorphisms $\mathcal{G}(Y) \to \mathcal{G}(X)$ is finite, there are only finitely many such equivalence classes. Moreover, this equivalence relation is compatible with composition. We thus obtain an essentially finite quotient category $\mathcal{B}$ of $N^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ having the same objects and such that the morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$ are the equivalence classes of morphisms in $N^{-1}\mathcal{C}$. The presheaves $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ on $N^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ factors through presheaves on $\mathcal{B}$, again denoted by $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$, respectively. By 6.2 and 7.13, the functor $\mathcal{C} \to N^{-1}\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}$ is cofinal. Therefore, $\varprojlim_{X\in\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{X\in\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\varprojlim_{X\in\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{G}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{X\in\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{G}(X)$. It follows that $A = \varprojlim_{X\in\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{F}(X)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-algebra and $\varprojlim_{X\in\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{G}(X)$ is a finitely generated A-module. ☐

Proof of 8.3 (a). Let $(j_i\colon \mathcal{X}_i \to \mathcal{X})_i$ be a finite stratification of $\mathcal{X}$ by locally closed substacks. The system of functors $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_i} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}})_i$ is essentially surjective. Thus the map

$$R^*(\mathcal{X}, K) \to \prod_i R^*(\mathcal{X}_i, j_i^*K)$$

is an injection. Thus, for the first assertion, we may assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is a global quotient stack, in which case the assertion follows from 6.16 (a) and 8.6.

Let N be the set of morphisms f in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ such that $(H^*(\mathbb{F}_{\ell\bullet}))(f)$ and $(H^*(K_\bullet))(f)$ are isomorphisms. By 10.16, to show the second assertion, it suffices to show that $N^{-1}\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ has only finitely many isomorphism classes of objects. Using again the fact that the system of functors $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}_i} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}})_i$ is essentially surjective, we may assume in the above assertion that $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ is a global quotient. Consider the diagram (8.4.2). Note that the functor $\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural \to \mathcal{E}_G(\pi_0)$ induces a bijection between the sets of isomorphism classes of objects, and $\mathcal{E}_G(\pi_0)$ is essentially finite by 6.19 (a), thus $\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$ has only finitely many isomorphism classes of objects. Moreover, as E is essentially surjective, it suffices to show that, for every object (A, A', g) of $\mathcal{A}_G(k)^\natural$, the category $M^{-1}P_{X^{A'}}$ has only finitely many isomorphism classes of objects. Here M is the set of morphisms f in $P_{X^{A'}}$ such that $(E^*_{(A,A',g)}H^*(\mathbb{F}_{\ell\bullet}))(f)$ and $(E^*_{(A,A',g)}H^*(K_\bullet))(f)$ is an isomorphism. Let (X_i) be a finite stratification of $X^{A'}$ into locally closed subschemes such that $K \mid X_i$ has locally constant cohomology sheaves. For a given i, all objects in the image of $P_{X_i} \to M^{-1}P_{X^{A'}}$ are equivalent. Moreover, the system of functors $(P_{X_i} \to P_{X^{A'}})_i$ is essentially surjective. Therefore, $M^{-1}P_{X^{A'}}$ has only finitely many isomorphism classes of objects. $\square$

11 Stratification of the spectrum

In this section we fix an algebraically closed field k and a prime number ℓ invertible in k.

Construction 11.1. Let X be a separated algebraic space of finite type over k, G be an algebraic group over k acting on X. Define

$$\underline{(G,X)} := \operatorname{Spec} H^{\varepsilon *}([X/G])_{\mathrm{red}}, \tag{11.1.1}$$

where $\varepsilon = 1$ if $\ell = 2$, and $\varepsilon = 2$ otherwise. In particular, for an elementary abelian ℓ-group A,

$$\underline{A} := \underline{(A, \operatorname{Spec} k)} = \operatorname{Spec}(H_A^{\varepsilon *})_{\mathrm{red}}$$

is a standard affine space of dimension equal to the rank of A. The map $(A,C)^*$ (6.8.1) induces a morphism of schemes

$$(A,C)_* \colon \underline{A} \to \underline{(G,X)}, \tag{11.1.2}$$

hence $a(G,X)$ (6.8.2) induces a morphism of schemes

$$Y := \varinjlim_{(A,C)\in\mathcal{A}^\flat_{(G,X)}} \underline{A} \to \underline{(G,X)}. \tag{11.1.3}$$

It follows from 6.10 that (11.1.3) is a universal homeomorphism.

By 4.8, $(A,C)_*$ is finite. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}^\flat_{(G,X)}$ is essentially finite by 6.5. It follows that $Y \simeq \operatorname{Spec}(\varprojlim_{(A,C)\in\mathcal{A}^\flat_{(G,X)}} (H_A^{\varepsilon *})_{\mathrm{red}})$ is finite over $\underline{(G,X)}$ and is a colimit of $\underline{A}$ in the category of ringed spaces. Moreover, the $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-algebras $H^{\varepsilon *}([X/G])_{\mathrm{red}}$ and $\varprojlim_{(A,C)\in\mathcal{A}^\flat_{(G,X)}} (H_A^{\varepsilon *})_{\mathrm{red}}$ are equipped with Steenrod operations, compatible with the ring homomorphism $H^{\varepsilon *}([X/G])_{\mathrm{red}} \to \varprojlim_{(A,C)\in\mathcal{A}^\flat_{(G,X)}} (H_A^{\varepsilon *})_{\mathrm{red}}$ (see 11.6).

The structure of Y is described more precisely by the following *stratification theorem*, similar to [32, 10.2, 12.1].

Theorem 11.2. *Denote by $V_{(A,C)}$ the reduced subscheme of Y that is the image of the (finite) morphism $\underline{A} \to Y$ induced by (A,C). Let*

$$\underline{A}^+ := \underline{A} - \bigcup_{A' < A} \underline{A'},$$

$$V^+_{(A,C)} := V_{(A,C)} - \bigcup_{A' < A} V_{(A', C \mid A')},$$

where $A' < A$ means $A' \subset A$ and $A' \neq A$, and $C \mid A'$ denotes the component of $X^{A'}$ containing C. Then

(a) The Weyl group $W_G(A,C)$ (6.4.2) acts freely on $\underline{A}^+$ and the morphism $\underline{A}^+ \to Y$ given by (A,C) induces a homeomorphism

$$\underline{A}^+/W_G(A,C) \to V^+_{(A,C)}. \tag{11.2.1}$$

(b) The subschemes $V_{(A,C)}$ of Y are the integral closed sub-cones of Y that are stable under the Steenrod operations on $\varprojlim_{(A,C)\in\mathcal{A}^\flat_{(G,X)}}(H^{\varepsilon *}_A)_{\mathrm{red}}$.

(c) Let $(A_i,C_i)_{i\in I}$ be a finite set of representative of isomorphism classes of objects of $\mathcal{A}^\flat_{(G,X)}$. Then the $V_{(A_i,C_i)}$ form a finite stratification of Y, namely Y is the disjoint union of the $V^+_{(A_i,C_i)}$, and $V_{(A_i,C_i)}$ is the closure of $V^+_{(A_i,C_i)}$.

The proof is entirely analogous to that of [32, 10.2, 12.1]. One key step in the proof is the following analogue of [32, 9.6].

Proposition 11.3. *Let (A,C), (A',C') be objects of $\mathcal{A}^\flat_{(G,X)}$. The square of topological spaces*

(11.3.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd} \underline{A}^+ \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^\flat_{G,X}}((A,C),(A',C')) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_1"'] & \underline{A'} \arrow[d, "{(A',C')}"] \\ \underline{A}^+ \arrow[r, "{(A,C)}"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian. Here the upper horizontal arrow is induced by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^\flat_{G,X}}((A,C),(A',C')) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\underline{A},\underline{A'}), \quad u \mapsto \mathrm{Spec}(Bu)^*_{\mathrm{red}},$$

where $(Bu)^*\colon H^{\varepsilon *}_{A'} \to H^{\varepsilon *}_A$.

As in [32, 9.6], this follows from the fact that $\mathcal{A}^\flat_{(G,X)}$ admits fiber products, whose proof is very similar to that of [32, 9.1].

Remark 11.4. The morphism (11.2.1) is not an isomorphism of schemes in general. In particular, the square (11.3.1) is not cartesian in the category of schemes. This is already shown by the example $G = \mathrm{GL}_\ell$, $X = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$, $A = \mu_\ell$ embedded diagonally in G. Let T be the standard maximal torus, $\{e_1,\dots,e_\ell\}$ be the standard basis of $T[\ell]$. Then $W_G(T) \simeq W_G(T[\ell]) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_\ell$ acts on $T[\ell]$ by permuting this basis, so that $W_G(A)=\{1\}$. Note that $\underline{T[\ell]} \simeq \mathrm{S}(T[\ell]^\vee) \simeq \mathbb{F}_\ell[t_1,\dots,t_\ell]$, and $V_{T[\ell]} = Y \simeq \underline{T[\ell]}/W_G(T[\ell])$ can be identified with the spectrum of the symmetric polynomials in $t_1,\dots,t_\ell$. As the image of the d-th fundamental symmetric polynomial in $t_1,\dots,t_\ell$ under homomorphism $\phi\colon \mathbb{F}_\ell[t_1,\dots,t_\ell] \to \mathbb{F}_\ell[t]$ carrying t_i to t is 0 for $1 \le d \le \ell-1$ and t^ℓ for $d=\ell$, the diagram of schemes

$$\begin{tikzcd} \underline{A} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \underline{T[\ell]} \arrow[d] \\ V_A \arrow[r] & V_{T[\ell]} \end{tikzcd}$$

is given by the diagram of rings

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{F}_\ell[t] & \mathbb{F}_\ell[t_1,\dots,t_\ell] \arrow[l, "\phi"'] \\ \mathbb{F}_\ell[t^\ell] \arrow[u, hook] & \mathbb{F}_\ell[t_1,\dots,t_\ell]^{\mathfrak{S}_\ell} \arrow[l] \arrow[u, hook] \end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore, (11.2.1) is given by the Frobenius map on $\mathbb{F}_\ell[t,t^{-1}]$.

Let $(f,u)\colon (X,G) \to (Y,H)$ be an equivariant morphism with (X,G) and (Y,H) as before. The induced morphism of quotient stacks $[f/u]\colon [X/G] \to [Y/H]$ induces maps

$$[f/u]^*\colon H^{\varepsilon *}([Y/H]) \to H^{\varepsilon *}([X/G]), \quad \underline{(f,u)}\colon \underline{(Y,H)} \to \underline{(X,G)}.$$

Moreover, (f,u) induces a functor $\mathcal{A}^\flat_{(u,f)}\colon \mathcal{A}^\flat_{(G,X)} \to \mathcal{A}^\flat_{(H,Y)}$ sending (A,C) to (uA,C'), where uA is the image of A under u and C' is the component of Y^{uA} containing fC, the image of C under f. We have the following analogue of [32, 10.9], with essentially the same proof.

Proposition 11.5. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

(a) $\mathcal{A}^{\flat}_{(u,f)}$ *is an equivalence of categories.*

(b) $[f/u]^*$ *is a uniform* F*-isomorphism.*

(c) $\underline{(f,u)}$ *is a universal homeomorphism.*

Construction 11.6. As Michèle Raynaud observed in [33, Section 4], the formalism of *Steenrod operations* [13] applies to the $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-cohomology of any topos. Let us review the construction of the operations in this case.

Let X be a topos, K be a commutative ring in $D^+(X,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, i be an integer. The Steenrod operations are $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-linear maps

$$P^i\colon H^*(X,K)\to\begin{cases}H^{*+i}(X,K) & \text{if } \ell=2,\\ H^{*+2(\ell-1)i}(X,K) & \text{if } \ell>2.\end{cases}$$

For $\ell=2$, P^i is sometimes denoted Sq^i.

First note that for every complex $M\in C(X,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, $\mathfrak{S}_\ell$ acts on $L^{\otimes\ell}$ by permutation of factors (with the usual sign rule). This induces a (non triangulated) functor

$$(-)^{\otimes\ell}\colon D(X,\mathbb{F}_\ell)\to D([X/\mathfrak{S}_\ell],\mathbb{F}_\ell). \tag{11.6.1}$$

Here we used the notation $[X/G]$ for the topos of sheaves in X endowed with an action of a finite group G. For a morphism $c\colon\mathbb{F}_\ell\to M[q]$ in $D(X,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, applying (11.6.1), we obtain a morphism

$$c^{\otimes\ell}\colon\mathbb{F}_\ell\simeq\mathbb{F}_\ell^{\otimes\ell}\to(M[q])^{\otimes\ell}\simeq M^{\otimes\ell}\otimes S^{\otimes q}[q\ell]$$

in $D([X/\mathfrak{S}_\ell],\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, where $S\in\mathrm{Mod}([X/\mathfrak{S}_\ell],\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is the pullback of the sheaf on $B\mathfrak{S}_\ell$ given by the sign representation $\mathrm{sgn}\colon\mathfrak{S}_\ell\to\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$. This defines a map

$$H^q(X,M)\to H^{q\ell}([X/\mathfrak{S}_\ell],M^{\otimes\ell}\otimes S^{\otimes q}),\quad c\mapsto c^{\otimes\ell}. \tag{11.6.2}$$

Now choose a cyclic subgroup C of $\mathfrak{S}_\ell$ of order ℓ and a basis x of $H^1(BC,\mathbb{F}_\ell)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}(C,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Note that $\mathrm{sgn}\,|\,C$ is constant of value 1. Consider the composite map

$$\begin{aligned}D_{C,x}\colon H^q(X,K)&\xrightarrow{(11.6.2)}H^{q\ell}([X/\mathfrak{S}_\ell],K^{\otimes\ell}\otimes S^{\otimes q})\xrightarrow{\pi}H^{q\ell}([X/\mathfrak{S}_\ell],K\otimes S^{\otimes q})\\&\to H^{q\ell}([X/C],K)\simeq\bigoplus_k H^k(BC,\mathbb{F}_\ell)\otimes H^{q\ell-k}(X,K),\end{aligned}$$

which turns out to be $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-linear. Here π is given by multiplication $K^{\otimes\ell}\to K$. Recall (6.11) that for $k\geq 0$, $H^k(BC,\mathbb{F}_\ell)=\mathbb{F}_\ell w_k$, where $w_k=x(\beta x)^{(k-1)/2}$ for k odd and $w_k=(\beta x)^{k/2}$ for k even. We define

$$D^k_{C,x}\colon H^q(X,K)\to H^{q\ell-k}(X,K)$$

by the formula $D_{C,x}u=\sum_k w_k\otimes D^k_{C,x}u$. Let $m=\frac{\ell-1}{2}$. We define

$$P^i_{C,x}=\begin{cases}(-1)^{i+m(q^2-q)/2}(m!)^{-q}D^{(q-2i)(\ell-1)}_{C,x} & \text{if } \ell>2,\ q\geq 2i,\\ D^{q-i}_{C,x} & \text{if } \ell=2,\ q\geq i,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

For $\ell=2$, we have $C=\mathfrak{S}_2$ and x is unique. For $\ell>2$, $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_\ell$ and $a\in\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$, $D^{2k}_{\sigma C\sigma^{-1},a(x\circ c_\sigma)}=\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)^q a^{-k}D^{2k}_{C,x}$, where $c_\sigma\colon\sigma C\sigma^{-1}\to C$ is the homomorphism $g\mapsto\sigma^{-1}g\sigma$. Thus

$$P^i_{\sigma C\sigma^{-1},a(x\circ c_\sigma)}=\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)^q(a^m)^qP^i_{C,x},$$

where $a^m=\pm1$. In particular, up to a sign, $P^i_{C,x}$ is independent of the choices of C and x. Let $T\in\mathfrak{S}_\ell$ be the permutation defined by $T(n)=n+1$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. In the following we will take

C to be the subgroup generated by T and take x to be the dual basis of T, and omit them from the indices.

For a homomorphism of commutative rings $K \to K'$, the induced homomorphism $H^*(X,K) \to H^*(X,K')$ is compatible with Steenrod operations on $H^*(X,K)$ and $H^*(X,K')$. Moreover, for a morphism of topoi $f\colon X \to Y$, Steenrod operations are compatible with the isomorphism $H^*(X,K) \simeq H^*(Y,Rf_*K)$.

It is easy to check the following properties of Steenrod operations, where we write H^* for $H^*(X,K)$:

- For $x \in H^i$ (resp. $x \in H^{2i}$), $P^i x = x^\ell$ if $\ell = 2$ (resp. $\ell > 2$);
- If one defines
$$P_t\colon H^* \to H^*[t,t^{-1}]$$
by $P_t(x) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} P^i(x)t^i$, then P_t is a ring homomorphism (Cartan's formula).

In the case where X has enough points and $K \in \mathrm{Mod}(X,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, Epstein showed the following additional properties [13, 8.3.4]:

- $P_t\colon H^* \to H^*[t]$. In other words, $P^i = 0$ for $i < 0$.
- $P^0 = \mathrm{id}$ for $K = \mathbb{F}_\ell$ (this depends on the choices of C and x above).

In particular, $P_t(x) = x + x^\ell t$ for $x \in H^1(X,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, $\ell = 2$ (resp. $x \in H^2(X,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, $\ell > 2$).

The above applies in particular to the lisse-étale topos of an Artin stack. For a morphism of Artin stacks $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ and a commutative ring $K \in D^+_{\mathrm{cart}}(\mathcal{X},\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, Steenrod operations are compatible with the isomorphism $H^*(X,K) \simeq H^*(Y,Rf_*K)$. Therefore, for $K' \in D^+_{\mathrm{cart}}(Y,K)$, Steenrod operations are compatible with the restriction homomorphism $H^*(Y,K') \to H^*(X,f^*K')$.

For some related results on the Chow rings of classifying spaces, we refer the reader to [18].

References

[1] K. A. Behrend. The Lefschetz trace formula for algebraic stacks. *Invent. Math.*, 112(1):127–149, 1993. 25

[2] K. A. Behrend. Derived l-adic categories for algebraic stacks. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 163(774):viii+93, 2003. 12

[3] A. Borel. Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 57(1):115–207, 1953. 29, 30

[4] A. Borel. Sous-groupes commutatifs et torsion des groupes de Lie compacts connexes. *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)*, 13:216–240, 1961. 29

[5] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud. *Néron models*, volume 21 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. 53

[6] M. Brion, P. Samuel, and V. Uma. Lectures on the structure of algebraic groups and geometric applications. Preprint, `http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~mbrion/chennai.pdf`. 27

[7] B. Conrad. A modern proof of Chevalley's theorem on algebraic groups. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 17(1):1–18, 2002. 27

[8] P. Deligne. Lettre à Luc Illusie. June 26, 2012. 27

[9] P. Deligne. Théorie de Hodge. III. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 44:5–77, 1974. 29

[10] P. Deligne. *Cohomologie étale*, volume 569 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA $4\frac{1}{2}$, avec la collaboration de J.-F. Boutot, A. Grothendieck, L. Illusie et J.-L. Verdier. 15, 19, 25, 29

[11] M. Demazure and P. Gabriel. *Groupes algébriques. Tome I. Géométrie algébrique, généralités, groupes commutatifs.* Masson & Cie, Éditeur, 1970. 30, 66

[12] D. Edidin and W. Graham. Equivariant intersection theory. *Invent. Math.*, 131(3):595–634, 1998. 36

[13] D. B. A. Epstein. Steenrod operations in homological algebra. *Invent. Math.*, 1:152–208, 1966. 72, 73

[14] J. Giraud. Méthode de la descente. *Bull. Soc. Math. France Mém.*, 2:viii+150, 1964. 13

[15] J. Giraud. *Cohomologie non abélienne.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 179. 6, 27

[16] A. Grothendieck. Classes de Chern et représentations linéaires des groupes discrets. In *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas*, pages 215–305. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968. 23

[17] A. Grothendieck (avec la collaboration de J. Dieudonné). Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 20, 24, 28, 32, 1964–1967. 11, 31, 46

[18] P. Guillot. Steenrod operations on the Chow ring of a classifying space. *Adv. Math.*, 196(2):276–309, 2005. 73

[19] L. Illusie. Elementary abelian ℓ-groups and mod ℓ equivariant étale cohomology algebras. Preprint, `http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~illusie/Illusie-Laumon2.pdf`. 2

[20] L. Illusie. *Complexe cotangent et déformations. I*, volume 239 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971. 29

[21] L. Illusie. Travaux de Quillen sur la cohomologie des groupes. In *Séminaire Bourbaki, 24e année (1971/1972), no. 405*, volume 317 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 89–105. Springer, Berlin, 1973. 1

[22] L. Illusie and W. Zheng. Odds and ends on finite group actions and traces. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2013(1):1–62, 2013. 2

[23] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira. *Categories and sheaves*, volume 332 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften.* Springer, Berlin, 2006. 12, 18, 19, 20, 22, 59

[24] S. Keel and S. Mori. Quotients by groupoids. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 145(1):193–213, 1997. 63

[25] A. Kresch. Cycle groups for Artin stacks. *Invent. Math.*, 138(3):495–536, 1999. 53

[26] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly. *Champs algébriques*, volume 39 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics.* Springer, Berlin, 2000. 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 43

[27] Y. Liu and W. Zheng. Enhanced six operations and base change theorem for sheaves on Artin stacks. arXiv:1211.5294. 12, 23, 25

[28] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998. 16, 17, 39

[29] M. Olsson. Sheaves on Artin stacks. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 603:55–112, 2007. 12, 15, 63

[30] S. Payne. Equivariant Chow cohomology of toric varieties. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 13(1):29–41, 2006. 36

[31] D. Quillen. The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 94:549–572, 1971. 1, 2, 4, 9, 27, 35, 36, 62, 63, 66

[32] D. Quillen. The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 94:573–602, 1971. 1, 2, 33, 35, 70, 71

[33] M. Raynaud. Modules projectifs universels. *Invent. Math.*, 6:1–26, 1968. 72

[34] J. Riou. Classes de Chern, morphismes de Gysin et pureté absolue. Exposé XVI dans *Travaux de Gabber sur l'uniformisation locale et la cohomologie étale des schémas quasi-excellents*, Séminaire à l'École polytechnique 2006–2008, dirigé par L. Illusie, Y. Laszlo, F. Orgogozo, arXiv:1207.3648. 23

[35] D. Rydh. Existence and properties of geometric quotients. Preprint, to appear in *J. Algebraic Geom.* 63

[36] J.-P. Serre. *Groupes algébriques et corps de classes*, volume 7 of *Publications de l'Institut Mathématique de l'Université de Nancago*. Hermann, Paris, second edition, 1984. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, 1264. 29

[37] J.-P. Serre. Sous-groupes finis des groupes de Lie. *Astérisque*, 266:415–430, 2000. Séminaire Bourbaki, Volume 1998/99, no. 864. 29, 36

[38] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. `http://math.columbia.edu/algebraic_geometry/stacks-git`. 3, 4, 5, 6, 13, 22

[39] J.-L. Verdier. Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes. *Astérisque*, 239:xii+253, 1996. With a preface by Luc Illusie, edited and with a note by Georges Maltsiniotis. 20, 21, 59, 60

[40] W. Zheng. Sur l'indépendance de l en cohomologie l-adique sur les corps locaux. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 42(2):291–334, 2009. 7

[41] *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental*, volume 3 of *Documents Mathématiques (Paris)*. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2003. Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–61 (SGA 1), dirigé par A. Grothendieck, édition recomposée et annotée. 62

[42] *Schémas en groupes*, volume 151–153 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962–1964 (SGA 3), dirigé par M. Demazure et A. Grothendieck. 31

[43] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*, volume 269, 270, 305 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, et J.-L. Verdier. 10, 12, 13, 23, 25, 28, 43, 48, 68

[44] *Cohomologie ℓ-adique et fonctions L*, volume 589 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. Séminaire de Géometrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1965–1966 (SGA 5), dirigé par A. Grothendieck. 23, 26, 27

[45] *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique*, volume 288, 340 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972, 1973. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7). I, dirigé par A. Grothendieck. II, dirigé par P. Deligne et N. Katz. 41

Luc Illusie, Université Paris-Sud, Département de mathématique, Bât. 425, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France; email: `Luc.Illusie@math.u-psud.fr`

Weizhe Zheng, Morningside Center of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; email: `wzheng@math.ac.cn`